### REVIEW



# Future feed resources in sustainable salmonid production: A review

Sissel Albrektsen<sup>1</sup> | Raine Kortet<sup>2</sup> | Peter Vilhelm Skov<sup>3</sup> | Elisabeth Ytteborg<sup>1</sup> | Susanne Gitlesen<sup>4</sup> | Dorinde Kleinegris<sup>4</sup> | Liv-Torunn Mydland<sup>5</sup> | Jon Øvrum Hansen<sup>5</sup> | Erik-Jan Lock<sup>6</sup> | Turid Mørkøre<sup>1</sup> | Philip James<sup>1</sup> | Xinxin Wang<sup>1</sup> | Ragnhild Dragøy Whitaker<sup>1</sup> | Birthe Vang<sup>1</sup> | Bjarne Hatlen<sup>1</sup> | Ehsan Daneshvar<sup>7</sup> | Amit Bhatnagar<sup>7</sup> | Linda B. Jensen<sup>8</sup> | Margareth Øverland<sup>5</sup> |

<sup>3</sup>DTU Aqua, Section for Aquaculture, The North Sea Research Centre, Technical University of Denmark, Hirtshals, Denmark

#### Correspondence

Sissel Albrektsen, Nofima, P.O. Box 5844 Oasen, NO-5828 Bergen, Norway. Email: sissel.albrektsen@nofima.no

# Funding information

NordForsk, Grant/Award Number: 82342

#### **Abstract**

Aquaculture is one of the most resource-efficient and sustainable ways to produce animal protein. The Food and Agriculture Organization predicts that cultivated aquatic species will provide around 53% of the world's seafood supply by 2030. Further growth of intensive farmed aquatic species may be limited by a shortage of feed resources. The aquaculture sector therefore needs to intensify its search for alternative ingredients based on renewable natural resources. A significant increase in production will require an accelerated transition in technology and production systems, better use of natural available resources, development of high-quality alternative feed resources and exploitation of available space. The present review discusses the urgent need to identify appropriate alternative ingredients for a sustainable future salmonid production. We describe and evaluate the most promising marine ingredients, including low-trophic species (mesopelagic fish, zooplankton, polychaetes, macroalgae and crustaceans), novel microbial ingredients (bacteria, yeast and microalgae), insects (black soldier fly, yellow meal worm and crickets), animal byproducts (poultry meal, meat and bone meal, blood meal and hydrolysed feather meal) and by-products from other commercial productions (trimmings and blood). Furthermore, we discuss the available volumes and need for new processing technologies and refining methods to ensure commercial production of nutritionally healthy ingredients. The essential production steps and considerations for future development of sustainable and safe seafood production are also discussed.

#### KEYWORDS

aquaculture, circular economy, emerging feed resource, ingredient, salmonid, seafood production

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. Reviews in Aquaculture published by John Wiley & Sons Australia. Ltd.

Rev Aquac. 2022;1–23. wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/raq 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nofima, Bergen, Norway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu. Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Norce, Bergen, Norway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Faculty of Biosciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Department of Separation Science, LUT School of Engineering Science, LUT University, Mikkeli, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Skretting, Stavanger, Norway

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

The growing demand for global food production requires the fundamental transformation of the aquaculture sector and better use of available resources and space. Sustainable food production requires efficient use of readily available resources and a reduction in environmental impact and greenhouse gas emissions. Land-based food production has the largest climatic impact, and therefore the food production sector cannot continue to grow unless more sustainable production methods are implemented. The greatest potential for increased food production lies in the oceans, however, an increase in aquatic food production must come from farmed fish since 85% of the world's fish species are already maximally exploited. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) have predicted that cultivated aquatic species will provide around 53% of the world's supply of seafood by 2030. A projected increase of 26 million metric tons (MT) in global aquaculture production by 2030 will require an additional 40 million MT of feed.

Salmonids are by far the most important domesticated species produced in the cold-water Nordic area and Northern countries, and the total production volume of salmonid accounts for <1.8% of the total global production share of farmed fish.8 Norway and Chile are the world's leading salmonid producers, with respective shares of around 53% and 30% of the global salmon and rainbow trout production. Other major salmonid-producing countries include Scotland, Iceland, Faroe Islands and Canada. Salmon production increased from 151,000 MT in 1990 to >1 million MT in 2016.10 Aquaculture is the fastest growing food production sector worldwide, and a future Norwegian scenario with an estimated production of 5 million MT of salmonids by 2050 is expected to require 6 million MT of feed. 11 Further growth in the aquaculture sector may be limited by a shortage of feed resources. 12 Thus, there is a need to develop alternative feed ingredients based on more efficient use of natural available resources from land and ocean, by exploitation of waste streams that is currently not utilised, and by improving processing technology to obtain safe and healthy aquafeed ingredients.

Worldwide, intensive research has been initiated to develop alternative sources of protein and essential n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (LC-PUFA) for use in aquaculture feeds due to stagnating and overexploited wild fish populations and the strong link with the destruction of rainforests for soy production. Future feed resources are expected to include low-trophic species produced or cultivated in the ocean, such as mesopelagic fish and zooplankton (krill, calanus and amphipods), polychaetes, macroalgae and crustaceans. Ingredients can also be produced from land-based production, such as microbial ingredients (bacteria, yeast and microalgae), insects and animal byproducts [ABPs; poultry meal, meat and bone meal, blood meal and hydrolysed feather meal (HFM)]. Resources derived from other commercial production, such as biodiesel, brewing and distillation industries, and by-products from the agriculture industry, can also be refined and used as feed ingredients. 13-15 New processing technologies and refining methods to produce ingredients of high nutritional quality with reduced levels of anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) and free from contaminants is essential for the development of sustainable and

safe seafood production. The use of genetically modified organisms is controversial and not yet legal in some countries. However, this technique offers unique possibilities and should be explored in cross-sectorial platforms to address its use, benefits and consequences. As the competition for natural resources increases and technology advances, the production of some ingredients, such as microbial ingredients, is expected to gradually shift from being dependent on photosynthesis towards the use of a broader range of low-cost input factors, such as organic acids or CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gas from industrial waste and other renewable energy sources. The predicted population growth and increase in demand for food requires legal authorities, producers, consumers and involved stakeholders to prepare for this in a future sustainable scenario.

Fish currently provide 16% of the animal protein consumed globally, and this proportion is expected to rise due to increased consumer demand for high-quality seafood. Novel ingredients may contribute to sustainable development in aquaculture without limiting the projected future growth. However, it is essential to investigate all dimensions in sustainability and the trade-offs that novel ingredients may bring, including their impact on marine and terrestrial environments, biodiversity and ecosystem preservation, reduction in greenhouse gas emission and balance with social and economic outcomes. The goal should be aimed at validation through life cycle assessment methodology and land-use change (LUC) in carbon footprint climate impact.<sup>16</sup> The LUC approach suggests that feed ingredients should be ultimately produced without causing destruction of other ecosystems (e.g. deforestation) as well as close to where they are to be used to reduce emissions caused by longdistance transportation. Due to the complexities in production, regulatory and practical needs, improved collaboration across industrial actors, research fields, production levels and value chains are vital for the success of future sustainable aquaculture.

# 2 | HARVESTING AND CULTIVATION OF MARINE FEED RESOURCES

Current unexploited marine feed resources of significant biomass are found at lower trophic levels, mainly comprised by populations of animal plankton, mesopelagic fish and algae. The harvesting of low-trophic species, such as Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba), Arctic krills (Meganyctiphanes norvegica and Thysanoessa sp.), copepods (Calanus sp. and others), amphipods (Lysianassoide sp. and others) constitute a huge biomass potential with an annual production of several hundred million tonnes ( $\sim$ 600-700 million tonnes) of which only a fraction, mainly Antarctic krill, is currently harvested. 17 Fishing efforts from wild populations are typically managed well below their theoretical capacity due to environmental concerns, 18 but as the fisheries efforts are increasingly targeting the lower trophic levels, 19,20 there are increasing concerns about the effects on the ecosystem.<sup>21</sup> Intensification of harvesting and cultivation of marine species, alone or cocultivated with other marine species in integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA), will require use of large sea and land areas, both of which must be critically evaluated through appropriate impact studies.

# 2.1 | Antarctic and Arctic krill

Krill, the common name for the Euphausiids, is comprised of more than 80 shrimp-like marine crustacean families. Krill are found worldwide, and the species Antarctic krill (Eup. superba) has been exploited commercially as an ingredient in aquafeed. Antarctic krill is a freeswimming, low-trophic, plankton-feeding species that effectively brings nutrients into the food chain. Krill fishery is sustainable, monitored and regulated by independent international organisations, of which the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CAMMLR) is the largest. Harvesting of krill is restricted to a specific region (Area 48, Antarctica), with an annual catch limit of 1% set by CAMMLR. The population of krill in Antarctica was estimated at 62.6 million MT in a biomass survey conducted by CCAMLR in 2019, and half of the annual quota of 620,000 MT is predominately caught by fisheries from Norway, China, South Korea and Chile. Krill are used to produce oil, meal and astaxanthin, a carotenoid with antioxidant properties that gives krill products their reddish colour, making it a high-value commodity.

The commercial potential of Antarctic krill in fish feed is largely associated with its nutrient content, large size (up to 6.5 cm and 2 g) and catch potential.<sup>22</sup> Fresh krill contains approximately 20% dry matter (DM).<sup>23</sup> The lipid concentration in whole krill ranges from 10%–40% DM, where fatty acid biomarkers show clear seasonal trends.<sup>24</sup> Krill oil is characterised by a high content of phospholipids, especially phosphatidylcholine, which comprise more than 30 g/100 g oil.<sup>25</sup> Krill oil contains high levels of the marine *n*-3 PUFAs, such as eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), which are esterified to phosphatidylcholine.<sup>26</sup> The crude protein and ash concentrations in whole krill range from 7%–26% DM and 12%–17% DM, respectively.<sup>23</sup> Krill has a balanced amino acid profile and a high content of non-protein compounds, especially free amino acids (7%–8% DM).<sup>27,28</sup> The chitin content in krill is around 30 g kg<sup>-1</sup> DM,<sup>29</sup> and astaxanthin levels in krill meal were shown to be 37 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, of which 95% were esters.<sup>30</sup>

Krill has been considered a palatable, high-quality dietary source of protein, energy and flesh-pigmenting carotenoids for salmon for several decades. <sup>31,32</sup> Studies have reported that consumption of krill improves growth in salmon, <sup>33,34</sup> although high dietary inclusion of whole krill, and hence high chitin levels, supresses growth. <sup>33,35</sup> The effect of dietary chitin on growth and nutrient digestibility has been reported in cod, salmon and halibut. <sup>36</sup> In a recent study in which krill meal was supplemented in plant-based/low fish meal diets to represent the current industrial practice, Mørkøre et al. reported improved gut health and meat quality of 4-kg Atlantic salmon (*Sal. salar L.*). <sup>30</sup> Microarray analysis revealed a krill-induced regulation of a number of genes, in particular cadherin, connexin and ladderlectin, which are involved in fat metabolism and deposition, cellular communication and pathogen recognition, respectively.

Krill meal is generally low in pollutants and the safety of krill powder has been mostly confirmed.<sup>26</sup> Although krill contains high levels of fluoride and copper, these did not appear to accumulate in the muscle tissue (fillets) of salmon. Increasing dietary krill inclusion levels leads to an increase in dietary fluoride levels, but a large fraction of this was excreted via the faeces without being assimilated, while assimilated fluoride was accumulated primarily in bones and scales.  $^{23,31,37-40}$  Furthermore, it appears that fish reared in freshwater accumulated more fluoride in their bones, potentially due to a competing uptake from other ions in seawater. Overall, no adverse effects on health or growth have been observed in salmon fed fluoride levels of 150–350 mg kg $^{-1}$ . The fluoride content in krill was mainly found in the exoskeleton and can be reduced if krill are deshelled before processing. However, deshelling did not reduce the content of copper and cadmium, which are also high, although these may selectively accumulate in non-muscle tissue of salmon. European Commission Regulation 1881/2006 sets maximum levels for cadmium (Cd), a heavy metal that can be toxic to animals, in a range of food-stuffs and allows a limit up to 0.050 mg kg $^{-1}$  of Cd in fish and crustacean meat products.

Northern oceanic euphasiids are mainly comprised of the Northern krill, Meg. norvegica, with a co-occurrence of species from the Thysanoessa (Thysanoessa inermis and Thysanoessa longicaudata).44 Meg. norvegica is thought to constitute the main biomass of krill in the Northern hemisphere, with a total biomass equivalent to that of Antarctic krill, ~380 million tons. 45 The nutritional profile of Northern krill resembles that of North Atlantic and Antarctic krill, although Northern krill shows distinctly different patterns of lipid deposition (total lipid of 10%-45% DM). The complex seasonal variations in the fatty acid content typically reflect the diet, and non-depot glycerophospholipids may constitute 3.5%-4.5% DM.46 The Antarctic krill species have different strategies for lipid storage. Although Eup. superba primarily deposits triacylglycerols (TAG), the ice krillEuphausia crystallorophias and the krillThysanoessa macrura mainly accumulate wax esters.<sup>47</sup> The digestibility of wax esters in feed for salmon can be lower than the digestibility of TAG. 17,48 The utilisation of low trophic species other than Eup. superba as future lipid resource for aquaculture therefore needs to be further studied. Consistent with Antarctic krill, Arctic krill species also contain astaxanthin esters as well as high levels of chitin, fluoride, copper and cadmium. Commercial harvesting of the Arctic krill species is not yet permitted, although some fishery trials have been initiated in Iceland and Norway to explore the commercial potential.

#### 2.2 | Calanus

The marine copepod, *Calanus* sp., is an abundant marine zooplankton with a one-year life cycle and a biomass described as one of the largest renewable and harvestable resource in the Norwegian Sea.<sup>49</sup> Copepod species, including *Calanus finmarchicus*, *Calanus glacialis*, *Calanus hyperboreus* and *Calanus helgolandicus*, are present in the North Sea, Barents Sea and Northern Atlantic Ocean and *Calanus* sp. are also found in large quantities in the Labrador Sea between Canada and Greenland, along the east coast of the United States, and in the Irminger Sea between Iceland and Greenland.<sup>50</sup> Their abundance has recently been evaluated and fishing techniques to target *Cal. finmarchicus* have been developed. The annual production of

Calanus sp. shows seasonal variation, and the production estimates also show some variation based on the methodological approach for measuring of biomass. In the deeper Norwegian Sea basins, an annual production in the range of 193–290 million MT wet weight, with a standing biomass during the early summer (May–July) in between 29 and 45 million MT have been reported. The recommended harvest from similar low trophic sources (e.g. Arctic krill) is 10%. Commercial fishing of Calanus sp. has recently opened in Norway, with an established starting annual quota of 254,000 MT in 2019. Fisheries will be located far from the coast in areas with depths >1000 m, whereas up to 3000 MT can be fished closer to the coast in areas with depths <1000 m. The small and medium-size enterprise, Calanus AS, was awarded an additional research quota of 5000 MT, which can also be fished in shallow waters (https://www.fiskeridir.no).

Available Cal. finmarchicus stock levels have been evaluated by the Norwegian Institute of Marine Research (Bergen, Norway), and fishing technologies accordingly developed by performing of a trial fishery with a quota of 165,000 MT in 2016. A sustainable trawling method low in fishery by-catch using a specialised net and with up to 66% reduced fuel consumption compared with conventional fishing has been developed during the fishery trial period. Cal. finmarchicus contains 20%-23% DM, partitioned by 5% oil and 18% protein.<sup>54</sup> The n-3 LC-PUFAs in Cal. finmarchicus account for 20%-30% of the fatty acids in wax esters,55 which is their main lipid storage component. Seawater acclimated Atlantic salmon can effectively utilise diets in which a major lipid component is derived from Calanus.48 Bogevik have reported that Atlantic salmon hydrolyse fatty acids in wax esters slower than TAG, and feed and grow better on diets with a medium level of wax esters (30% of the lipid) compared to diets with a higher level of wax esters (50% of the lipid).<sup>17</sup> In Atlantic halibut, Calanus copepod oil was significantly less digestible than Euphasia krill oil and fish oil.56 Despite some limitation due to high amounts of wax esters, the findings support the use of lipid from Cal. finmarchicus as an alternative or a supplement to fish oil and a provider of long-chain n-3 PUFA in diets for salmon. Human clinical studies have confirmed its safety and bioavailability.57-59

The carapace-rich side-stream from *Cal. finmarchicus* oil extraction may have potential use in various feed applications, and a liquid protein concentrate of *Cal. finmarchicus* is currently in use as feed stimulating ingredient in starter feeds for marine fish and prawn larvae (Skretting ARC). Commercial fishing has recently been opened, with 10 new licences awarded for *Cal. finmarchicus* fishing and processing from the Norwegian Institute of Marine Research (Bergen, Norway). The harvestable volume and number and variations of processing methods and yielding products is predicted to increase, thus opening the possibility for the sustainable use of *Cal. finmarchicus* both as a feed enhancer as well as a sustainable protein and lipid resource for aquaculture feeds.

