1	Estimation of Composition of Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.)
2	Grains by Near-Infrared Transmission Spectroscopy
3	
4	
5	Christian Encina-Zelada ^{1,2,3} , Vasco Cadavez ¹ , Jorge Pereda ² , Luz Gómez-Pando ⁴ , Bettit
6	Salvá-Ruíz ² , José A. Teixeira ³ , Martha Ibañez ⁴ , Kristian H. Liland ⁵ , Ursula Gonzales-
7	Barron ^{1*}
8	
9	¹ CIMO Mountain Research Centre, School of Agriculture, Polytechnic Institute of Braganza,
10	Portugal.
11	² Department of Food Technology, Faculty of Food Industries, National Agricultural
12	University La Molina, Lima, Peru.
13	³ Department of Biological Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Minho,
14	Portugal.
15	⁴ Cereals and Andean Crops Programme, Faculty of Agronomy, National Agricultural
16	University La Molina, Lima, Peru.
17	⁵ Nofima AS – Norwegian Institute of Food, Fisheries and Aquaculture Research,
18	Osloveien 1, N-1430, Ås, Norway
19	
20	
21	
22	*Corresponding author: Ursula A. Gonzales-Barron; Phone: +351 273 303 325; E-mail:
23	ubarron@ipb.pt; Mailing address: School of Agriculture, Polytechnic Institute of Braganza
24	Campus de Santa Apolónia, Apartado 1172, 5301-854 Portugal

26

27 ABSTRACT

28

The aim of this study was to develop robust chemometric models for the routine 29 determination of dietary constituents of quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) using Near-30 Infrared Transmission (NIT) spectroscopy. Spectra of quinoa grains of 77 cultivars were 31 acquired while dietary constituents were determined by reference methods. Spectra were 32 subjected to multiplicative scatter correction (MSC) or extended multiplicative signal 33 correction (EMSC), and were (or not) treated by Savitzky-Golay (SG) filters. Latent variables 34 were extracted by partial least squares regression (PLSR) or canonical powered partial least 35 36 squares (CPPLS) algorithms, and the accuracy and predictability of all modelling strategies were compared. Smoothing the spectra improved the accuracy of the models for fat (root 37 mean square error of cross-validation, RMSECV: 0.319 - 0.327%), ashes (RMSECV: 0.224 -38 0.230%), and particularly for protein (RMSECV: 0.518 - 0.564%) and carbohydrates 39 (RMSECV: 0.542 - 0.559%), while enhancing the prediction performance, particularly, for 40 fat (root mean square error of prediction, RMSEP: 0.248 - 0.335%) and ashes (RMSEP: 41 0.137 - 0.191%). Although the highest predictability was achieved for ashes (SG-filtered 42 EMSC/PLSR: bootstrapped 90% confidence interval for RMSEP: [0.376 - 0.512]) and 43 carbohydrates (SG-filtered MSC/CPPLS: 90% CI RMSEP: [0.651 - 0.901]), precision was 44 acceptable for protein (SG-filtered MSC/CPPLS: 90% CI RMSEP: [0.650 - 0.852]), fat (SG-45 filtered EMSC/CPPLS: 90% CI RMSEP: [0.478 - 0.654]) and moisture (non-filtered 46 47 EMSC/PLSR: 90% CI RMSEP: [0.658 - 0.833]).

48

49 Keywords: Canonical, partial least squares, chemometrics, scatter correction, Savitzy-Golay

- 51
- 52

53 **1. Introduction**

54

Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) is a pseudocereal originating from the surroundings of the Titicaca Lake (Peru and Bolivia), which has been cultivated for centuries in the Andean countries. Quinoa is known as a pseudo-cereal because its seeds are used as cereal grains; although its nutritional quality is superior to that of the common cereals (Vega-Gálvez et al., 2010; Jancurová, Minarovicová, & Dandar, 2009).

60

61 Near infrared transmission (NIT) spectroscopy can presently provide rapid and accurate analysis of starch, moisture, protein, and oil contents in whole kernel cereals (Büchman, 62 Josefsson & Cowe, 2001; Miralbés, 2004; and Pojić, Mastilović, Pestorić, & Radusin, 2008). 63 However, when analysing intact samples by diffuse reflectance or transmittance spectroscopy, 64 uncontrolled variations in light scattering are often a dominating artifact that complicates 65 subsequent chemometric modelling (Panero, Panero, Panero, & Silva, 2013). This undesired 66 scattering variation is due to uncontrolled physical variations of the samples, such as particle 67 size and shape, sample packing, surface and orientation of the particles (Cantor, Hoag, 68 Ellison, Khan, & Lyon, 2011). In order to minimise the multiplicative interference of scatter 69 and particle size for the construction of robust models, NIT spectra are subjected to 70 processing techniques for signal correction (i.e., multiplicative scatter correction and 71 72 extended multiplicative signal correction) and noise removal (i.e., Savitzky-Golay derivatives). 73