# 2.3 | Mesopelagic fish

Mesopelagic fish are considered to have great potential as a source of marine protein and lipid for use as a sustainable feed resource in

aquafeeds. Recent estimates suggest that there may be up to 10 billion MT of mesopelagic fish globally, which is 100 times more than the quantity of wild fish harvested each year by traditional fisheries.<sup>60</sup> Mesopelagic fish live at depths of 200-1000 m and include 30 identified families to date. They are mainly found in large quantities in the deep fjords and on the continental shelf in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans. Gonostomatidae and Myctophidae are the dominant families worldwide. The largest biomass resources in the Northeast Atlantic include Benthosema glaciale (lanternfish, family Myctophidae) and Maurolicus muelleri (Mueller's pearlside, family Sternoptychidae). Deep-sea Cyclothone sp. (bristlemouths, Gonostomatidae family) are also abundant but may be of less commercial interest due to their small size and distribution in the lower mesopelagic lavers. 60-62 No long-lasting economically sustainable fisheries on mesopelagic fish have been established, although a few promising stocks were explored during the early 1970s and 1980s. Mesopelagic fish originating from on-going fishery trials are currently used as raw material in conventional fish meal production on a basis of regulations provided along with mesopelagic grant permissions (The Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries, Norway). Due to high autolytic activity in mesopelagic raw material, methods for on-board processing of fish protein concentrate and/or hydrolysate to preserve the nutritional value and to improve growth performance and health responses in salmon, 63,64 are evaluated as commercial alternatives to conventional fish meal and fish oil processing.

Mesopelagic fish captured in the Northern Arabian waters consists mostly of Myctophidae sp., which are lean and bony fish that typically contain 65%–70% protein, 10%–16% lipid and 16% ash (dry weight), consistent with that reported for Pacific *Lampanyctus regalis*, <sup>65</sup> while also showing seasonal variation. Mesopelagic fish species are not only rich sources of high-quality proteins and lipids, <sup>66,67</sup> but also of minerals and bioactive compounds. <sup>68</sup> Fish harvested from low trophic levels typically contains mixed biomass of jellyfish, krill, shrimp, amphipods and mesopelagic fish and variations in the composition of the biomass are expected. <sup>69</sup>

The low content of persistent organic pollutants and other inorganic compounds reported suggests that oil from mesopelagic fish can be used as a sustainable and healthy alternative to conventional oils.<sup>70</sup> The content of LC-PUFAs, particularly DHA (22:6 n-3), is high and can help to meet the requirement for LC-PUFAs in salmon feed. A high content of monoene fatty acids, particularly oleic acid (18:1 n-9), has been reported in Myctophidae sp. catch during the early fishery trials in Norway in the 1990s. However, mesopelagic fish contain variable amounts of wax esters, 71,72 that may interfere with nutrient digestion in fish, 73,74 and/or cause problems with lipid extraction during processing. Potential anti-nutritional properties of mesopelagic wax esters have not yet been studied in salmonids. Limitations in the digestibility of n-3 LC-PUFAs present in the wax-rich oil from another low-trophic species, Cal. finmarchicus, was reported in Atlantic halibut, 56 while the wax esters were found to be well accepted in salmon when the Calanus oil was provided at a dietary level of 30% of the lipid. 17

Commercial exploitation of mesopelagic fish is today limited by technical challenges related to capture, management and processing, and to a lack of knowledge of the resource potential for sustainable and bioeconomic harvesting. Fishing at great depths and far offshore is currently under strict regulation, and limited knowledge about the mesopelagic stock distribution and seasonal variation raises logistic problems that must be resolved to develop an ecosystem-friendly management of the mesopelagic fish resources. Mesopelagic research initiatives have been initiated in the Nordic and European regions to explore the potential for developing last-longing, sustainable and bioeconomic mesopelagic fishery.

# 2.4 | Marine macroalgae

The global production of aquatic plants (mainly marine macroalgae) reached 33.3 million MT (wet-weight basis) in 2018, of which >97% was from aquaculture (32.4 million MT).<sup>10</sup> The term macroalgae (or seaweed) refers to numerous species derived from three main classes: (1) brown macroalgae (*Phaeophyta*, >1500 species); (2) red macroalgae (*Rhodophyta*, around 7000 species); and (3) green macroalgae (*Chlorophyta*, 4500–8000 species).<sup>75</sup> The FAO reported >220 macroalgae species of commercial interest; however, <10% of these species are currently intensively cultivated,<sup>76</sup> such as *Saccharina japonica*, *Undaria pinnatifida* (brown kelps) and *Porphyra* spp. and *Gracilaria* spp. (red seaweeds). Macroalgae is currently used primarily for human consumption (both fresh and dried) or further processed to produce phycocolloids, such as alginates, agar and carrageenan.<sup>77</sup>

The chemical composition of macroalgae varies considerably, but is characterised by a large water content, typically around 90%, while the dry matter fraction consists of 3%-35% protein, 30%-60% carbohydrates, 2%-13% lipids and 10%-45% ash, 78-81 The protein content is typically lowest for brown seaweeds (3%-15% of DM), intermediate for green seaweeds (3%-35% of DM) and highest for red seaweeds (up to 47%). 79,81,82 Due to the high level of non-protein nitrogen (N) in macroalgae, a N-to-protein factor of approximately 5 has been suggested. 79,83 The proportion of essential amino acids (EAA) in macroalgae is similar or higher than fishmeal and soybean meal (mean = 45.7%, 43.4% and 46.0% EAA of total amino acids, respectively).84 Compared to both fishmeal and soybean meal, the lysine proportion in macroalgae is usually lower, but most macroalgae species have a higher proportion of methionine than soybean meal.<sup>81,84</sup> Although red macroalgae in general have a higher protein level and protein quality than brown and green macroalgae, more variation exists between species within the taxonomic groups (brown, green and red macroalgae) than between the taxonomic groups.84 Whole macroalgae inclusion at medium to high levels in aquafeed have often resulted in reduced growth performance of salmonids, but for omnivorous fish species such as tilapia, there are some promising results.<sup>84</sup>

In vitro digestibility tests using pepsin suggests that extracted seaweed proteins has a low digestibility, around 17%–57% relative to a casein standard.<sup>85</sup> However, in an in vitro protein digestibility assay of brown and red macroalgae with multienzyme hydrolysis, higher digestibility values were observed.<sup>80</sup> Interestingly, the same authors observed a strong inverse correlation between in vitro protein

digestibility and the total phenolic content, indicating a necessity for refinement by removing both polysaccharides and phenols prior to aquafeed applications. <sup>86</sup> Fermentation has shown to increase in vitro digestibility threefold and appears to be a promising avenue to pursue. <sup>82</sup> Another approach is to extract proteins from macroalgae for use in aquaculture diets, but this will require major downstream processing. <sup>87,88</sup> Furthermore, to use macroalgae or hydrolysates thereof as a growth medium for yeast or insect production has been suggested. <sup>89,90</sup>

Few macroalgal species have been considered as potential aquafeed ingredients,<sup>87</sup> but there is an increasing interest in use of bioactive compounds with health benefits from seaweed in functional fish feed, such as laminarin, fucoidan, carrageenan, phenolics and carotenoids.<sup>81,91,92</sup>

# 2.5 | Cultivation of marine species

Fish farming releases significant amounts of solid and dissolved wastes, which may influence benthic and pelagic coastal ecosystems<sup>93,94</sup>: therefore, the rapid expansion of cage aquaculture has raised environmental concerns. IMTA is a promising ecological means to mitigate the effects of waste discharge from fish farms, while obtaining biomass production of co-cultured species. 95-98 In IMTA systems, fed aquatic species are combined with filter feeders and macroalgae to create a balanced system and circular use of nutrients. Several species have been studied and evaluated in a variety of systems. Macroalgae cultured close to salmon cages assimilate nutrients released from salmon farming and show higher growth rate. 94,99 Macroalgae (S. latissima and Alaria esculenta) are a superior source of minerals compared with terrestrial biomass, contain high-quality proteins and a wide range of bioactive components, which can have a range of different applications in fish feeds as discussed earlier. Filter feeders, such as blue mussels (Mytilus edulis) grown in proximity to salmon cages, can assimilate small organic particles of the salmon waste and produce a high growth rate in the spring. 100,101 Large particles originating from fish feed and faeces sink rapidly to the bottom, 102 where such wastes may be better utilised by deposit feeders, such as sea cucumbers. 103,104 This type of co-cultivation reduces the environmental impact and increases the resource efficiency and biomass production without the addition of energy in the form of feed.

Polychaetes (*Polychaete* sp.), amphipods (*Gammarus* sp.), tunicates (*Tunicata* sp.), clams and shells are all bottom feeders that prey on algae and other dead or wasted organic materials. The largest potential for these species as raw materials for aquaculture feeds lies in their selective cultivation or co-cultivation, rather than harvesting from wild populations, as the latter is unlikely to be financially or ecologically sustainable. Cultured polychaetes have the potential for diversification of aquaculture, either as the main crop species or produced in integrated systems with other species. The potential for cultivation of polychaetes was reviewed by Pombo et al., <sup>105</sup> who highlighted existing species in production and potential new species. Although their focus was on aquaculture production of polychaetes

for live bait, polychaetes may also play a role in aquaculture as wet feed or in the production of meal and oil. Most experimental work on polychaete culture in Northern Europe has focused on the common ragworm (Hediste diversicolor) as well as the king ragworm (Alitta virens) and lugworm (Arenicola marina). Rearing strategies for the commercialisation of polychaete production were pioneered by Olive, 106 highlighting the requirements of new production systems, environmental control and controlled breeding. He. diversicolor can be cultivated on a wide range of diets (faecal waste from fish, microalgae paste, fish flesh and formulated fish feeds) and exhibit high growth rates of 1.2%-6.5% per day depending on diet, temperature and life stage. 107,108 Polychaetes can be reared at high densities, depending on the species (and probably final size). Perinereis helleri has been successfully cultivated at 6000 individuals m<sup>-2</sup>, <sup>109</sup> minimising the physical footprint of production facilities. Polychaetes have been shown to have an excellent proximate composition, containing 55%-60% protein (N) and 12%-20% lipid on a DM basis. 108,110 with well-balanced amino acid, vitamin and mineral profiles, and high levels of PUFAs. 108,109 In addition to high growth rates, Brown et al. showed that the feed conversion of the sandworm Nereis virens was approximately 3,110 meaning that 1 kg of polychaetes could be produced from 3 kg of aquaculture sludge from halibut production. The use of polychaete meal as an ingredient in formulated fish feed has not yet been tested.

Cultivated blue mussels (*My. edulis* L.) are also attractive as high-quality marine ingredients in aquaculture feed, with a worldwide production of 1.5–2 million MT, of which around one-third in Europe. Blue mussels have a favourable amino acid composition, <sup>111</sup> and high levels of *n*-3 PUFAs and are reported to promote high growth rates in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). They increase palatability of plant protein-based feed and can produce high growth rates in warmwater acclimated species. However, high production and processing costs have made blue mussels less competitive for use in salmonid feed and cultivated mussels are currently mainly used for food purposes.

Tunicates have been found to be even more efficient than mussels in extracting organic resources due to their lower metabolic cost and high filtration capacity. Despite a high protein content (47%–53% DM), cost-efficient production is difficult to obtain due to high water content (90%–95%) and need for dewatering. Industrial-scale cultivation methods for amphipods and tunicates have not yet been established and would require huge production volumes (>10–100,000 MT, w/w) to be relevant.

Harvesting and cultivation of new species at lower trophic levels are one of the designated focus areas in the circular bioeconomy. In IMTA systems, high-quality cultivated species can be produced for food purposes, while other species less suitable for food can be produced in large volumes and used in feed production or for other purposes, including remediation of environmental nutrient footprint of aquaculture actions.<sup>117</sup> The potential of IMTA can be further explored in a broader range of IMTA cultivated species. Moreover, the increased focus on the sustainable use of resources and the pressure to reduce the impact from traditional aquaculture implies that the

search for new production systems and improved management practices and technology are expected to increase in the future.

#### 3 | PLANT-BASED BY-PRODUCTS

Identifying different plant-based by-products for use as fish feed ingredients has received increasing attention in recent decades as the industry continues to search for alternative feed resources. 32,118 There are numerous examples of successfully applied plant-based diet ingredients, including soybean, corn, rapeseed, peanuts, cottonseed and sunflower. 119 In general, plant-based by-products have the potential as ingredients in diets for Atlantic salmon and will, thus, relieve pressure on the wild fish stock and have economic and ecological impact towards an efficient and optimal circular economy. 120,121 Use of plant by-products in diets for carnivore fish like salmon is, however, limited due to a high content of non-starch polysaccharides, a wide range of ANFs, poor palatability, and an unbalanced amino acid composition compared to the requirements. 122 Optimal feed formulation combined with new processing technology and use of exogenous enzymes as feed additives to improve nutrient digestibility of these by-products can offer a partial solution to this problem. 123

#### 3.1 | Brewers' spent grain

The beer and cider brewing industries generate large amounts of byproducts for potential use as fish feed. <sup>124,125</sup> In addition, the recent rapid increase in the global number of small breweries has generated large quantities of brewing by-products that are available at low or no cost. Brewers' spent grain (BSG) is the most abundant brewing byproduct and accounts for 70%–85% of the total by-products generated by the beer brewing process. <sup>125,126</sup> Spent grains account for approximately one-third of the original malt weight from beer production, <sup>125,127</sup> and ~40 million MT of spent grain are produced globally every year. <sup>126,128,129</sup> BSG-based fish feeds have already been successfully evaluated and applied to some fish species, such as Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. <sup>130</sup> To our knowledge, there are currently no commercially applied methodologies using this material in salmonid diets, but there have been successful attempts to evaluate the suitability of this raw material in salmonid fish feeds. <sup>131,132</sup>

# 3.2 | Distiller's grains and distiller's dried grains with solubles

Distilled alcohols are produced by the distillation of liquid materials that have already experienced alcoholic yeast fermentation. Typical fermented materials include fruits, sugarcane, grains or vegetables, such as potatoes. During the distillation process the liquid is purified and the diluting components (mostly water) are removed to increase the alcohol content to make it suitable for use in various industrial purposes or human consumption (liquors). More than

40 million MT of leftover materials, such as distiller's grains (DGs) or distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGSs), are produced from the distillation process annually in the United States alone and have great potential as a source for fish feeds. <sup>134</sup> The rapid growth of the fuel ethanol industry has resulted in a phenomenal and continuing increase in the production of DGs. <sup>133</sup> Importantly, DDGSs also contain yeast, which is a valuable source of beta-glucans and nucleotides that may have enhancing effects on immune defence in fish. Efforts have already been made to use this source in aquaculture and the suitability of this material has been tested in both omnivorous species like tilapia and in salmonids like *On. mykiss* with positive results. <sup>135–138</sup> Diets containing up to 10% DDGSs have shown to support high growth performance in *On. mykiss*. <sup>139</sup> However, further studies are required to determine the optimal proportions of DGs and DDGSs for salmonid aquaculture.

# 3.3 | Rapeseed/canola cake

Rapeseed is a common oil crop grown in the Northern hemisphere. Its global production volume is 71 million MT, yielding 28 million MT oil and  $\sim\!40$  million MT of cake.  $^{140}$  Around 28% of the global production volume is produced in Europe and 30% is produced in Canada, while the remaining 40% are produced worldwide in small quantities by other countries. Rapeseed has a crude protein content of 20%–23% on a DM basis and contains 43%–47% lipid and 12%–13% fibre.  $^{141}$  Around 70%–98% of the oil content can be recovered, depending on whether oil extraction occurs by cold pressing or solvent extraction, resulting in rapeseed meal or press cake containing 35%–40% protein, which represents an attractive protein source in animal feed. The EAA composition in rapeseed is among the best of the plant-based protein sources but varies with according to source, pre-treatment and lipid extraction method.  $^{141,142}$  Methionine and lysine comprise the first potential limiting EAAs that may restrict its inclusion level in fish feed.

The use of rapeseed cake as a raw material is limited by the high content of ANFs, including glucosinolates, tannins, phytic acid, sinapine, lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose. 142-144 These ANFs exert various adverse effects on growth performance and health of salmonids. ANFs found in plant-based protein sources and their effects on fish were reviewed two decades ago. 145 Enami reviewed the potential use of rapeseed and canola meal as a replacement for fish meal in aquaculture diets and concluded that up to 20% inclusion levels for salmonids was not a problem as long as fish meal was included for palatability. 146 The author highlighted the need for future studies directed towards the reduction or removal of ANFs in unrefined rapeseed meals.

The possibility of including unrefined rapeseed and canola cake or meal for aquaculture feeds has been studies since the 1970s, predominantly in species of tilapia, <sup>147</sup> catfish, <sup>148</sup> and salmonids. <sup>149</sup> In general, results show that moderate level of rapeseed meal of about 20% in diets for omnivorous species supported high growth performance, while higher inclusion level had adverse effect on performance. In salmonids, a meta-analysis on the use of plant ingredients showed that

increasing the inclusion level of both canola meal and canola protein concentrate reduced growth rate in On. mykiss. 150 In general, processing to produce a protein concentrate provided better growth performance than using conventional meals. However, the metaanalysis showed that canola protein concentrates also had significant, negative effects on the growth performance of On. mykiss. The growth performance in On. mykiss was, however, not compromised by rapeseed diets based predominantly on protein concentrate or diets based on canola protein isolate. 149,151 Furthermore. Shafaeipour et al. 152 used a solvent-extracted canola meal to show that growth in On. mykiss fingerlings was not negatively affected by inclusion levels up to 300 g kg $^{-1}$  (replacing  $\sim$ 25% of protein). Discrepancies among results regarding use of rapeseed and canola meal, or products thereof, in feed for salmonids remains unclear. This could be due to the differences in nutritional composition or quality of the ingredient, variable processing methods used to produce protein concentrates, as well as feeding regimen or fish genotype, age and environment as discussed by Collins et al. 150

### 4 | MICROBIAL FEED RESOURCES

The increased demand for sustainable fish feed has led to an increased interest in alternative protein sources in aquafeeds. Microbial ingredients, such as fungi (yeasts), microalgae and bacteria have received increasing attention as alternatives. These ingredients have a low carbon footprint because they have a rapid growth rate, do not require any agricultural land, use little fresh water and can be produced from non-food biomass, CO2 (microalgae) or natural gas (methanotroph bacteria). Overall, microbial ingredients can relieve the pressure on human food resources. Yeast and bacteria are examples of microbial ingredients that have been used in livestock feeds since the late 1940s. 153-155 In recent years, the increased demand for highquality protein feedstuffs, advances in technology and reduced production costs have resulted in regained interest. Commercial production of microbial ingredients is under development and several start-up companies have been established, although current production volumes are not known.

# 4.1 Yeast and filamentous fungi

Inactivated whole-yeast cells have recently received attention as potential sustainable ingredients in aquafeeds due to their ability to convert low-value non-food biomass from forestry and agricultural by-products or organic waste streams into high-value feed with limited dependence on arable land, water and changing climatic conditions. 15,156-161 Yeast can be produced from a wide range of feedstock. Use of first-generation feedstock has traditionally been the main carbon and energy sources, but concerns exist about the impact this may have on biodiversity, water and land use and competition with human food. Thus, there is an increasing interest in second-generation lignocellulosic biomass such as by-products from the

agricultural and forestry sectors, as this represents an abundant, natural, renewable and cheap resource for biorefinery. Processing of lignocellulosic biomass from second-generation biomass such as spruce trees for yeast production requires four major steps as reviewed by Øverland and Skrede<sup>15</sup>: thermo-chemical processing pre-treatment that are adopted to the properties of the biomass, enzymatic hydrolyses, fermentation technology using special yeast strains to convert the sugars into microbial biomass and down-stream processing to produce a high-quality yeast-based protein source. The yeast cream is harvested, centrifuges and sprayed dried to a protein-rich powder. Processing of filamentous fungi is similar, but these fungi can grow on a wide range of substrates from different waste streams.<sup>162</sup>

The nutritional value of yeast depends on the species, fermentation conditions and downstream processing conditions after harvest. 158,163-166 The crude protein content of yeast ranges from 380 to 600 g kg<sup>-1</sup> DM. Yeast also has a favourable amino acid composition compared with fish requirements but is often low in the sulphur-containing amino acids, methionine and cysteine, 161,167 In general, yeasts have a relatively low lipid content 168,169 and the fatty acid composition comprises mainly unsaturated fatty acids, 168,169 although oleaginous yeast, such as Yarrowia lipolytica, have a high lipid content with a high proportion of PUFAs. 170 The carbohydrates found in yeast mainly include polysaccharides, with low amounts of monosaccharides and oligosaccharides, except trehalose. 168 Microbial ingredients, such as yeast, have a high concentration of nucleic acids, which constitute 10%-15% in fast-growing yeast cells. 15,166,168,171 Nucleotides are considered as semi-essential nutrients and dietary nucleic acids may be partially salvaged and used by animals, thus positively influencing growth performance and N balance. 172,173 Fish species, such as salmonids, tolerate high levels of nucleic acids due to their efficient hepatic uricase activity. 171,174 In Sal. salar, the N retention increased after feeding Cyberlinderna jadinii (previously known as Candida utilis) containing 93 g kg<sup>-1</sup> nucleic acids compared with a fish meal formulated diet, 169 which suggests that nucleic acids are directly incorporated into the body or spare non-EAA nitrogen via endogenous utilisation.

Most studies with fish have been conducted using Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast. Positive effects of partially replacing protein from fish meal with Sac. cerevisiae yeast in salmonid diets have been reported. 165,175 However, high inclusion levels may reduce growth performance and nutrient utilisation in On. mykiss and Sal. salar due to low protein digestibility. 169,176 Other yeast species, such as C. jandinii, Kluyveromyces marxianus (previously known as Kluyveromyces fragilis), and Wicherhamomyces anomalus, are also of interest in aquafeeds due to their high nutritional value and ability to be produced from a wide range of substrates. Unlike Sac. cerevisiae, fewer studies have used Cyb. jadinii, K. marxianus and W. anomalus in salmonid diets. Previous studies have shown that Cyb. jadinii and K. marxianus yeast are promising protein sources for aquaculture that support high growth performance when replacing up to 40% of protein from fish meal in diets for Sal. salar<sup>169</sup> or when a mixture of W. anomalus and S. cerevesiae (70:30 mixture) replaced up to 40% of fish meal in diets for On. mykiss. 175,177

Yeast contains a wide range of bioactive components, such as  $\beta$ -glucan,  $\alpha$ -mannan, nucleic acids and antioxidants, with potential health beneficial effects. Several reviews have reported positive effects of low levels of yeast on growth performance, immune response and/or protection against bacterial infection and disease resistance. 178-181 Other studies have also reported positive health effects in the distal intestine in response to moderate levels of yeast in salmonid diets. The inclusion of 200 and 25-50 g kg<sup>-1</sup> Cyb. jadinii yeast counteracted soybean meal-induced enteritis (SBMIE) in the distal intestine of Sal. salar. 182,183 Recently, Agboola et al. reported that inclusion of 50 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of W. anomalous and Cyb. jadinii counteracted mild SBMIE in the distal intestine of Sal. salar. 161 Cyb. jadinii, 182 W. anomalus and Cvb. iadinii<sup>161</sup> have also been shown to modulate immune responses in Sal. salar. Furthermore, inclusion of Sac. cerevisiae, C. jandinii and K. marxianus to diets modulated intestinal microbiota in Sal. salar<sup>182</sup> and On. mykiss. <sup>184</sup>

The protein digestibility of yeast may be limited due to the content and characteristics of the cell wall, which limits access of digestive enzymes to the cellular content. The protein digestibility of yeasts in fish varies from 40% to 90%, depending on the species and strain of yeast, as well as the type of downstream processing used after fermentation. Various chemical, enzyme, or mechanical methods have been applied to improve the digestibility of the yeast nutrients. These include mechanical rupturing of cell walls or enzymatic hydrolysis, enzymatic pre-treatment followed by high-pressure mechanical homogenisation, and processing by autolysis. 165,186 Processing via cell homogenisation and protein extraction 171 and autolysis 165 increase the protein digestibility of Sac. cerevisiae in On. mykiss and Sal. salar, respectively.

Filamentous fungus (*Paecilomyces variotii*) from spent sulphite liquor was used to produce Pekilo protein, a microbial ingredient that was used as an alternative protein source in farmed animals by the Finnish Pulp and Paper Research Institute in the 1970s. The protein content of this ingredient was 55%–63% and a digestibility of 87% was reported in monogastric animals. Carbohydrates mainly from the cell wall comprise around one-third of the total biomass, which is also rich in vitamins and minerals.<sup>187</sup> Pekilo protein is valuable for monogastric animals, such as pigs.<sup>188,189</sup> However, the nutritional value of Pekilo as a protein source for salmonids remains unknown.

# 4.2 | Bacterial meal

There has been interest in biotechnological production that uses waste or methanol for production of microbial ingredients for a long time. The first initiatives were developed in the 1970s and The Imperial Chemical Industries was the company first to initiate full-scale production and commercialisation of a microbial protein product called Pruteen, which was produced from methanol oxidation using *Methylophilus methylotrophus*. <sup>152</sup> Bacteria have the advantage of rapid growth on organic substrates, such as sugars and starch, as well as gaseous substrates, such as methane, hydrogen with CO<sub>2</sub> and/or CO<sub>2</sub> as a carbon source and syngas. <sup>156,190,191</sup> The key economic inputs for

such products include the cost of goods and energy combined with high productivity and selling price.

Gas-based fermentation technology to produce methanotroph bacteria, such as Methylococcus capsulatus, from natural gas as the energy and carbon source is advancing. 192 Methane, which is the main component of natural gas, is found widely in nature and is an attractive substrate for bacterial protein production. Natural gas is abundant and cheap, making protein production from natural gas a realistic large-scale alternative. The naturally occurring methanotroph, Me. capsulatus (Bath), is highly efficient at converting methane to bacterial protein. Bacterial meal is produced from the fermentation of natural gas as a carbon and energy source using the methanotroph bacteria, Me. capsulatus, together with small amounts of the heterogenic bacteria, Ralstonia sp., Brevibacillus agri and Aneurinibacillus sp. Oxygen and ammonia are added to a continuous process together with a mineral solution. The bacterial biomass is continuously harvested, centrifuged and ultra-filtrated to remove excess water, followed by short exposure to high temperature to sterilise the product and finally sprayed dried to a powder with <10% water. Bacterial meal contains about 70% crude protein and 10% crude fat and resembles fish meal in macronutrient composition. The amino acid profile has some similarities to that of fish meal, although with a reduced content of lysine and methionine, and a higher tryptophan content. Bacterial meal grown on natural gas also contains around 8% RNA and 2% DNA, depending on the growth rate. Studies have examined the use of bacterial protein produced by natural gas fermentation as a protein source for several animal species, including pigs, chickens, Sal. salar and On. mykiss, 192 and have shown that bacterial meal is a high-quality protein source that supports high growth performance. Furthermore, no health problems have been reported when bacterial meal partially replaced conventional protein sources in nutritionally balanced diets.

Partial replacement of high-quality fish meal with increasing levels of bacterial meal (BioProtein) from 0% to 36% in salmon smolt diets in a 48 day's trial was found to improve growth performance and N retention of the fish.<sup>193</sup> In a long-term feeding trial with juvenile salmon in which up to 50% of the protein source was replaced with bacterial meal (BioProtein), the higher inclusion of bacterial meal (≥37%) resulted in reduced growth and survival rates. 194 Protein digestibility quantified in groups of 60 g salmon was moderately reduced with increasing bacterial meal inclusion, from 90% in the control diet to 84.2% at 50% inclusion. 194 It appears that juvenile salmon may be more sensitive to high bacterial meal inclusion than larger salmon smolt reared in sea water. An interesting candidate bacteria that show good potential as a protein source in diets for salmonids is Methylobacterium extorquens. Met. extorquens contain about 85.5% crude protein, and a high nitrogen and amino acid digestibility comparable to values for commercial fishmeal is demonstrated in Atlantic salmon.<sup>195</sup> Replacement of soybean protein by addition of 5% or 10% Met. extorquens in diets for rainbow trout increased the survival rate and did not negatively influence protein retention, 196 while a moderate level decrease in feed intake was reported.

Bacterial meal also contains a wide range of bioactive components, such as peptidoglycans, naturally occurring antioxidants and

nucleic acids, which have a positive effect on gastrointestinal health in *Sal. salar*. <sup>197–199</sup> Gas-based fermentation technologies have been shown to be profitable due to lower natural gas prices, a higher demand for protein-rich feed resources and access to improved methods. This innovation has reached a new stage where international actors have taken the technology further towards commercialisation. Although the production volumes of bacterial meal are not known, several methanotroph-based bacterial meals are expected be available on the market soon, especially in areas of the world where there is access to cheap natural gas.

Although the use of natural gas offers new feed solutions, another option is to use bacteria such as acetogenic or aerobic carboxydotrophic bacteria in gas fermentation that can use different mixtures of gases, such as biogas, off-gases, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> as a substrate; however, this technology is still young. Other examples include microbial ingredients produced using hydrogen-oxidising bacteria and electricity from solar panels to electrolyse water to produce hydrogen to feed the bacteria, CO<sub>2</sub> from the air, turning waste CO<sub>2</sub> into aquafeed and producing microbial ingredients (ProFloc) from bacteria grown on brewery wastewater and food waste streams or organic-rich process water.

Continued research and development into the production of microbial ingredients may make an important contribution to securing the sustainability of the agriculture and aquaculture industries. Advances in the microbial protein technology have been driven by large industrial actors in close collaboration with universities and research institutes. As the technology advances and the demand for such ingredients increases, industrial partners will play a larger role in advancing the technology. Large international industrial actors already have expertise in fermentation technology and can easily scale up to commercial production when the technology is shown to be profitable and demand from the feed market exists. As the technology advances, there is expected to be a shift in the production of microbial ingredients to become less dependent on photosynthesis and carbon as substrate and use of different gases, such as hydrogen and CO2. This shift will be driven by an increased demand for natural resources due to competition for non-food uses, such as bioenergy, population growth, development of the bioeconomy and climate change.

### 4.3 | Microalgae

The term algae describe a group of taxonomically unrelated organisms that share numbers of traits (capability to photosynthesise as primary producers in aquatic ecosystems, etc.), and include cyanobacteria, eukaryotic microalgae and seaweeds. <sup>201</sup> In this section we will discuss microalgae, including cyanobacteria and Traustochytrids, encompassing genera such as *Schizochytrium* and *Traustochytrium*. Although debated to be algae, many of the commercial products based on species within this clade are marketed as microalgae products. <sup>201,202</sup> The estimated total microalgae production in Europe is 182 MT dry weight per year (excluding Traustochytrids) and 142 MT dry weight of the cyanobacterium, *Spirulina*. <sup>203</sup> The European

microalgae sector comprises 74 microalgae producers and 222 *Spirulina* producers. The annual global production of microalgae biomass (excluding aquaculture hatcheries, which only produce for their own use) is estimated to be 25,000 MT dry weight, of which more than half is produced in China. The total market value is estimated to be  $\epsilon$ 50 million and is expected to grow to  $\epsilon$ 70 million by 2025. Most of this biomass is used for food supplements, but other markets, such as animal and fish feed, are also targeted. Microalgae have been used in aquaculture applications for several decades, mainly for applications, such as in 'green water' hatcheries, as feed for mollusc larvae, echinoderms and crustaceans, as well as some fish larvae or their live prey (e.g., copepods and rotifers) or shellfish refinement. <sup>205,206</sup>

Microalgae contain a range of value-added components, such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, vitamins, antioxidants and trace elements, which are all interesting components in fish feed, either to replace conventional bulk ingredients, such as protein or lipids, or as a natural supplement for increased pigmentation or health benefits. <sup>207,208</sup> The composition and yield of microalgal biomass can differ for each species and can be, to a certain extent, controlled by the growth conditions or chemical composition of the cultivation medium. <sup>209</sup> Some species of microalgae have a high protein content (50%–65% of biomass), such as *Spirulina platensis*, *Arthrospira maxima* and some strains of *Chlorella* and *Scenedesmus*. <sup>210</sup> The amino acid composition of microalgae proteins is similar between species and comparable to conventional food and feed proteins, such as soybean protein. <sup>211</sup>

Microalgae can accumulate high quantities of n-3 PUFAs, which may account for 30%-50% of their total fatty acid content. For example, Schizochytrium sp, Schizochytrium limacinum and Crypthecodinium cohnii contain DHA and Phaeodactylum tricornutum and Nannochloropsis sp. contain EPA. 212-217 while Paylova sp. can accumulate meaningful levels of both EPA and DHA. 218,219 Compared with heterotrophic species, the yield of n-3 PUFAs in photoautotrophic microalgae is low: Ph. tricornutum yields 5.5% EPA on a dry weight basis and Nannochloropsis sp. yields 4.8% EPA. 215,220,221 Efforts are ongoing to increase these levels significantly. 222-224 Haematococcus pluvialis algae meal is a good natural source of astaxanthin<sup>225</sup> and has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, 226 and by Japan and Canada for use in salmonid feed. Duneliella salina is another promising source of carotenoids as a dietary supplement for fish for both pigmentation purposes as well as health benefits.<sup>227</sup> Other health-promoting biomolecules from microalgae include beta 1,3-glucans, such as from Chlorella strains and Euglena gracilis, which can activate the immune system of various fish species. 228-230

Different microalgae-based products, such as dried whole cells, ruptured cells, defatted cells (after lipid extraction) and extracts from various microalgal species, have been studied in feeding trials over the last decade, with different inclusion levels, nutritional profiles and feed processing treatments. The inclusion of various levels (1%–30%) of dried whole microalgae biomass in salmon feeding trials showed no adverse effects on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, or utilisation of the feed, although slightly impaired digestibility and improved biological activities were observed depending on size of the fish, species of algae, inclusion rate (*Arthrospira*, *Entomoneis* sp.,

Nanofrustulum sp., Ph. tricornutum, Tetraselmis sp.) and defatted biomass (Desmodesmus sp., Nannochloropsis gaditana, Nannochloropsis oceanica)..207,231-236 Spray-dried Schizochytrium sp. has successfully been used to replace fish oil in Sal. salar, whereas long-term replacement of fish oil with whole-cell Sc. limacinum in salmon diets resulted in improved growth, anti-inflammatory effects and improved fillet pigmentation. 237,238 Dried whole cells of Isochrysis sp. 239 and Schizochytrium sp.<sup>239,240</sup> were also found to be good candidates for DHA supplementation in On. mykiss feed formulation. Replacing fish oil with Schizochytrium meal led to significant decreases in persistent organic pollutant levels in Sal. salar. 240,241 Furthermore, extracted microbial oil from a novel Schizochytrium sp. (T18) was found to be a sustainable high DHA source for Atlantic salmon feed performance.<sup>242,243</sup> On the other hand, Hart et al.<sup>244</sup> reported that whole cell biomass of Schizochytrium sp. had a high PUFA (98%) and protein digestibility in Atlantic salmon with no need for oil extraction or cell disruption. Tibbetts et al. observed that cell-rupture processing of whole cells of Chlorella vulgaris (inclusion levels up to 30%) greatly improved the apparent nutrient digestibility of juvenile Sal. salar diets.<sup>245</sup> A higher lipid and protein digestibility of feed containing preextruded microalgae (N. oceanica) compared with feed-added whole cells was reported in Atlantic salmon.<sup>246</sup> whereas cold-processed defatted microalgal biomass previously showed lower digestibility.<sup>236</sup> Tibbetts & Patelakis reported that adding up to 20% of intact-cell marine microalgae meal (Pavlova sp. 459) in diets for juvenile Atlantic salmon (Sal. salar L.) resulted in a high digestibility value of EAA and n-3 LC-PUFA of 92-99%.<sup>247</sup> The authors suggested that adding 20% of Pavlova sp. to salmon feed could satisfy n-3 LC PUFA requirements for Atlantic salmon.<sup>247,248</sup>

These studies show that some microalgae may be nutritionally beneficial and sustainable protein or lipid (*n*-3 PUFA) sources in salmon diets, as well as valuable source of pigments, antioxidants and vitamins. Optimal utilisation of the algae potential depends on appropriate pre-processing conditions of the algae biomass and feed processing conditions due to the cell wall structure that might limit the nutrient digestibility and, thus, the nutritional value. In addition to high nutritional value and palatability, the effects of microalgal inclusion on the physical pellet quality may also limit the possible inclusion levels of whole algae biomass. Gong et al. reported that increasing microalgae inclusion levels (*Scenedesmus* sp.) led to differences in feed colour (dark green), increased oily pellet surfaces but reduced fat leakage and produced harder pellets of shorter length.<sup>249</sup> Starch and nonstarch polysaccharides and carbohydrate fractions in the algae biomass may affect the hardness of pellets.