75 Processed spectroscopy data matrices are then related with physicochemical data using multivariate calibration methods (Ferreira, Pallone, & Poppi, 2015). Partial least squares 76 regression (PLSR) is currently considered as one of the most robust multivariate regression 77 techniques as it is associated with prediction errors that are lower than those of the principal 78 component analysis (Wold, Martens, & Wold 1983; Moghimi, Aghkhani, Sazgarnia, & 79 Sarmad, 2010). Recently, a generalisation of PLSR has been proposed that incorporates 80 discrete and continuous responses, additional measurements, and individual weighting of 81 82 observations. The technique is known as Canonical Powered Partial Least Squares (CPPLS) because the optimal latent variables are found by combining PLS methodology and canonical 83 correlation analysis (Indahl, Liland, & Næs, 2009; Mevik, Wehrens, & Liland, 2015). Thus, 84 the objective of this study was three-fold: (i) to assess the feasibility of accurately quantifying 85 dietary constituents of quinoa (moisture, protein, fat, ashes and carbohydrates) whole grains 86 by NIT spectroscopy; (ii) to compare the robustness and prediction capability of the PLSR 87 and CPPLS multivariate models after scatter correction of the spectra; and (iii) to assess to 88 what extent smoothing filters applied to scatter-corrected spectra can further improve the 89 performance of the PLSR and CPPLS algorithms. 90

91

93

94 **2.1 Samples and proximate composition analysis**

95

The samples utilised in this study were quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) whole grains of orange, beige, black and yellow colour, corresponding to 77 different cultivars. They were all harvested in Peru at the National Agricultural University La Molina (Lima) and the Regional Development Centre – Highland (Junin), between 2010 and 2012. Moisture, protein, fat and

^{92 2.} Methodology

ashes contents were determined in triplicate using the reference methods 925.10, 920.87
(conversion factor of 6.25), 923.05 and 923.03, respectively, as described by the Association
of Official Agricultural Chemists (AOAC, 2000). Total carbohydrate content was calculated
by difference as: 100 - (weight in grams [protein + fat + water + ashes] in 100 g of quinoa).
Proteins, fat, ashes and carbohydrate contents were then converted into dry basis (db).

105

106 2.2 Near-infrared transmission (NIT) spectra acquisition

107

NIT spectra were acquired by placing the whole grains directly in an Infratec 1241 grain 108 analyser (Module Foss Tecator, Denmark), using 60-mm quartz cuvettes, and scanning the 109 region 850-1048 nm (wavenumber range of 11765 - 9524 cm⁻¹). The spectra were recorded at 110 scanning step intervals of 2 nm to give 100 data points per sample. A total of 10 frequency 111 scans were performed per sample, and carefully assessed for consistency. Raw spectral data 112 (i.e., a vector of 100 data points per sample) were linked to the chemical analyses data on a 113 spreadsheet. To correct for the non-linearity in the measure of transmittance (T), T was 114 transformed into absorbance (A) by taking the base 10 logarithm of the reciprocal of the 115 transmittance values (A = $\log 1/T$). 116

117

118 2.3 NIT spectral pre-processing

119

To minimise the multiplicative effects of light scattering, spectra were subjected to multiplicative scatter correction (MSC) or extended multiplicative signal correction (EMSC). MSC is a transformation method used to compensate for additive and multiplicative effects in spectral data (Maleki, Mouazen, Ramon, & De Baerdemaeker, 2007). Both EMSC and MSC attemtp to separate physical light scattering effects from chemical (vibrational) light absorbance, yet EMSC is a modification of the standard MSC which adds polynomials to the
correction model in addition to the constant baseline effect and reference scaling of MSC
(Martens & Stark, 1991; Panero et al., 2013). The basic EMSC with polynomials of degree 2
was applied. For each of the dietary constituents analysed, PLSR and CPPLS multivariate
models were then fitted to the MSC- or EMSC- pre-processed spectra; thereby producing four
treatments (MSC/PLSR, EMSC/PLSR, MSC/CPPLS and EMSC/CPPLS) which were
compared in terms of predictability.

132

In addition, Savitzky-Golay (SG) derivative filters (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) were applied after correcting spectra for scattering (MSC or EMSC) to assess whether the predictive performance of the PLSR and CPPLS models could be further enhanced. SG smoothing performs a piece-wise polynomial fitting with specified polynomial degree (p), window length (w), and derivative order (m) to the spectrum. Thus, SG filters produced by all possible combinations of m={1, 2}, p={2, 3, 4} and w={3, 5, 7, 9, 11} were applied to each of the MSC and EMSC scatter-corrected spectra.