# 5 | INSECTS

Entomophagy, the harvesting of insects for food, has been practiced for thousands of years in many cultures.<sup>250</sup> Rearing of insect's dates back thousands of years to when the cultivation of silkworms (*Bombyx mori*) for silk production began in China. The pupae by-product was fed to carp fish in ponds while the silk was harvested. The use of

insects to convert food waste or cattle faeces and urine slurry into high-quality protein for animal feeds is relatively new, with the earliest studies published in the 1970s. 251,252 A milestone in European insect farming was the publication of the FAO manuscript 'Edible insects future prospects for food and feed security'. 253 Interest in the transformation to a more circular food system increased at the time of publication and since then, research efforts have intensified and investments in the sector have led to more industrialised insect farming for food and feed purposes.<sup>254,255</sup> Pet food was the only feed market for insect protein in the European Union until July 2017,<sup>256</sup> when seven insect species including black soldier fly (Hermetia illuscens), common housefly (Musca domestica), yellow mealworm (Tenebrio molitor), lesser mealworm (Alphitobius diaperinus), house cricket (Acheta domesticus), banded cricket (Gryllodes sigillatus) and field cricket (Gryllus assimilis) were allowed for use in aquafeed. Soon afterwards, the aquaculture sector consumed >50% of the total European insect protein production, which was approximately 5000 MT in 2019.<sup>257</sup> Both insect production and the use of insect products in aquafeeds are predicted to rise, and more than €1 billion has been invested in insect companies to date.<sup>257</sup> However, despite substantial progress in research and the growth in insect production, current production is not sufficient for the extensive use of insects in aquafeed production. Many aspects, such as insect processing, automatisation of production and raw material processing need further attention.257,258

#### 5.1 | Insect meals in feed

Despite the approval of seven insect species for use in aquatic feed in the European Union (EU); most insect meals used in salmon feed today come from black soldier fly and yellow mealworm larvae. Previously, there has been a debate on whether mealworms or fly larvae would be better suited for feed purposes. That discussion has since moved to the background due to the availability of better processing methods, resulting in improved insect meal quality for all species. Instead, the focus has moved towards other aspects of production, such as mass rearing and types of insect feed. Black soldier fly has received most attention in the past couple of years as a nutrient source for fish feed. Its larvae are omnivorous and can convert a wide range of wet organic waste streams; therefore, they can be produced anywhere there are large volumes of organic material available. They can feed on relatively low-quality, wet substrates, keeping production costs low. Black soldier fly larvae fed a good quality substrate, such as food waste, contain approximately 41% protein and 28% fat (dry weight basis).<sup>259</sup> The fatty acid composition of black soldier fly larvae differs to those of other insects, such as yellow mealworm and house crickets. The saturated medium-chain fatty acid, lauric acid (12:0), accounts for 21%-50% of the total fatty acid in black soldier fly larvae, making its fatty acid composition similar to that of coconut oil.<sup>259,260</sup> The nutritional properties and use of insect-based ingredients in aquafeed have been extensively reviewed previously.<sup>261-265</sup> Dietary inclusion of insect protein meal and/or insect oil in

aquaculture diets without a negative effect on growth performance has been successfully demonstrated in salmonids, 266,267 and in some other fish species. 260,268-270 However, insect meals have also shown negative impacts on growth performances and feed utilisation in fish, mainly driven by changes in feed intake and nutrient digestibility.<sup>271-</sup> <sup>273</sup> A meta-analysis performed by Liland et al. concluded that diets containing 25%-30% insect protein do not reduce the performance of farmed fish, including Sal. salar. 265 However, comparing studies is not always straightforward as many of the aspects contributing to the quality of the ingredients (e.g., purity of raw material, chitin levels, processing and storage conditions) vary and are often not described. As more knowledge becomes available, the quality of ingredients can be improved, and older studies will no longer reflect new practices. A meta-analysis performed by Weththasinghe et al. concluded that the effect of insects on growth performance in salmonids depends on the reference diet used.<sup>274</sup> Adding black solider fly larvae meal in fishmeal-based diets reduced growth performance, while it improved growth performance when replacing plant ingredients.

### 5.2 | Protein content

Improved processing technologies have resulted in insect meals with a higher protein content and quality. Attention must be paid to calculating the protein content of insect meal. This is almost exclusively reported as crude protein calculated by using the standard N-to-protein factor of 6.25. However, insects contain high concentrations of non-protein N and the protein content is therefore often overestimated using this factor. <sup>259,275</sup> A N-to-protein conversion factor of 4.76 has been proposed for black soldier fly larvae, mealworm and lesser mealworm, whereas 4.53–4.80 has been proposed for house crickets. <sup>267,275</sup> Similar lower N-to-protein factors have also been recommended for microalgae and seaweeds ingredients. <sup>276,277</sup> Overestimation of the protein content may result in the formulation of underperforming diets, especially when higher inclusion levels are used.

# 5.3 | Chitin

The effects of chitin, the primary structural polysaccharide of the arthropod exoskeleton, are widely debated. The structural form of chitin reportedly inhibits nutrient absorption from the intestinal tract and therefore reduces protein and lipid bioavailability in mice and poultry, <sup>278,279</sup> whereas data in fish are inconclusive. <sup>280</sup> However, high dietary inclusion of whole krill, and hence high chitin levels, supresses growth in salmon. <sup>33,35</sup> Zarantoniello et al. recently reviewed the effects of chitin on microbiota. <sup>281</sup> Although chitin is generally considered not easily digestible by fish, chitinase activity has been found in the intestinal tract of many fish species. Atlantic cod have substantial chitinase activity in their stomach and pyloric caeca, while chitinase activity is not generally found in salmonids. <sup>282,283</sup> The in vivo digestibility of chitin by rainbow trout was shown to be less than 5% <sup>284</sup>;

while it was reported to be more than 90% in Atlantic cod.<sup>285</sup> Chitin is one of the main growth substrates of lactic acid bacteria<sup>286</sup> and considered the 'core gut microbiota' in many marine and freshwater species. 287 These bacteria use chitin as a prebiotic and play a crucial role in making indigestible carbohydrates available, leading to better nutrient accessibility and utilisation for fish.<sup>288</sup> In addition, lactic acid bacteria contribute to the synthesis of vitamins and short-chain fatty acids, such as butyrate, which is an important anti-inflammatory molecule. 289,290 Whole insects contain 5%-25% chitin, and 35% of total chitin is found in the exoskeletons from black soldier fly larvae.<sup>291</sup> The chitin content of insect meal has been reported in only a few studies and can vary considerably.<sup>262</sup> The quantification of chitin remains challenging as this polymer is always associated with other compounds (protein, carbohydrates, lipids, or minerals) in insect meals. Furthermore, chitin is a hard, inelastic, N-acetylated amino polysaccharide, which is insoluble in water and most solvents, making its direct quantification challenging. However, new methods are under development that could be useful for future studies, such as the use of calcofluor staining.<sup>292</sup> It remains unclear whether chitin functions as an ANF or has prebiotic properties; however, it seems to have neither major negative nor positive effects in cultivated salmon.

Future challenges for insect farming for feed include competition for organic waste material with other new industries in the circular bioeconomy, which could drive the prices of insect feed materials up, as well as competition with the insect food market. This could mean that higher prices are paid for the insects used to produce the feed than for the feed itself. The establishment of regulations for insects to be used as food means that the market will start to consume more insect meal, which in turn will hamper the projected volume of growth for insect meal for feed.

### 6 | ANIMAL BY-PRODUCTS

The increased demand for food<sup>8,293</sup> and extensive use of small pelagic fish for direct consumption has led to increased use of marine animal by-products (ABPs) as important sources of oil and protein in feed for fish, livestock, pets and animals reared for fur. ABPs typically contain high levels of bones. The availability of nutrients (i.e. minerals and collagen rich proteins) in bones can be limited and inevitably lead to increased environmental load from fish feeds. The use of novel technology to increase bone nutrient utilisation and meet the requirements for sustainable aquaculture, is discussed below. Terrestrial ABPs are available in much larger quantities than marine by-products, but they are currently mainly used in salmon markets outside Europe.

### 6.1 | Marine ABPs

The global marine by-product volume from fishery is estimated to be around 5–6 million MT, as calculated from the total global capture of 96.4 million MT in 2018.<sup>294</sup> In European salmon-producing countries (mainly Norway, but also the United Kingdom, Ireland and Faroe Islands),

the by-product volume accounts for about 1 million MT of fresh material, <sup>9</sup> equivalent to a bone raw material volume of 191,000 MT.

In fish bones, a high content of minerals, such as calcium and phosphorus, are present in hydroxyapatite  $[Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2]$ , a poorly soluble, hence poorly available mineral complex.

About 71% of dietary phosphorus is released into the environment due to low phosphorus digestibility and excessive waste of salmon feed.<sup>15</sup> The very low digestibility of phosphorus in fish meal produced from blue whiting (Micromesistius poutassou) produced phosphorus deficiency signs in Sal. salar. 295 Phosphorus is an essential nutrient in aquaculture feeds as well as an important constituent in fertiliser for use in agriculture. 296,297 Although the extent of worldwide phosphates and its extractability and geographical concentration are debated, a European Sustainable Phosphorus Platform has been established to reduce the extensive waste of available phosphorus resources (https://www.phosphorousplatform.eu). Novel technology to recycle phosphorus and other minerals from fish bones have been developed and mineral ingredients produced from fish bones shown to be highly available in Sal. salar. 298-300 The fish bone ingredients have also shown added value properties for fish health and quality that make them attractive for use in aquaculture feed. 301 Novel methods to utilise the collagen rich protein in fish bones is currently explored to obtain a resource efficient production. Collagen products are of high market interest, but there are questions about economic feasibility of the new technology that must be resolved before the commercial potential can be fulfilled.

#### 6.2 | Terrestrial ABPs

The global terrestrial meat production was estimated at 346 million MT of dressed carcasses in 2018, of which 250 million MT included poultry and pigs (https://ourworldindata.org/; accessed February 2020). Since the carcass usually constitutes around two-thirds of these animals, <sup>302</sup> of which some is bone, the potential amount of raw material for rendering is huge compared with the needs of salmon aquaculture. Nevertheless, only a limited amount of the global production of terrestrial ABPs is channelled into the logistic chains for use in salmon feed, and the exact volumes that are available and suited to aquaculture are unknown.

In the EU, ABPs are divided into three categories, of which only category three (carcasses or body parts passed fit for human consumption) can be used in feeds for production animals. The rendering process provides two main fractions: animal fat and processed animal proteins. ABPs available for fish feed can be further divided into poultry by-product meal, poultry fat, porcine meat and bone meal, blood meal (whole blood, haemoglobin meal and plasma) and HFM. Within these groups there are large variations in raw material composition, processing conditions and resulting nutritional quality. A4,137,305-314 In EU, by-products from poultry and pigs have been legally approved since June 2013, whereas by-products of ruminant origin are legally banned to eradicate transmissible spongiform encephalopathy.

treatment of ABPs,<sup>316</sup> although it is known that heat treatment of raw materials may interfere with the digestibility of proteins and amino acids.<sup>308,309,317–319</sup> In Chile and Canada, ABPs, mainly of poultry origin, have been used as salmon feed ingredients for many years. In European salmon-producing countries (Norway, United Kingdom, Ireland and Faroe Islands), these products have not gained the same popularity since the ban was lifted in 2013. The reason for this is not clear but it may relate to a higher availability of fish meal and other protein sources and to a lower consumer acceptance.

Terrestrial oils, such as from poultry, are an interesting energy source in salmon diets due to their high availability and low price. They have shown to have no negative effects on growth performance in *On. mykiss* and *Sal. salar*. <sup>320–322</sup> The fatty acid composition of salmon fillets is strongly influenced by the dietary oil, <sup>323,324</sup> and a clear effect of fatty acid composition was found in fillet and whole body of salmon fed with poultry oil. <sup>321,322,325</sup> Reduced liver triacylglycerol levels were reported in salmon fed with diets added with poultry oil. <sup>325</sup> However, at the moment, the use of these terrestrial ingredients in salmon feed is limited.

#### 7 | FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Although novel ingredients are needed to bridge the gap in aquaculture feed resources, several challenges must be resolved to successfully implement these in the aquaculture industry. In addition to a high nutritional content, aspects related to technical quality, availability, cost and ecological sustainability need to be addressed. Many of the novel protein sources discussed in the present review are still not available for the aquaculture feed industry and their direct use for aquafeed is limited by several factors. Some ingredients have a low protein content and an unbalanced amino acids composition compared with the requirements of fish, and some may contain undesirable components that can reduce their nutritional value or cause nutrition-related health disorders, such as plant co-products (structural carbohydrate sources, such as lignin and celluloses), exoskeletons (chitin from insects and crustaceans) and microbial ingredients (cell wall material). Another limitation is the challenges associated with the physical feed quality. High physical pellet quality is essential to withstand logistic treatment (e.g., bulk transportation and pneumatic conveying) and extensive discharge of nutrients to the aqueous environment.<sup>234,326-328</sup>

Tacon<sup>329</sup> and Gatlin et al.<sup>122</sup> published detailed reviews on the ANFs present in plant-based feed (oilseeds and pulses), in which ANFs are divided into four categories: (1) protein-related (protease inhibitors, haemagglutinins, toxic amino acids and allergens); (2) glycosides (goitrogens, cyanogens, saponins and oestrogens); (3) phenols (gossypols and tannins) and (4) miscellaneous ANFs (phytic acid, anti-vitamins, anti-enzymes, mycotoxins and toxic fatty acids). ANFs present in both microbial-based<sup>15,161</sup> and insect-based<sup>330</sup> ingredients include structural carbohydrates in the cell wall fraction and chitin. A coordinated research effort is required to upgrade the nutritional value and to reduce undesirable components to increase their use in aquafeeds.

Relevant technologies include solid-state or liquid-based fermentation, thermochemical, physical, or enzymatic treatment, selective crop breeding and application of genetic modification technology.

For novel aquafeed resources to be commercially interesting, they must be available in large quantities, have a predictable supply all year round and be competitively priced. Another aspect is high flexibility for their use in feed to ensure reduced risk and volatility for the aquaculture industry. At present, available volumes and economic feasibility remain a limitation for the use of several novel alternatives in aquaculture feeds. Several companies have invested in large-scale technological capacities to produce large volumes of n-3 PUFA alternatives, which are currently on the market. The capacity for insect production is increasing and several commercial-scale facilities are being built and ramping up their commercial volumes. Other resources, such as ABPs, are commercially available in large quantities and are commonly used as feed ingredients in salmon markets outside Europe. In European countries, such as Norway, the market acceptance of such ingredients is limited and influences the market adoption. Surveys conducted to investigate the rationale for consumer acceptance of ABPs and their use as ingredients highlights a lack of familiarity and an unclear perception of what ABPs are. 331 while the reason for rejecting by-products may be based on emotions and ideology, as well as questioning food safety and industry motivation.<sup>332</sup> Plant-based by-products are commercially available, but their nutritional value is often too low to meet the requirements for salmon feeds and would require additional processing steps, which would also increase the production cost.

#### 8 | CONCLUSIONS

Changing climatic conditions and increasing competition for land, water and energy, as well as fully exploited capture fisheries, emphasise the urgent need for sustainable feed ingredients developed from underutilised natural resources. Microbial ingredients, such as bacteria and yeast, as well as insects, are receiving increasing attention as promising alternatives due to their ability to convert non-food organic waste streams from forestry, agriculture and food industries into high-quality nutrients without putting pressure on natural resources, independent of climate. To increase their share, these new ingredients must meet the requirements of being available in large quantities, having a predictable supply all year round and being competitively priced for functional use. The main strategies for improving the public acceptance for use of a broad range of ingredients should be to ensure the consumer of food safety and avoid associations with waste, as well as familiarising the public with the novel ingredients that are emerging in the circular economy. In the future, there will be more competition for natural resources driven by factors such as population growth, development of the bioeconomy and climate change. In this scenario, aquaculture will play an important role in meeting the global protein supply, developing novel technology and exploring the use of alternative sustainable feed ingredients.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This research was conducted within the Nordic Centre of Excellence for Sustainable and Resilient Aquatic Production (SUREAQUA) and funded by NordForsk (Grant 82342) within the Nordic bioeconomy program. A special thanks goes to freelance editor Jenny Collins for proofreading of the manuscript.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Sissel Albrektsen: Project administration; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. Raine Kortet: Writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. Peter Vilhelm Skov: Writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. Elisabeth Ytteborg: Writing – original draft. Susanne Gitlesen: Writing – original draft. Dorinde M.M. Kleinegris: Writing – original draft. Liv Torunn Mydland: Writing – original draft. Jon Ø Hansen: Writing – original draft. Erik-Jan Lock: Writing – original draft. Turid Mørkøre: Writing – original draft. Philip James: Writing – original draft. Xin Xin Wang: Writing – original draft. Ragnhild DragOy: Writing – original draft. Birthe Vang: Writing – original draft. Bjarne Hatlen: Writing – original draft. Ehsan Daneshvar: Writing – original draft. Amit Bhatnagar: Writing – original draft. Linda Jensen: Writing – original draft. Margareth Øverland: Writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.

#### **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study

#### ORCID

Sissel Albrektsen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6316-6564
Susanne Gitlesen https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3844-0610
Dorinde Kleinegris https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9333-9094
Ehsan Daneshvar https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0654-6536
Margareth Øverland https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1142-6624

# REFERENCES

- Boland MJ, Rae AN, Vereijken JM, et al. The future supply of animalderived protein for human consumption. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2013;29:62-73.
- IPCC. Climate change 2013: Thephysical science basis. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, et al., eds. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
- IPCC. IPCC special report on the ocean and cryosphere in a changing climate. In: Pörtner H-O, Roberts DC, Masson-Delmotte V, et al., eds. International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC); 2019.
- Verboven H, Vanherck L. Sustainability management of SMEs and the UN sustainable development goals. *UmweltWirtschaftsForum*. 2016;24:165-178.
- Verboven H, Vanherck L. The sustainability paradox of the sharing economy. *UmweltWirtschaftsForum*. 2016;24:303-314.
- FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2016.
- Shepherd CJ, Jackson A. Global fishmeal and fish oil supply-inputs, outputs and markets. J Fish Biol. 2013;83(4):1046-1066.
- 8. FAO. *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020*. Sustainability in Action. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2020:244.

- Almås KA, Josefsen KD, Gjøsund SH, et al. Sustainable Feed for Norwegian Salmon. Norway: SINTEF: 2020:01128.
- FAO. FAO Yearbook. Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics 2018. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2018
- Olafsen T, Winther U, Olsen Y, Skjermo J. Value Created from Productive Oceans in 2050. Sintef Report A23299. Petroleum Safety Authority; 2012.
- Tacon AGJ, Metian M. Feed matters: satisfying the feed demand of aquaculture. Rev Fish Sci Aquacult. 2015;23:1-10. doi:10.1080/ 23308249.2014.987209
- Aas TS, Ytrestøyl T, Åsgård T. Utilization of feed resources in the production of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in Norway: an update for 2016. Aquacult Rep. 2019;15:100216.
- Ytrestøyl T, Aas TS, Åsgård T. Utilisation of feed resources in production of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in Norway. Aquaculture. 2015;448:365-374.
- Øverland M, Skrede A. Yeast derived from lignocellulosic biomass as a sustainable feed resource for use in aquaculture. J Sci Food Agric. 2017;97:733-742.
- Winther U, Skontorp Hognes E, Jafarzadeh S, Ziegler F. Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Norwegian Seafood Products in 2017. Norway: SINTEF; 2019:01505. https://www.sintef.no/contentassets/25338e561f1a427 0a59ce25bcbc926a2/report-carbon-footprint-norwegianseafoodproducts-2017\_final\_040620.pdf/
- Bogevik AS. Marine wax ester digestion in salmonid fish. A review. Aquacult Res. 2011;42(11):1577-1593.
- Nicol S, Endo Y. Krill fisheries: development, management and ecosystem implications. Aquat Liv Res. 1999;12:105-120.
- Pauly D, Christensen V, Dalsgaard J, Froese R, Torres F Jr. Fishing down marine food webs. Science. 1998;279:860-863.
- Anderson SC, Mills Flemming J, Watson R, Lotze HK. Rapid global expansion of invertebrate fisheries: trends, drivers, and ecosystem effects. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(3):e14735. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0014735
- Smith ADM, Brown CJ, Bulman CM, et al. 2011 Impacts of fishing low-trophic level species on marine ecosystems. *Science*. 1980;333: 1147-1150.
- Everson I. Krill: Biology, Ecology and Fisheries. John Wiley & Sons; 2008.
- Hansen JØ. Antarctic Krill (Euphausia superba) as a Feed Ingredient for Salmonids with Focus on the Shell Fraction and Fluoride. PhD thesis. Norwegian University of Life Sciences; 2011.
- Hellessey N, Johnson R, Ericson JA, et al. Antarctic krill lipid and fatty acid content variability is associated to satellite derived chlorophyll a and sea surface temperatures. Nat Sci Rep. 2020;10:6060.
- Winther B, Hoem N, Berge K, Reubsaet L. Elucidation of phosphatidylcholine composition in krill oil extracted from *Euphausia superba*. *Lipids*. 2010;46:25-36.
- 26. Berge K, Robertson B, Burri L. Safety assessment of Superba™ krill powder: subchronic toxicity study in rats. *Toxicol Rep.* 2015;2: 144-151.
- Tou JC, Jaczynski J, Chen Y-C. Krill for human consumption: nutritional value and potential health benefits. Nutr Rev. 2007;65:63-77.
- Ellingsen T, Mohr V. A new process for the utilization of Antarctic krill. Process Biochem. 1979:14:14-19.
- 29. Nicol S, Hosie GW. Chitin production by krill. *Biochem Syst Ecol.* 1993:21:181-184.
- Mørkøre T, Moreno HM, Borderías J, et al. Dietary inclusion of Antarctic krill meal during the finishing feed period improves health and fillet quality of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Br J Nutr. 2020;124: 418-431.
- Storebakken T. Krill as a potential feed source for salmonids. Aquaculture. 1988;3:193-205.
- Hertrampf JW, Piedad-Pascual F. Handbook on Ingredients for Aquaculture Feeds. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2000.

- Olsen RE, Suontama J, Langmyhr E, et al. The replacement of fish meal with Antarctic krill, Euphausia superba in diets for Atlantic salmon. Salmo Salar Aquacult Nutr. 2006;12:280-290.
- 34. Hatlen B, Berge K, Nordrum S, Johnsen K, Kolstad K, Mørkøre T. The effect of low inclusion levels of Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba) meal on growth performance, apparent digestibility and slaughter quality of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquacult Nutr. 2016;23: 721-729.
- 35. Hansen JØ, Penn M, Øverland M, et al. High inclusion of partially deshelled and whole krill meals in diets for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture. 2010;310:164-172.
- Karlsen Ø, Amlund H, Berg A, Olsen RE. The effect of dietary chitin on growth and nutrient digestibility in farmed Atlantic cod, Atlantic salmon and Atlantic halibut. Aquacult Res. 2017;48(1):123-133.
- Landy RB. The Effect of Selected Cation Supplementation on Fluoride Toxicity in Rainbow Trout Salmo gairdneri. PhD Thesis. Department of Avian and Aquatic Animal Medicine and Pathology, Cornell University; 1988.
- Julshamn K, Malde MK, Bjorvatn K, Krogdal P. Fluoride retention of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) fed krill meal. Aquacult Nutr. 2004;10: 9-13
- 39. Yoshitomi B, Aoki M, Oshima S, Hata K. Evaluation of krill (*Euphausia superba*) meal as a partial replacement for fish meal in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) diets. *Aquaculture*. 2006;261:440-446.
- 40. Moren M, Malde MK, Olsen RE, et al. Fluorine accumulation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua), rainbow trout (Onchorhyncus mykiss) and Atlantic halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus) fed diets with krill or amphipod meals and fish meal based diets with sodium fluoride (NaF) inclusion. Aquaculture. 2007; 269:525-531.
- 41. Yoshitomi B, Aoki M, Oshima S. Effect of total replacement of dietary fish meal by low fluoride krill (*Euphausia superba*) meal on growth performance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in fresh water. *Aquaculture*. 2007;266:219-225.
- 42. Moren M, Suontama J, Hemre GI, et al. Element concentrations in meals from krill and amphipods possible alternative protein sources in complete diets for farmed fish. *Aquaculture*. 2006;261: 174-181.
- 43. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EU) 2021/1323 of 10 August 2021 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels of cadmium in certain foodstuffs (Text with EEA relevance). Official J Eur Union 2021;L288:13-18. http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2021/1323/oj18/08/2021.
- Dalpadado P, Skjoldal HR. Distribution and life history of krill from the Barents Sea. Proceeding of the Pro Mare Symposium on Polar Marine Ecology, Trondheim, 12–16 May 1990. Polar Res. 1991;10: 443-460.
- Tarling GA, Ensor NS, Fregin T, Goodall-Copestake WP, Fretwell P. Chapter one — an introduction to the biology of northern krill (Meganyctiphanes norvegica Sars). Adv Mar Biol. 2010;57:1-40.
- 46. Saether O, Ellingsen TE, Mohr V. Lipids of North Atlantic krill. *J Lipid Res.* 1986;27:274-285.
- Kattner G, Hagen W, Falk-Petersen S, Sargent JR, Henderson RJ. Antarctic krill *Thysanoessa macrura* fills a major gap in marine lipogenic pathways. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser*. 1996;134:295-298.
- 48. Olsen RE, Henderson RJ, Sountama J, et al. Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, utilizes wax ester-rich oil from *Calanus finmarchicus* effectively. *Aquaculture*. 2004;240:433-449.
- Langård L. Norwegian management plan for harvesting Calanus finmarchicus. 6th Zooplankton Production Symposium ICES/PICES 2016. Norway: Directorate of Fisheries; 2016.
- Melle W, Runge J, Head E, et al. The North Atlantic Ocean as habitat for Calanus finmarchicus: environmental factors and life history traits. Prog Oceanogr. 2014;129(B:244-284.

- Broms C, Strand E, Utne KR, Hjøllo S, Sundby S, Melle W. Vitenskapelig bakgrunnsmateriale for forvaltningsplan for raudåte. Institute of Marine Research. Fisken Og Havet. 2016;8:1-37.
- Hjøllo SS, Huse G, Skogen MD, Melle W. Modelling secondary production in the Norwegian Sea with a fully coupled physical/primary production/individual-based *Calanus finmarchicus* model system.
   Mar Biol Res. 2012;8(5-6):508-526. doi:10.1080/17451000.2011.
- Hewitt RP, Watkins JL, Naganobu M, et al. Setting a precautionary catch limit for Antarctic krill. *Oceanography*. 2002;15(3):26-33. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2002.12
- Vang B, Pedersen AM, Olsen RL. Oil extraction from the copepod Calanus finmarchicus using proteolytic enzymes. J Aquat Food Prod Technol. 2013;22(6):619-628.
- Pedersen AM, Vang B, Olsen RL. Oil from Calanus finmarchicus composition and possible use: a review. J Aquat Food Product Technol. 2014;23:633-646.
- Colombo-Hixson SM, Olsen RE, Milley JE, Lall SP. Lipid and fatty acid digestibility in Calanus copepod and krill oil by Atlantic halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus L.). Aquaculture. 2011;313:115-122.
- Tande KS, Vo TD, Lynch BS. Clinical safety evaluation of marine oil derived from Calanus finmarchicus. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2016;80: 25-31
- Cook CM, Larsen TS, Derrig LD, Kelly KM, Tande KS. Wax ester rich oil from the marine crustacean, *Calanus finmarchicus*, is a bioavailable source of EPA and DHA for human consumption. *Lipids*. 2016;51: 1137-1144. doi:10.1007/s11745-016-4189-y
- Cook CM, Larsen T, Kern HJ, Derrig LD, Kelley KM, Tande KS. Absorption of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) in wax-Ester rich oil from the marine crustacean, *Calanus finmarchicus*, in healthy men and women. *FASEB J.* 2016;30:684.5-684.5. doi:10.1096/fasebj.30.1\_supplement.684.5
- Irigoien X, Klevjer T, Røstad A, et al. 2014 Large mesopelagic fishes biomass and trophic efficiency in the open ocean. *Nat Commun*. 2020;5:3271. doi:10.1038/ncomms4271
- Salvanes AGV, Kristoffersen JB. Mesopelagic fishes. In: Steele JH, ed. Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences. 2nd ed. Academic Press; 2001: 1711-1717.
- Catul V, Gauns M, Karuppasamy PK. A review on mesopelagic fishes belonging to family Myctophidae. *Rev Fish Biol Fish*. 2011;21:339-354. doi:10.1007/s11160-010-9176-4
- 63. Refstie S, Olli JJ, Standal H. Feed intake, growth, and protein utilisation by post-smolt Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in response to graded levels of fish protein hydrolysate in the diet. *Aquaculture*. 2004;239:331-349. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.015
- Kousoulaki K, Rønnestad I, Olsen HJ, et al. Krill hydrolysate free amino acids responsible for feed intake stimulation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquacult Nutr. 2013;19:47-61.
- 65. Butler JL, Pearcy WG. Swimbladder morphology and specific gravity of Myctophids off Oregon. *J Fish Res Board Can.* 1972;29:1145-1150.
- 66. Alvheim AR, Kjellevold M, Strand E, Sanden M, Wiech M. Mesopelagic species and their potential contribution to food and feed security a case study from Norway. *Foods.* 2020;9(3):344. doi: 10.3390/foods9030344
- Wang F, Wu Y, Cui Y, et al. 8<sup>13</sup>C and fatty acid composition of mesopelagic fishes in the South China Sea and their influence factors. *Chem Ecol.* 2019;35(9):788-804. doi:10.1080/02757540.2019. 1651844
- Lauritano C, Martínez KA, Battaglia P, et al. First evidence of anticancer and antimicrobial activity in Mediterranean mesopelagic species. Sci Rep. 2020;10:4929.
- 69. Martin A, Boyd P, Buesseler K, et al. The oceans' twilight zone must be studied now, before it is too late. *Nature*. 2020;580:26-28.

- Berntssen M, Thoresen L, Albrektsen S, et al. Processing mixed mesopelagic biomass from the North-East Atlantic into aquafeed resources; implication for food safety. Foods. 2021;10(6):1-19.
- Sand DM, Hehl JL, Schlenk H. Wax esters in fish: turnover of oleic acid in wax esters and triglycerides of gouramis. *Lipids*. 1971;6: 562-566
- Sargent JR, Gatten RR, McIntosh R. Wax esters in the marine environment their occurrence, formation, transformation and ultimate fates. Mar Chem. 1977;5:573-584.
- Patton JS, Benson AA. Comparative study of wax ester digestion in fish. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 1975;52: 111-116.
- 74. Tocher DR, Sargent JR. Analyses of lipids and fatty acids in ripe roes of some northwest European marine fish. *Lipids*. 1984;19:492-499.
- 75. Guiry MD. How many species of algae are there? *J Phycol*. 2012;48: 1057-1063.
- 76. FAO. The Global Status of Seaweed Production, Trade and Utilization (by Fatima Ferdouse, Zhengyong Yang, Susan Løvstad Holdt, Pedro Murúa and Rohan Smith). Globefish Research Programme. Vol 124. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2018.
- Kılınç B, Cirik S, Turan G, Tekogul H, Koru E. Seaweeds for food and industrial applications. In: Muzzalupo I, ed. Food Industry. 1st ed. Intech; 2013. doi:10.5772/53172. https://www.intechopen.com/ books/food-industry/seaweeds-for-food-and-industrial-applications
- 78. McDermid KJ, Stuercke B. Nutritional composition of edible Hawaiian seaweeds. *J Appl Phycol*. 2003;15:513-524.
- 79. Makkar HPS, Tran G, Heuze V, et al. Seaweeds for livestock diets: a review. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*. 2016;212:1-17.
- Tibbetts SM, Milley JE, Lall SP. Nutritional quality of some wild and cultivated seaweeds: nutrient composition, total phenolic content and *in vitro* digestibility. *J App Phycol.* 2016;28:3575-3585. doi: 10.1007/s10811-016-0863-y
- 81. Øverland M, Mydland LT, Skrede A. Marine macroalgae as sources of protein and bioactive compounds in feed for monogastric animals. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2019;99:13-24. doi:10.1002/jsfa.9143
- Fleurence J, Morançais M, Dumay J. Seaweed proteins. In: Yada RY, ed. Proteins in Food Processing. 2nd ed. Woodhead Publishing/ Elsevier; 2018:245-262.
- Angell AR, Mata L, de Nys R, Paul NA. The protein content of seaweeds: a universal nitrogen-to-protein conversion factor of five. J Appl Phycol. 2016;28:511-524. doi:10.1007/s10811-015-0650-1
- 84. Angell AR, Angell SF, de Nys R, Paul NA. Seaweed as a protein source for mono-gastric livestock. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* 2016;54: 74-84. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2016.05.014
- Fleurence J. Seaweed proteins: biochemical, nutritional aspects and potential uses. Trends Food Sci Technol. 1999;10:25-28. doi: 10.1016/S0924-2244(99)00015-1
- Bleakley S, Hayes M. Algal proteins: extraction, application, and challenges concerning production. Foods. 2017;6:33.
- 87. Wan AHL, Davies SJ, Soler Vila A, Fitzgerald R, Johnson MP. Macroalgae as a sustainable aquafeed ingredient. *Rev Aquacult*. 2018;11(3): 458-492. doi:10.1111/raq.12241
- Gordalina M, Pinheiro HM, Mateus M, da Fonseca MMR, Cesario MT. Macroalgae as protein sources – a review on protein bioactivity, extraction, purification and characterization. *Appl Sci*. 2021;11:7969. doi:10.3390/app11177969
- Sharma S, Hansen DL, Hansen ØJ, et al. Microbial protein produced from brown seaweed and spruce wood as a feed ingredient. J Agric Food Chem. 2018;66:8328-8335.
- Swinscoe I, Oliver DM, Gilburn AS, et al. Seaweed-fed black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) larvae as feed for salmon aquaculture: assessing the risks of pathogen transfer. J Insects Food Feed. 2019;5: 15-27. doi:10.3920/JIFF2017.0067
- 91. Holdt SL, Kraan S. Bioactive compounds in seaweed: functional food applications and legislation. *J Appl Phycol.* 2011;23:543597.

- Belghit I, Rasinger JD, Heesch S, et al. In-depth metabolic profiling of marine macroalgae confirms strong biochemical differences between brown, red and green algae. Algal Res. 2017;26: 240-249.
- Reid GK, Liutkus M, Robinson SMC, et al. A review on the biophysical properties of salmonid faeces: implications for aquaculture waste dispersal models and integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquacult Res. 2009;40:257-273.
- Wang X, Olsen LM, Reitan KI, Olsen Y. Discharge of nutrient wastes from salmon farms: environmental effects, and potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Rev Aquacult Environ Interact. 2012;2:267-283.
- Barrington K, Chopin T, Robinson S. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate waters. In: Soto D, ed. *Integrated Mariculture: a Global Review*, FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No 529. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: 2009
- Chopin T, Buschmann AH, Halling C, et al. Integrating seaweeds into marine aquaculture systems: a key toward sustainability. *J Phycol*. 2001;37:975-986. doi:10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.01137.x
- 97. Troell M, Halling C, Neori A, et al. Integrated mariculture: asking the right questions. *Aquaculture*. 2003;226:69-90.
- Troell M, Joyce A, Chopin T, Neori A, Buschmann AH, Fang JG. Ecological engineering in aquaculture potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine off-shore systems. *Aquaculture*. 2009;297:1-9.
- Wang X, Brock OJ, Forbord S, et al. Assimilation of inorganic nutrients from salmon (*Salmo salar*) farming by the macroalgae (*Saccharina latissima*) in an exposed coastal environment: implications for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. *J Appl Phycol*. 2014; 26:1869-1878.
- 100. Handå A, Min H, Wang X, et al. Incorporation of fish feed and growth of blue mussels (Mytilus edulis) in close proximity to salmon (Salmo salar) aquaculture: implications for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture in Norwegian coastal waters. Aquaculture. 2012;356-357:328-341.
- 101. Reid GK, Chopin TR, Robinson SMC, Azevedo P, Quinton M, Belyea E. Weight ratios of the kelps, Alaria esculenta and Saccharina latissima, required to sequester dissolved inorganic nutrients and supply oxygen for Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, in Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture systems. Aquaculture. 2013;408-409:34-46.
- Elberizon IR, Kelly LA. Empirical measurements of parameters critical to modelling benthic impacts of freshwater salmonid cage aquaculture. Aquacult Res. 1998;29:669-677.
- Ahlgren MO. Consumption and assimilation of salmon net pen fouling debris by the Red Sea cucumber *Parastichopus californicus*: implications for polyculture. *J World Aquacult Soc.* 1998;29(2):133-139.
- 104. Yokoyama H. Growth and food source of the sea cucumber Apostichopus japonicus cultured below fish cages potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquaculture. 2013;372-375:28-38.
- Pombo A, Baptista T, Granada L, et al. Insight into aquaculture's potential of marine annelid worms and ecological concerns: a review. Aquaculture. 2020;12:107-121.
- Olive PJW. Polychaete aquaculture and polychaete science: a mutual synergism. In: Dorresteijn AWC, Westheide W, eds. Reproductive Strategies and Developmental Patterns in Annelids. Developments in Hydrobiology, vol 142. Springer; 1999. 10.1007/978-94-017-2887-4 9
- Santos A, Granada L, Baptista T, et al. Effect of three diets on the growth and fatty acid profile of the common ragworm *Hediste diver*sicolor (O.F. Müller, 1776). *Aquaculture*. 2016;465:37-42.
- Wang H, Seekamp I, Malzahn A, et al. Growth and nutritional composition of the polychaete *Hediste diversicolor* (OF Müller, 1776) cultivated on waste from land-based salmon smolt aquaculture. *Aquaculture*. 2019;502:232-241.

- Palmer PJ, Wang S, Houlihan A, Brock I. Nutritional status of a nereidid polychaete cultured in sand filters of mariculture wastewater. Aquacult Nutr. 2014;20(6):675-691.
- Brown N, Eddy S, Plaud S. Utilization of waste from a marine recirculating fish culture system as a feed source for the polychaete worm, Nereis virens. Aquaculture. 2011;322–323: 177-183
- Langeland M, Vidacovic A, Vielma J, Lindberg JE, Kiessling A. Digestibility of microbial and mussel meal for Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and Eurasian perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). Aquacult Nutr. 2016; 22(2):485-495.
- Berge GE, Austreng E. Blue mussel in feed for rainbow trout. Aquaculture. 1989:81:79-90.
- Kikutchi K, Furuta T. Inclusion of blue mussel extract in diets based on fish and soybean meals for tiger puffer *Takifugu rubripes*. Fish Sci. 2009;75:183-189.
- Kikutchi K, Furuta T. Use of defatted soybean meal and blue mussel meat as substitute for fish meal in the diet of tiger puffer, *Takifugu rubripes*. J World Aquacult Soc. 2009;40(4):472-482.
- Nagel F, von Danwitz A, Schlascter M, Kroeckel S, Wagner C, Schulz C. Blue mussel meal as feed attractant in rapeseed proteinbased diets for turbot (*Psetta maxima* L.). Aquacult Res. 2014;45(12): 1964-1978.
- Filgueira R, Strople LC, Strohmeier T, Rastrick S, Strand Ø. Mussels or tunicates: that is the question. Evaluating efficient and sustainable resource use by low-trophic species in aquaculture settings. J Clean Product. 2019;231:132-143.
- Soininen N, Belinskij A, Similä J, Kortet R. Too important to fail?
   Evaluating legal adaptive capacity for increasing coastal and marine aquaculture production in EU-Finland. *Mar Policy*. 2019;110: 103498. doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2019.04.002
- 118. Turchini GM, Torstensen BE, Ng WK. Fish oil replacement in finfish nutrition. *Rev Aquacult*. 2009;1:10-57.
- Castillo S, Gatlin DM. Dietary supplementation of exogenous carbohydrase enzymes in fish nutrition: a review. Aquaculture. 2015;435: 286-292.
- Naylor RL, Hardy RW, Bureau DP, et al. Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite resources. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:15103-15110. doi:10.1073/pnas.0905235106
- Naylor RL, Kishore A, Sumaila UR, et al. Blue food demand across geographic and temporal scales. Nat Commun. 2021;12:5413. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25516-4/
- Gatlin DM, Barrows FT, Brown P, et al. Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review. Aquacult Res. 2007;38:551-579. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01704.x
- 123. Greiling AM, Tschesche C, Baardsen G, Kröckel S, Koppe W, Rodehutscord M. Effects of phosphate and phytase supplementation on phytate degradation in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* W.) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquaculture. 2019;503: 467-474.
- Mussatto SI, Dragone G, Roberto IC. Brewers' spent grain: generation, characteristics and potential applications. J Cereal Sci. 2006;43: 1-14
- 125. Bjerregaard MF, Charalampidis A, Frøding R, et al. Processing of brewing by-products to give food ingredient streams. *Eur Food Res.* 2019;245(3):545-558.
- Mussatto SI, Roberto IC. Alternatives for detoxification of diluteacid lignocellulosic hydrolyzates for use in fermentative processes: a review. Bioresour Technol. 2004;93:1-10.
- 127. Townsley PM. Preparation of commercial products from brewer's waste grain and trub. MBAA Tech Q. 1979;16:130-134.
- Mullen AM, Álvarez C, Pojić M, Hadnadev TD, Papageorgiou M. Classification and target compounds. In: Charis M, Galanakis CM, eds. Food Waste Recovery. Academic Press; 2015:25-57. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800351-0.00002-X

- 129. Lynch KM, Steffen EJ, Arendt EK. Brewers' spent grain: a review with an emphasis on food and health. *J Inst Brewing*. 2016;122:553-568.
- Zerai DB, Fitzsimmons KM, Collier RJ, Duff GC. Evaluation of brewer's waste as partial replacement of fish meal protein in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, diets. *J World Aquacult Soc.* 2008;39: 556-564. doi:10.1111/j.1749-7345.2008.00186.x
- Yamamoto T, Marcouli PA, Unuma T, Akiyama T. Utilization of malt protein flour in fingerling rainbow trout diets. Fish Sci. 1994;60: 455-460
- 132. Yamamoto T, Ikeda K, Unuma T, Akiyama T. Apparent availabilities of amino acids and minerals from several protein sources for fingerling rainbow trout. *Fish Sci.* 1997;63:995-1001.
- 133. Liu K. Chemical composition of distillers grains, a review. J Agric Food Chem. 2011;59:508-1526. doi:10.1021/jf103512z
- 134. Lim C, Li E, Klesius PH. Distiller's dried grains with solubles as an alternative protein source in diets of tilapia. *Rev Aquacult*. 2011;3: 172-178. doi:10.1111/j.1753-5131.2011.01054.x
- Suprayudi MA, Yaniharto D, Priyoutomo N, et al. Evaluation of practical diets containing high levels of corn distillers dried grains with soluble on red tilapia floating net cage production performance. *Pak J Nutr.* 2015:14:708-711.
- Cheng ZJ, Hardy RW. Nutritional value of diets containing distiller's dried grain with solubles for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J Appl Aquacult. 2004;15:101-113.
- Cheng ZJ, Hardy RW, Huige NJ. Apparent digestibility coefficients of nutrients in brewer's and rendered animal by-products for rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum)). Aquacult Res. 2004;35(1):1-9.
- 138. Øverland M, Krogdahl Å, Shurson G, Skrede A, Denstadli V. Evaluation of distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) and high protein distiller's dried grains (HPDDG) in diets for rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquaculture. 2013b;416-417:201-208.
- 139. Stone DA, Hardy RW, Barrows FT, Cheng ZJ. Effects of extrusion on nutritional value of diets containing corn gluten meal and corn distiller's dried grain for rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *J Appl Aquacult*. 2005;17:1-20.
- Statista. https://www.statista.com/statistics/613487/rapeseed-oilproduction-volume-worldwide/; Accessed May 2021, 2020.
- 141. Barthet VJ, Daun JK. Seed morphology, composition, and quality. In: Daun JK, Eskin NAM, Hickling D, eds. Canola Chemistry, Production, Processing, and Utilization. AOCS Press; 2011:135-145.
- 142. Burel C, Kaushik SJ. Use of rapeseed/canola in diets of aquaculture species. In: Lim C, Webster CD, Lee CS, eds. Alternative Protein Sources in Aquaculture Diets. Taylor and Francis; 2008:343-408.
- Arntfield S, Hickling D. Meal nutrition and utilization. Meal nutrition and utilization. In: Daun JK, Eskin NAM, Hickling D, eds. Canola Chemistry, Production, Processing, and Utilization. AOCS Press; 2011:281-312.
- 144. von Danwitz A, Schulz C. Effects of dietary rapeseed glucosinolates, sinapic acid and phytic acid on feed intake, growth performance and fish health in turbot (*Psetta maxima* L.). Aquaculture. 2020;516: 734624.
- 145. Francis G, Makkar HPS, Becker K. Antinutritional factors present in plant-derived alternate fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish. *Aquaculture*. 2001;199:197-227.
- 146. Enami HR. A review of using canola/rapeseed meal in aquaculture feeding. *J Fish Aquat Sci.* 2011;6:22-36.
- 147. Lin S, Mai K, Tan B, Liu W. Effects of four vegetable protein supplementation on growth, digestive enzyme activities, and liver functions of juvenile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus × Oreochromis aureus. J World Aquacult Soc. 2010;41:583-593.
- Lim C, Klesius PH, Higgs DA. Substitution of canola meal for soybean meal in diets for channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. J World Aquacult Soc. 1998;29:161-168.
- 149. Slawski H, Adem H, Tressel RP, et al. Total fish meal replacement with rapeseed protein concentrate in diets fed to rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss Walbaum). Aquacult Int. 2012;20:443-453.

- 150. Collins SA, Øverland M, Skrede A, Drew MD. Effect of plant protein sources on growth rate in salmonids: meta-analysis of dietary inclusion of soybean, pea and canola/rapeseed meals and protein concentrates. Rev Aquacult. 2013;400-401:85-100.
- Slawski H, Nagel F, Wysujack K, Balke DT, Franz P, Schulz C. Total fish meal replacement with canola protein isolate in diets fed to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* W.). Aquacult Nutr. 2013;19: 535-542.
- 152. Shafaeipour A, Yavari V, Falahatkar B, Maremmazi JG, Gorjipour E. Effects of canola meal on physiological and biochemical parameters in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquacult Nutr. 2008;14: 110-119.
- Westlake R. Large-scale continuous production of single cell protein.
   Chem Ing Technol. 1986;58:934-937. doi:10.1002/cite.330581203
- 154. Roth FX. Micro-organisms as a source of protein for animal nutrition. *Anim Res Develop*. 1980;12:7-19.
- 155. Stringer DA. Industrial development and evaluation of new protein sources: microorganisms. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 1982;41:289-300.
- 156. Ritala A, Häkkinen ST, Toivari M, Wiebe MG. Single cell protein state-of-the-art, industrial landscape and patents 2001–2016. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2009. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02009
- Karimi S, Soofiani NM, Mahboubi A, Taherzadeh M. Use of organic wastes and industrial by-products to produce filamentous fungi with potential as aqua-feed ingredients. Sustainability. 2018;10(9):1-19.
- Spalvins S, Ivanovs K, Blumberga D. Single cell protein production from waste biomass: review of various agricultural by-products. Agron Res. 2018;16(S2):1493-1508.
- Couture JL, Geyer R, Hansen JØ, et al. Environmental benefits of novel nonhuman food inputs to salmon feeds. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53:1967-1975.
- Glencross BD, Huyben D, Schrama JW. The application of single-cell ingredients in aquaculture feeds – a review. Fishes. 2020;5(22):1-39.
- Agboola JO, Øverland M, Skrede A, Hansen JØ. Yeast as major protein-rich ingredient in aquafeeds: a review of the implications for aquaculture production. *Rev Aquacult*. 2021;13:949-970. doi: 10.1111/raq.12507
- 162. Karimi S, Mahboobi Soofiani N, Mahboubi A, et al. Evaluation of nutritional composition of pure filamentous fungal biomass as a novel ingredient for fish feed. Fermentation. 2021;7:152. doi: 10.3390/fermentation7030152
- Gamboa-Delgado J, Marquez-Reyes JM. Potential of microbial derived nutrients for aquaculture development. Rev Aquacult. 2018; 10:224-246.
- Hansen JØ, Hofossæter M, Sahlmann C, et al. Effect of Candida utilis on growth and intestinal health of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) parr. Aquaculture. 2019;511:1-10.
- 165. Hansen JØ, Lagos L, Lei P, et al. Down-stream processing of baker's yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) – effect on nutrient digestibility and immune response in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture. 2021;530:1-10. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735707
- Lapeña D, Olsen PM, Arntzen MØ, et al. Spruce sugars and poultry hydrolysate as growth medium in repeated fed-batch fermentation processes for production of yeast biomass. *Bioprocess Biosyst Eng.* 2020:43:723-736.
- 167. Mahnken CV, Spinelli J, Waknitz FW. Evaluation of an alkane yeast (Candida sp.) as a substitute for fish meal in Oregon moist pellet: feeding trials with coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) and rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri). Aquaculture. 1980;20:41-56. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(80)90060-5
- Halasz A, Lasztity R. Use of Yeast Biomass in Food Production. 1st ed. CRC Press; 1991.
- 169. Øverland M, Karlsson A, Mydland LT, Romarheim OH, Skrede A. Evaluation of Candida utilis, Kluyveromyces marxianus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeasts as protein sources in diets for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture. 2013;402-403:1-7.

- 170. Hatlen B, Berge GM, Odom JM, Mundheim H, Ruyter B. Growth performance, feed utilisation and fatty acid deposition in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., fed graded levels of high-lipid/high-EPA Yarrowia lipolytica biomass. Aquaculture. 2012;364-365:39-47.
- 171. Rumsey GL, Hughes SG, Smith RR, Kinsella JE, Shetty KJ. Digestibility and energy values of intact, disrupted and extracts from brewer's dried yeast fed to rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Anim Feed Sci Technol. 1991;33:185-193. doi:10.1016/0377-8401(91)90059-2
- 172. Kinsella JE, German B, Shetty J. Uricase from fish liver: isolation and some properties. *Comp Biochem Physiol.* 1985;82:621-624.
- Rumsey GL, Winfree RA, Hughes SG. Nutritional value of dietary nucleic acids and purine bases to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture. 1992;108:97-110.
- 174. Andersen Ø, Aas TS, Skugor S, et al. Purine-induced expression of urate oxidase and enzyme activity in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) cloning of urate oxidase liver cDNA from three teleost species and the African lungfish Protopterus annectens. FEBS J. 2006;273: 2839-2850.
- 175. Vidakovic A, Huyben D, Sundh H, et al. Growth performance, nutrient digestibility and intestinal morphology of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fed graded levels of the yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Wickerhamomyces anomalus. Aquacult Nutr. 2020;26: 275-286.
- 176. Hauptman BS, Barrows FT, Block SS, et al. Evaluation of grain distillers dried yeast as a fish meal substitute in practical-type diets of juvenile rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquaculture. 2014;432: 7-14
- Nalage D, Khedkar G, Kalyankar A, Sarkate A, Ghodke S. Single cell proteins. In: Caballero B, Finglas P, Toldrá F, eds. Encyclopedia of Food and Health. Academic Press; 2016:790-794.
- Ringø E, Olsen RE, Vecino JG, Wadsworth S, Song S. Use of immunostimulants and nucleotides in aquaculture: a review. J Mar Sci Res Develop. 2012;2(1):104.
- Hoseinifar SH, Esteban MÁ, Cuesta A, Sun Y-Z. Prebiotics and fish immune response: a review of current knowledge and future perspectives. Rev Fish Sci Aquacult. 2015;23:315-328. doi:10.1080/23308249. 2015.1052365
- Shurson GC. Yeast and yeast derivatives in feed additives and ingredients: sources, characteristics, animal responses, and quantification methods. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*. 2018;235:60-76. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2017.11.010
- Meena DK, Das P, Kumar S, et al. Beta-glucan: an ideal immunostimulant in aquaculture (a review). Fish Physiol Biochem. 2013;39: 431-457.
- 182. Grammes F, Reveco FE, Romarheim OH, Landsverk T, Mydland LT, Øverland M. Candida utilis and Chlorella vulgaris counteract intestinal inflammation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). PLoS One. 2013;8:1-13.
- 183. Reveco-Urzua FE, Hofossæter M, Kovi MR, et al. Candida utilis yeast as a functional protein source for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.): local intestinal tissue and plasma proteome responses. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(12):e0218360. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0218360
- 184. Huyben D, Nyman A, Vidaković A, et al. Effects of dietary inclusion of the yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Wickerhamomyces anomalus on gut microbiota of rainbow trout. Aquaculture. 2017; 473:528-537. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.03.024
- 185. Barrows FT, Gaylord TG, Sealey W, Rawles SD. Database of Nutrient Digestibility's of Traditional and Novel Feed Ingredients for Trout and Hybrid Striped Bass. USDA-ARS (United States Department of Agriculture – Agriculture Research Service). USDA-ARS National Program 106, USDOI; 2011.
- 186. Nasseri A, Rasoul-Amini S, Morowvat M, Ghasemi Y. Single cell protein: production and process. *Am J Food Technol*. 2011;6(2):103-116.
- 187. Salo M-L. The carbohydrate composition and solubility of pekilo protein and two yeasts. Acta Agric Scand. 1976;27:77-80. doi: 10.1080/00015127709435113

- Alaviuhkola T, Korhonen I, Partanana J, Lampila M. Pekilo protein in the nutrition of growing-finishing pigs. Acta Agric Scand. 1975;25: 301-305
- Vananuvat P, Balloun SL. Value of yeast protein for poultry feeds.
   Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 1979;9:325-343. doi:10.1080/104083977 09527238
- 190. Anupama RP. Value-added food: single cell protein. *Biotechnol Adv.* 2000;18(6):459-479. doi:10.1016/S0734-9750(00)00045-8
- Matassa S, Papirio S, Pikaar I, et al. Upcycling of biowaste carbon and nutrients in line with consumer confidence: the 'full gas' route to single cell protein. *Green Chem.* 2020;22:4912-4929. doi: 10.1039/DOGC01382J
- Øverland M, Tausen AH, Shearer K, Skrede A. Evaluation of methane-utilising bacteria products as feed ingredients for monogastric animals. Arch Anim Nutr. 2010;64:171-189.
- 193. Aas TS, Grisdale-Helland B, Terjesen BF, Helland SJ. Improved growth and nutrient utilisation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) fed diets containing a bacterial protein meal. Aquaculture. 2006;259: 365-376
- 194. Storebakken T, Baeverfjord G, Skrede A, Olli JJ, Berge GM. Bacterial protein grown on natural gas in diets for Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, in freshwater. *Aquaculture*. 2004;241:413-425.
- 195. Salze GP, Tibbetts SM. Apparent digestibility coefficients of proximate nutrients and essential amino acids from a single-cell protein meal derived from Methylobacterium extorquens for pre-smolt Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquacult Res. 2021;49:2218-2224. doi: 10.1111/are.15526
- 196. Hardy RW, Patro B, Pujol-Baxley C, Marx CJ, Feinberg L. Partial replacement of soybean meal with *Methylobacterium troquens* single-cell protein in feeds for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). *Aquacult Res.* 2018;49:2218-2224.
- Romarheim OH, Øverland M, Mydland LT, Skrede A, Landsverk T. Bacterial meal prevents soybean meal induced enteritis in Atlantic salmon. J Nutr. 2011;141:124-130.
- 198. Romarheim OH, Hetland DL, Skrede A, Øverland M, Mydland LT, Landsverk T. Prevention of soya-induced enteritis in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) by bacteria grown on natural gas is dose dependent and related to epithelial MHC II reactivity and CD8a1 intraepithelial lymphocytes. Br J Nutr. 2013;109(6):1062-1070.
- Romarheim OH, Landsverk T, Mydland LT, Skrede A, Øverland M. Cell wall fractions from *Methylococcus capsulatus* prevent soybean meal-induced enteritis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture. 2013;402-403:13-18.
- 200. Takors R, Kopf M, Mampel J, et al. Using gas mixtures of CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> as microbial substrates: the do's and don'ts of successful technology transfer from laboratory to production scale. *J Microbial Biotechnol*. 2018;11:606-625. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13270
- Smith AG, Tredici MR, Boussiba S. What are algae? European Algae Biomass Association Position Paper. Italy: European Algae Biomass Association (EABA); 2019. https://www.what-are-algae.com/.
- Leyland B, Leu S, Boussiba S. Are Thraustochytrids algae? Fungal Biol. 2017;121:835-840. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2017.07.006
- Araújo R, Calderón FV, López JS, et al. Current status of the algae production industry in Europe: an emerging sector of the blue bioeconomy. Front Mar Sci. 2021;7:1247. doi:10.3389/fmars. 2020.626389
- Fernández FGA, Reis A, Wijffels RH, Barbosa M, Verdelho V, Llamas B. The role of microalgae in the bioeconomy. N Biotechnol. 2021;61:99-107. doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2020.11.011
- Muller-Feuga A. The role of microalgae in aquaculture: situation and trends. J Appl Phycol. 2000;12:527-534. doi:10.1023/a:1008106304417
- Pennarun AL, Prost C, Haure J, Demaimay M. Comparison of two microalgal diets. 1. Influence on the biochemical and fatty acid compositions of raw oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*). J Agric Food Chem. 2003; 51(7):2006-2010. doi:10.1021/jf020548k

- Kiron V, Sørensen M, Huntley M, et al. Defatted biomass of the microalga, *Desmodesmus* sp., can replace fishmeal in the feeds for Atlantic salmon. *Front Mar Sci.* 2016;3:67. doi:10.3389/fmars. 2016.00067
- Shah MR, Lutzu GA, Alam A, et al. Microalgae in aquafeeds for a sustainable aquaculture industry. J Appl Phycol. 2018;30:197-213. doi: 10.1007/s10811-017-1234-z/Published
- Minhas AK, Hodgson P, Barrow CJ, Adholeya A. A review on the assessment of stress conditions for simultaneous production of microalgal lipids and carotenoids. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:546. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00546
- 210. Barka A, Blecker C. Microalgae as a potential source of single-cell proteins. A review. *Biotechnol Agron*. 2016;20:427-436.
- 211. Becker EW. Micro-algae as a source of protein. *Biotechnol Adv.* 2007;25(2):207-210. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2006.11.002
- Patil V, Reitan KI, Knutsen G, et al. Microalgae as source of polyunsaturated fatty acids for aquaculture. Curr Top Plant Biol. 2005;6: 57-65.
- Patil V, Källqvist T, Olsen E, Vogt G, Gislerød HR. Fatty acid composition of 12 microalgae for possible use in aquaculture feed. Aquac Int. 2007;15(1):1-9. doi:10.1007/s10499-006-9060-3
- Adarme-Vega TC, Lim DKY, Timmins M, Vernen F, Li Y, Schenk PM. Microalgal biofactories: a promising approach towards sustainable omega-3 fatty acid production. *Microb Cell Fact*. 2012;11:96. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-11-96
- Ma XN, Chen TP, Yang B, Liu J, Chen F. Lipid production from Nannochloropsis. Mar Drugs. 2016;14(4):61. doi:10.3390/md1 4040061
- 216. Sarker PK, Kapuscinski AR, Lanois AJ, Livesey ED, Bernhard KP, Coley ML. Towards sustainable Aquafeeds: complete substitution of fish oil with marine microalga Schizochytrium sp. improves growth and fatty acid deposition in Juvenile Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). PLoS One. 2016;11(6):e0156684.
- 217. Tocher DR, Betancor MB, Sprague M, Olsen RE, Napier JA. Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, EPA and DHA: bridging the gap between supply and demand. *Nutrients*. 2019;11: 89. 10.3390/nu11010089
- 218. Haas S, Bauer JL, Adakli A, et al. Marine microalgae Pavlova viridis and Nannochloropsis sp. as n-3 PUFA source in diets for juvenile European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax L.). J Appl Phycol. 2016;28: 1011-1021.
- 219. Tibbetts SM, Patelakis SJJ, Whitney-Lalonde CG, Garrison LL, Wall CL, MacQuarrie SP. Nutrient composition and protein quality of microalgae meals produced from the marine prymnesiophyte *Pavlova* sp. 459 mass-cultivated in enclosed photobioreactors for potential use in salmonid aquafeeds. *J Appl Phycol*. 2020;32:299-318.
- Zittelli GC, Lavista F, Bastianini A, Rodolfi L, Vincenzini M, Tredici MR. Production of eicosapentaenoic acid by *Nannochloropsis* sp. cultures in outdoor tubular photobioreactors. *J Biotechnol*. 1999; 70:299-312.
- Steinrücken P, Prestegard SK, de Vree JH, et al. Comparing EPA production and fatty acid profiles of three *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* strains under western Norwegian climate conditions. *Algal Res.* 2018;30:11-22. doi:10.1016/j.algal.2017.12.001
- Hamilton ML, Haslam RP, Napier JA, Sayanova O. Metabolic engineering of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* for the enhanced accumulation of omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Metab Eng.* 2014;22:3-9. doi:10.1016/j.ymben.2013.12.003
- 223. Hamilton ML, Warwick J, Terry A, Allen MJ, Napier JA, Sayanova O. Towards the industrial production of omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids from a genetically modified diatom *Phaeodactylum tricomutum*. PLoS One. 2015;10(12):e0144054. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144054
- 224. Kaye Y, Grundman O, Leu S, et al. Metabolic engineering toward enhanced LC-PUFA biosynthesis in *Nannochloropsis oceanica*:

reviews in Aquaculture

- overexpression of endogenous  $\delta12$  desaturase driven by stress-inducible promoter leads to enhanced deposition of polyunsaturated fatty acids in TAG. *Algal Res.* 2015;11:387-398. doi:10.1016/j. algal.2015.05.003
- 225. Ambati RR, Moi PS, Ravi S, Aswathanarayana RG. Astaxanthin: sources, extraction, stability, biological activities and its commercial applications a review. Mar Drugs. 2014;12:128-152. doi: 10.3390/md12010128
- FDA. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. CFR Code of Federal Regulations Title 21; 2020. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?fr=73.185
- Amar EC, Kiron V, Satoh S, Watanabe T. Enhancement of innate immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum) associated with dietary intake of carotenoids from natural products. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2004;16(4):527-537.
- Yaakob Z, Ali E, Zainal A, Mohamad M, Takriff MS. An overview: biomolecules from microalgae for animal feed and aquaculture. J Biol Res Thessaloniki. 2014;21:6. doi:10.1186/2241-5793-21-6
- 229. Yamamoto FY, Sutili FJ, Hume M, Gatlin D. The effect of β-1,3-glucan derived from Euglena gracilis (Algamune™) on the innate immunological responses of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus L.). J Fish Dis. 2018;41:1579-1588.
- 230. Yamamoto FY, Castillo S, Gatlin D. Immunomodulatory effects of β-glucans derived from Euglena gracilis or Saccharomyces cerevisiae for hybrid striped bass (Morone chrysops × M. saxatilis). Aquacult Res. 2020;51:1211-1221.
- 231. Burr GS, Wolters WR, Barrows FT, Hardy RW. Replacing fishmeal with blends of alternative proteins on growth performance of rain-bow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), and early or late stage juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture. 2012;334-337:110-116. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.12.044
- Kiron V, Phromkunthong W, Huntley M, Archibald I, Scheemaker G. Marine microalgae from biorefinery as a potential feed protein source for Atlantic salmon, common carp and whiteleg shrimp. Aquacult Nutr. 2012;18(5):521-531. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00923.x
- Norambuena F, Hermon K, Skrzypczyk V, et al. Algae in fish feed: performances and fatty acid metabolism in juvenile Atlantic Salmon. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0124042.
- Sørensen M, Berge GM, Reitan KI, Ruyter B. Microalga Phaeodactylum tricornutum in feed for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) – effect on nutrient digestibility, growth and utilization of feed. Aqua-culture. 2016;460:116-123. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.04.010
- Sørensen M, Gong Y, Bjarnason F, et al. Nannochloropsis oceaniaderived defatted meal as an alternative to fishmeal in Atlantic salmon feeds. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):e0179907. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0179907
- Gong Y, Guterres HADS, Huntley M, Sørensen M, Kiron V. Digestibility of the defatted microalgae Nannochloropsis sp. and Desmodesmus sp. when fed to Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar. Aquacult Nutr. 2018;24:56-64. doi:10.1111/anu.12533
- Kousoulaki K, Mørkøre T, Nengas I, Berge RK, Sweetman J. Microalgae and organic minerals enhance lipid retention efficiency and fillet quality in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquaculture*. 2016;451: 47-57. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.08.027
- 238. Kousoulaki K, Berge GM, Mørkøre T, et al. Microalgal *Schizochytrium limacinum* biomass improves growth and filet quality when used long-term as a replacement for fish oil, in modern Salmon diets. *Front Mar Sci.* 2020;7:57. doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.00057
- Sarker PK, Kapuscinski AR, Vandenberg GW, Proulx E, Sitek AJ. Towards sustainable and ocean-friendly aquafeeds: evaluating a fish-free feed for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) using three marine microalgae species. *Elementa*. 2020;8:5. doi:10.1525/elementa.4048
- 240. Bélanger-Lamonde A, Sarker PK, Ayotte P, et al. Algal and vegetable oils as sustainable fish oil substitutes in rainbow trout diets: an

- approach to reduce contaminant exposure. *J Food Qual.* 2018;2018: 1-12. doi:10.1155/2018/7949782
- 241. Sprague M, Walton J, Campbell PJ, Strachan F, Dick JR, Bell JG. Replacement of fish oil with a DHA-rich algal meal derived from *Schizochytrium* sp. on the fatty acid and persistent organic pollutant levels in diets and flesh of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*, L.) post-smolts. *Food Chem*. 2015;185:413-421.
- 242. Tibbetts SM, Scaife MA, Armenta RE. Apparent digestibility of proximate nutrients, energy and fatty acids in nutritionally-balanced diets with partial or complete replacement of dietary fish oil with microbial oil from a novel Schizochytrium sp. (T18) by juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture. 2020;520:735003.
- 243. Wei M, Parrish CC, Guerra NI, Armenta RE, Colombo SM. Extracted microbial oil from a novel *Schizochytrium* sp. (T18) as a sustainable high DHA source for Atlantic salmon feed: impacts on growth and tissue lipids. *Aquaculture*. 2021;534:736249.
- 244. Hart B, Schurr R, Narendranath N, Kuehnle A, Colombo SM. Digestibility of *Schizochytrium* sp. whole cell biomass by Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture. 2021;533:736156.
- 245. Tibbetts SM, Mann J, Dumas A. Apparent digestibility of nutrients, energy, essential amino acids and fatty acids of juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) diets containing whole-cell or cell-ruptured *Chlorella vulgaris* meals at five dietary inclusion levels. *Aquaculture*. 2017;481:25-39. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.08.018
- Gong Y, Sørensen SL, Dahle D, et al. Approaches to improve utilization of Nannochloropsis oceanica in plant-based feeds for Atlantic salmon. Aquaculture. 2020;522:735122.
- Tibbetts SM, Patelakis SJJ. Apparent digestibility coefficients (ADCs) of intact-cell marine microalgae meal (*Pavlova* sp. 459) for juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquaculture. 2022;546:737236.
- 248. National Research Council (NRC). Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. National academic Press; 2011.
- 249. Gong Y, Bandara T, Huntley M, et al. Microalgae Scenedesmus sp. as a potential ingredient in low fishmeal diets for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture. 2019;501:455-464.
- Evans J, Alemu MH, Flore R, et al. 'Entomophagy': an evolving terminology in need of review. J Insects Food Feed. 2015;1:293-305. doi:10.3920/jiff2015.0074
- Hale OJGESJ. Dried Hermetia illucens larvae (Diptera: Stratiomyidae) as a feed additive for poultry. J Georgia Entomol Soc. 1973;8:16-20.
- 252. Newton GL, Booram CV, Barker RW, Hale OM. Dried Hermetia Illucens larvae meal as a supplement for swine. J Anim Sci. 1977;44:395-400.
- van Huis CL, Van Itterbeeck J, Klunder H, et al. Edible insects. Future prospects for food and feed security. FAO Forestry Paper. 2013;171.
- 254. van Huis A. Insects as food and feed, a new emerging agricultural sector: a review. J Insects Food Feed. 2019;6:27-44. doi: 10.3920/JIFF2019.0017
- 255. van Huis A. Prospects of insects as food and feed. *Organ Agric*. 2020;11:301-308. doi:10.1007/s13165-020-00290-7
- 256. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/893 of 24 May 2017 amending Annexes I and IV to Regulation (EC) No 999/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Annexes X, XIV and XV to Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 as regards the provisions on processed animal protein. Official J Eur Union. 2017;L138/92. http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2017/893/oj
- 257. IPIFF. The European Insect Sector Today: Challenges, Opportunities and Regulatory Landscape. Belgium: International Platform of Insects for Food and Feed (IPIFF); 2019. https://ipiff.org/wp-content/ uploads/2019/12/2019IPIFF\_VisionPaper\_updated.pdf
- 258. IPIFF Promoting Insects for Human Consumption and Animal Feed

   IPIFF [WWW Document]. International Platform of Insects for Food and Feed, Brussels, Belgium. https://ipiff.org/
- 259. Liland NS, Biancarosa I, Araujo P, et al. Modulation of nutrient composition of black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae by feeding

- seaweed-enriched media. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0183188. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183188
- 260. Li S, Ji H, Zhang B, Zhou J, Yu H. Defatted black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) larvae meal in diets for juvenile Jian carp (Cyprinus carpio var. Jian): growth performance, antioxidant enzyme activities, digestive enzyme activities, intestine and hepatopancreas histological structure. Aquaculture. 2017;477:62-70. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.04.015
- Henry M, Gasco L, Piccolo G, Fountoulaki E. Review on the use of insects in the diet of farmed fish: past and future. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*. 2015;203:1-22. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.03.001
- Nogales-Mérida S, Gobbi P, Józefiak D, et al. Insect meals in fish nutrition. Rev Aquacult. 2019;11:1080-1103. doi:10.1111/raq.12281
- Gasco L, Biasato I, Dabbou S, Schiavone A, Gai F. Animals fed insect-based diets: state-of-the-art on digestibility, performance and product quality. *Animals*. 2019:9:170.
- Hua K, Cobcroft JM, Cole A, et al. The future of aquatic protein: implications for protein sources in aquaculture diets. One Earth. 2019;1:316-329. doi:10.1016/j.oneear.2019.10.018
- Liland NS, Araujo P, Xu XX, et al. A meta-analysis on the nutritional value of insects in aquafeeds. J Insects Food Feed. 2021;7:743-759.
- Bruni L, Randazzo B, Cardinaletti G, et al. Dietary inclusion of full-fat Hermetia illucens prepupae meal in practical diets for rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss): lipid metabolism and fillet quality investigations. Aquaculture. 2020;529:735678. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2020.735678
- Belghit I, Liland NS, Gjesdal P, et al. Black soldier fly larvae meal can replace fish meal in diets of sea-water phase Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture. 2019;503:609-619. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2018.12.032
- Wang G, Peng K, Hu J, et al. Evaluation of defatted black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens L.) larvae meal as an alternative protein ingredient for juvenile Japanese seabass (Lateolabrax japonicus) diets. Aquaculture. 2019;507:144-154. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.04.023
- 269. Fawole FJ, Adeoye AA, Tiamiyu LO, Ajala KI, Obadara SO, Ganiyu IO. Substituting fishmeal with Hermetia illucens in the diets of African catfish (Clarias gariepinus): effects on growth, nutrient utilization, haemato-physiological response, and oxidative stress biomarker. Aquaculture. 2020;518:734849. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2019.734849
- 270. Magalhães R, Sánchez-López A, Leal RS, Martínez-Llorens S, Oliva-Teles A, Peres H. Black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) pre-pupae meal as a fish meal replacement in diets for European seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Aquaculture. 2017;476:79-85. doi:10.1016/j. aquaculture.2017.04.021
- Reyes M, Rodríguez M, Montes J, et al. Nutritional and growth effect of insect meal inclusion on seabass (*Dicentrarchuss labrax*) feeds. Fishes. 2020;5(2):16. doi:10.3390/fishes5020016
- 272. Kroeckel S, Harjes AGE, Roth I, et al. When a turbot catches a Fly: evaluation of a pre-pupae meal of the black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) as fish meal substitute growth performance and chitin degradation in juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*). Aquaculture. 2012; 364-365:345-352. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.08.041
- 273. Gasco L, Henry M, Piccolo G, et al. Tenebrio molitor meal in diets for European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) juveniles: growth performance, whole body composition and in vivo apparent digestibility. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2016;220:34-45. doi:10.1016/j. anifeedsci.2016.07.003
- 274. Weththasinghe P, Hansen JØ, Mydland LT, Øverland M. A systematic meta-analysis based review on black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) as a novel protein source for salmonids. *Rev Aquacult*. 2021; 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12635.
- 275. Janssen RH, Vincken J-P, van den Broek LA, Fogliano V, Lakemond CMJJA, Chemistry F. Nitrogen-to-protein conversion factors for three edible insects: Tenebrio molitor, Alphitobius diaperinus, and Hermetia illucens. J Agric Food Chem. 2017;65:2275-2278.

- Templeton DW, Laurens L. Nitrogen-to-protein conversion factors revisited for applications of microalgal biomass conversion to food, feed and fuel. *Algal Res.* 2015;11:359-367.
- Biancarosa I, Espe M, Bruckner CG, et al. Amino acid composition, protein content, and nitrogen-to-protein conversion factors of 21 seaweed species from Norwegian waters. J Appl Phycol. 2017;29: 1001-1009.
- 278. Tanaka Y, Tanioka S, Tanaka M, et al. Effects of chitin and chitosan particles on BALB/c mice by oral and parenteral administration. *Biomaterials*. 1997;18:591-595. doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(96)00182-2
- Han LK, Kimura Y, Okuda H. Reduction in fat storage during chitinchitosan treatment in mice fed a high-fat diet. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 1999;23:174-179. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0800806
- Fines BC, Holt GJ. Chitinase and apparent digestibility of chitin in the digestive tract of juvenile cobia, *Rachycentron canadum. Aquaculture*. 2010;303:34-39. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.03.010
- Zarantoniello M, Randazzo B, Secci G, et al. Application of laboratory methods for understanding fish responses to black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) based diets. J Insects Food Feed. 2021;1-24. doi: 10.3920/JIFF2020.0135
- 282. Danulat E. Role of bacteria with regard to chitin degradation in the digestive tract of the cod *Gadus morhua*. *Mar Biol*. 1986;90:335-343. doi:10.1007/BF00428557
- 283. Danulat E. The effects of various diets on chitinase and ß-glucosidase activities and the condition of cod, *Gadus morhua* (L.). *J Fish Biol.* 1986;28:191-197.
- Lindsay GJH, Walton MJ, Adron JW, Fletcher TC, Cho CY, Cowey CB. The growth of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) given diets containing chitin and its relationship to chitinolytic enzymes and chitin digestibility. *Aquaculture*. 1984;37:315-334. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(84)90297-7
- 285. Danulat E. Digestibility of chitin in cod, *Gadus morhua*, in vivo. *Helgoländer Meeresunters*. 1987;41:425-436.
- Ringø E, Zhou Z, Olsen RE, Song SK. Use of chitin and krill in aquaculture the effect on gut microbiota and the immune system: a review. Aquacult Nutr. 2012;18(2):117-131. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00919.x
- Ringø E, Zhou Z, Vecino JLG, et al. Effect of dietary components on the gut microbiota of aquatic animals. A never-ending story? Aquacult Nutr. 2016;22(2):219-282. doi:10.1111/anu.12346
- Beier S, Bertilsson S. Bacterial chitin degradation-mechanisms and ecophysiological strategies. Front Microbiol. 2013;4:149. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00149
- 289. Rimoldi S, Finzi G, Ceccotti C, et al. Butyrate and taurine exert a mitigating effect on the inflamed distal intestine of European sea bass fed with a high percentage of soybean meal. *Fish Aquatic Sci.* 2016; 19:40. doi:10.1186/s41240-016-0041-9
- 290. Terova G, Díaz N, Rimoldi S, Ceccotti C, Gliozheni E, Piferrer F. Effects of sodium butyrate treatment on histone modifications and the expression of genes related to epigenetic regulatory mechanisms and immune response in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus Labrax*) fed a plant-based diet. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0160332. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160332
- Hahn T, Roth A, Febel E, et al. New methods for high-accuracy insect chitin measurement. J Sci Food Agric. 2018;98:5069-5073. doi:10.1002/jsfa.9044
- Henriques BS, Garcia ES, Azambuja P, Genta FA. Determination of chitin content in insects: an alternate method based on calcofluor staining. Front Physiol. 2020;11:117. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.00117
- 293. Al Khawli F, Pateiro M, Domínguez R, et al. Innovative green technologies of intensification for valorization of seafood and their byproducts. *Mar Drugs*. 2019;17(12):689. doi:10.3390/md17120689
- IFFO. The Marine Ingredients Organisation. Updates on By-products;
   https://www.iffo.com/update-product-marine-ingredients

- 295. Albrektsen S, Hope B, Aksnes A. Phosphorous (P) deficiency due to low P availability in fish meal produced from blue whiting (*Micromesistius poutassou*) in feed for under-yearling Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolt. *Aquaculture*. 2009;296:318-328.
- 296. Sugiura SH. Phosphorus, aquaculture, and the environment. *Rev Fish Aquacult*. 2018;26:515-521. doi:10.1080/23308249.2018.1471040
- Poulton PR, Johnston AE. Phosphorus in agriculture: a review of results from 175 years research at Rothamsted, UK. J Environ Qual. 2019;48(5):1133-1144. doi:10.2134/jeq2019.02.0078
- 298. Albrektsen S, Thorsen KH, Bæverfjord G, Nygaard H. Improved phosphorus utilization in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) by acid hydrolysis of bone minerals in fish meal. Poster presented at: Interdisciplinary Approaches in Fish Skeletal Biology (IAFSB); 2013.
- Albrektsen S, Lock E-J, Bæverfjord G, et al. Utilization of acid hydrolysed phosphorous from herring by-products in feed for Atlantic salmon 0<sup>+</sup>-smolt. Aquacult Nutr. 2018;24:348-365.
- Ytteborg E, Bæverfjord G, Lock E-J, et al. Utilization of acid hydrolysed phosphorous from herring by-products in feed for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) start-feeding fry. Aquaculture. 2016;459: 173-184.
- Albrektsen S, Østbye TK, Pedersen M, Ytteborg E, Ruyter B, Ytrestøyl T. Dietary impacts of acid extracted soluble fish bone compounds on astaxanthin utilization and muscle quality in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture. 2018;495:255-266.
- Jędrejek D, Levic J, Wallace J, Oleszek W. Animal by-products for feed: characteristics, European regulatory framework, and potential impacts on human and animal health and the environment. J Anim Feed Sci. 2016;26:189-202. 10.22358/jafs/65548/2016
- 303. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) no 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 laying down health rules as regards animal by-products and derived products not intended for human consumption and repealing regulation (EC) no 1774/2002 (animal by-products regulation). Official J Eur Union. 2009;L300:1-33.
- Woodgate S, van der Veen J. The role of fat processing and rendering in the European Union animal production industry. *Biotechnol Agron Soc Environ*. 2004;8:283-294.
- Dong FM, Hardy RW, Haard NF, et al. Chemical composition and protein digestibility of poultry by-product meals for salmonid diets. Aquaculture. 1993;116:149-158.
- Hajen WE, Higgs DA, Beames RM, Dosanjh BS. Digestibility of various feedstuffs by post-juvenile chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in sea water. 2. Measurement of digestibility. *Aquaculture*. 1993;112:333-348.
- Sugiura SH, Dong FM, Rathbone CK, Hardy RW. Apparent protein digestibility and mineral availabilities in various feed ingredients for salmonid feeds. *Aquaculture*. 1998;159:177-202.
- Wang X, Parsons CM. Effect of raw material source, processing systems, and processing temperatures on amino acid digestibility of meat and bone meals. *Poult Sci.* 1998;77(6):834-841.
- Bureau DP, Harris AM, Cho CY. Apparent digestibility of rendered animal protein ingredients for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture. 1999;180:345-358.
- Sugiura SH, Babbitt JK, Dong FM, Hardy RW. Utilization of fish and animal by-product meals in low-pollution feeds for rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum). Aquacult Res. 2000;31: 585-583.
- Breck O, Bjerkås E, Campbell P, Arnesen P, Waagbø R. Cataract preventative role of mammalian blood meal, histidine, iron and zinc in diets for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) of different strains. *Aquacult Nutr.* 2003;9(5):341-350.
- 312. El Haroun ER, Bureau DP. Comparison of the bioavailability of lysine in blood meals of various origins to that of L-lysine HCL for rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquaculture. 2007;262:402-409.

- Gaylord TG, Barrows FT, Rawles SD. Apparent digestibility of gross nutrients from feedstuffs in extruded feeds for rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. J World Aquacult Soc. 2008;39(6):827-834.
- 314. Hatlen B, Oaland Ø, Tvenning L, Breck O, Jakobsen JV, Skaret J. Growth performance, feed utilization and product quality in slaughter size Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) fed a diet with porcine blood meal, poultry oil and salmon oil. Aquacult Nutr. 2013;19(4):573-584.
- 315. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EU) no 56/2013 of 16 January 2013 amending annexes I and IV to regulation (EC) no 999/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down rules for the prevention, control and eradication of certain transmissible spongiform encephalopathies. Official J Eur Union. 2013;L21:3-16.
- 316. European Commission. Commission regulation (EC) no 142/2011 of 25 February 2011 implementing regulation (EC) no 1069/2009 of the European Parliament and of the council laying down health rules as regards animal by-products and derived products not intended for human consumption and implementing Council Directive 97/78/EC as regards certain samples and items exempt from veterinary checks at the border under that directive. Official J Eur Union. 2011:L54:1-254.
- 317. Opstvedt J, Miller R, Hardy RW, Spinelli J. Heat-induced changes in sulfhydryl groups and disulfide bonds in fish proteins and their effects on protein and amino acid digestibility in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *J Agric Food Chem*. 1984;32(4):929-935.
- Shirley RB, Parsons CM. Effect of pressure processing on amino acid digestibility of meat and bone meal for poultry. *Poultry Sci.* 2000;79: 1775-1781.
- Ljøkjel K, Sørensen M, Storebakken T, Skrede A. Digestibility of protein, amino acids and starch in mink (*Mustela vison*) fed diets processed by different extrusion conditions. *Can J Anim Sci.* 2004; 84(4):673-680.
- 320. Liu KM, Barrows FT, Hardy RW, Dong FM. Body composition, growth performance, and product quality of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed diets containing poultry fat, soybean/corn lecithin, or menhaden oil. *Aquaculture*. 2004;238(1-4):309-328.
- Rosenlund G, Obach A, Sandberg MG, Standal H, Tveit K. Effect of alternative lipid sources on long-term growth performance and quality of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquacult Res. 2001;32: 323-328.
- 322. Higgs DA, Balfry SK, Oakes JD, Rowshandeli M, Skura BJ, Deacon G. Efficacy of an equal blend of canola oil and poultry fat as an alternate dietary lipid source for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in sea water. I: effects on growth performance, and whole body and fillet proximate and lipid composition. *Aquacult Res.* 2006;37(2): 180-191.
- Thomassen MS, Røsjø C. Different fats in feed for salmon: influence on sensory parameters, growth rate and fatty acids in muscle and heart. Aquaculture. 1989;79:129-135.
- 324. Bell JG, Henderson RJ, Tocher DR, Sargent JR. Replacement of dietary fish oil with increasing levels of linseed oil: modification of flesh fatty acid compositions in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) using a fish oil finishing diet. Lipids. 2004;39:223-232.
- 325. Liland NS, Hatlen B, Takle H, et al. Including processed poultry and porcine by-products in diets high in plant ingredients reduced liver TAG in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. Aquacult Nutr. 2015;21(5): 655-669.
- 326. Aas TS, Oehme M, Sørensen M, He G, Lygren I, Åsgård T. Analysis of pellet degradation of extruded high energy fish feeds with different physical qualities in a pneumatic feeding system. Aquacult Eng. 2011;44(1):25-34.
- 327. Samuelsen TA, Oterhals Å, Kousoulaki K. High lipid microalgae (*Schizochytrium* sp.) inclusion as a sustainable source of n-3 long-chain PUFA in fish feed effects on the extrusion process and physical pellet quality. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2018;236:14-28.

- 328. Weththasinghe P, Hansen JØ, Nøkland D, Lagos L, Rawski M, Øverland M. Full-fat black soldier fly larvae (*Hermetia illucens*) meal and paste in extruded diets for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): effect on physical pellet quality, nutrient digestibility, nutrient utilization and growth performances. *Aquaculture*. 2021;530:735785.
- 329. Tacon AGJ. Fishmeal replacer. Review of antinutrients within oilseeds and pulses a limiting factor for the Aquafeed Green Revolution? Proceeding of the Workshop of the CIHEAM Network on Technology of Aquaculture in the Mediterranean (TECAM), Mazarron; 24–26 June, 1996; 1997;153-182.
- Makkar HPS, Tran G, Heuzé V, Ankers P. State-of-the-art on use of insects as animal feed. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2014;197:1-33. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.07.008
- Bearth A, Khunnutchanart A, Gasser O, Haslerb N. The whole beast: consumers' perceptions of and willingness-to-eat animal by-products. Food Qual Prefer. 2021;89:104144.
- 332. Henchion M, McCarthy M, O'Callaghan J. Transforming beef by-products into valuable ingredients: which spell/recipe to use? *Front Nutr.* 2016;3:53. doi:10.3389/fnut.2016.00053

**How to cite this article:** Albrektsen S, Kortet R, Skov PV, et al. Future feed resources in sustainable salmonid production: A review. *Rev Aquac*. 2022;1-23. doi:10.1111/raq.12673