140

141 **2.4 Chemometric multivariate data analysis**

142

The extraction of information from quinoa grain's pre-processed spectra to estimate moisture, protein, fat, ashes and carbohydrates contents was performed by the PLSR and CPPLS chemometric algorithms. For the CPPLS models estimating moisture content, the additional variables were protein, fat, ashes and quinoa cultivar. For the estimation of protein by CPPLS, the additional variables were moisture, fat, ashes and cultivar; whereas for the estimation of fat, the additional variables were moisture, protein and ashes. The additional variables for ashes content CPPLS models were moisture, fat and quinoa cultivar, while those for

carbohydrates content were moisture, ashes and fat. Selection of the additional variables for 150 each dietary constituent's CPPLS model was carried out by trial and error. 151

152

As a first step, the full data set was divided into a subset for calibration (~80% data, 62 153 samples) and the remaining ~20% (15 samples) for prediction or validation, by means of 154 random split stratified by cultivar. PLSR and CPPLS were fitted separately to MSC and 155 EMSC scatter-corrected spectra with and without SG filters. The performance of the different 156 157 models (a model is defined as a combination of a pre-processing filter and a chemometric multivariate algorithm) was determined by cross-validation as an internal calibration method 158 159 using the calibration data set. In our case, the leave-one-out (LOO) method was used. Briefly, in the LOO method, each sample is removed one at a time from the calibration set, a new 160 calibration performed and a prediction score calculated for the sample removed. This 161 procedure is repeated until every sample has been left out once. The performance of the 162 model was assessed by the root mean square error of cross-validation (RMSECV), which is 163 deemed as the best single estimate of the prediction capability of the model (González-164 Martín, Moncada, Fischer, & Escuredo 2014; Mevik & Wehrens, 2007). Then, the optimal 165 number of components of a model was selected at the first RMSECV local minimum, rather 166 than the absolute minimum (to avoid overfitting). For such a number of components, the root 167 mean square error of calibration (RMSEC) was computed. In addition, the coefficients of 168 correlation between reference values and values fitted by cross-validation (R_{CV}) and the 169 170 calibration model (R_c) were computed.

171

172 Following completion of the calibration, models were validated using the prediction data set. Model performance was evaluated by obtaining the root mean square error of prediction 173 (RMSEP) and the coefficient of correlation (R_P) between reference values and those predicted 174

by the model. For each of the four treatments (i.e., MSC/PLSR, EMSC/PLSR, MSC/CPPLS and EMSC/CPPLS), the SG filters leading to the highest accuracy were identified. To assess the best model(s) for each dietary constituent, the model had to present not only a low RMSE but also a high R. The entire NIT spectra analysis was conducted using the "pls" (Mevik et al., 2015), "emsc" (Liland, 2016) and the "prospectr" (Stevens & Ramirez-López, 2013) packages implemented in the R software version 3.2.5 (R Core Team, 2016).

181

- 182 **3. Results and Discussion**
- 183

184 **3.1 Proximate composition analysis of quinoa**

185

The values reported in this study for fat (5.35 - 7.78% db) and ashes (2.51 - 4.11% db); Table 186 1) were comparable to those reported by Repo-Carrasco-Valencia, Hellström, Pihlava, & 187 Mattila (2010) for six ecotypes of similar Peruvian quinoa (fat: 4.36-7.59% db, and ashes: 188 2.57-3.44% db). However, they found considerably higher protein content (12.55-16.08% db) 189 and lower carbohydrates content (67.13-77.02% db) than those found in this report (8.33 -190 11.38% db; and 78.48 - 82.89% db, respectively). Analysing quinoa samples from Peru, 191 Bolivia and Brazil, Ferreira et al. (2015) encountered substantially higher fat (6.19 – 15.52% 192 db) and ashes (3.07 - 9.15%) db) contents than those of our study. The variation in ashes are 193 influenced by the dependence of the mineral content on type of soil and fertiliser application. 194 Moisture is the compound most variable among published studies (from 8.26-11.51% in 195 Repo-Carrasco-Valencia et al. (2010) up to 25.66 – 33.16% in Ferreira et al. (2015)) because 196 197 it depends upon drying and storage of seeds. The standard deviations suggest that sufficient variation in the dietary compounds existed among the quinoa cultivars to develop 198 chemometric models. 199

3.2 Pre-processing methods for signal correction and smoothing of quinoa's NIT spectra 202

The first step of signal pre-treatment is crucial as redundant information should be removed 203 from the spectra. With corrected spectra, the repeatability and reproducibility of the 204 chemometric multivariate model can be increased (Stevens & Ramirez-Lopez, 2013). In the 205 first instance, the transmittance spectra of the quinoa grains without any processing pointed to 206 the occurrence of multiplicative scaling effects (Figure 1, top left), which were still present 207 when spectra were transformed into absorbance (Figure 1, top right). Such transformation is 208 needed to move signal processing to a domain where Beer-Lambert's law applies and additive 209 effects of compounds are linear. Light scattering, one of the main causes of multiplicative 210 scale effects (i.e., scale differences) in spectral data, was corrected by both methods, MSC 211 (Figure 1, bottom left) and EMSC (Figure 1, bottom right), although the application of EMSC 212 yielded a better signal correction. Whereas MSC was developed to remove both scaling 213 effects (a multiplicative factor) and baseline shift effects (an additive factor), EMSC was 214 designed to allow the separation of multiplicative physical effects (path length, light 215 scattering, etc.) from additive chemical effects (absorbance of analytes and interferants) and 216 additive physical effects (temperature shifts, baseline variations, etc.) (Panero et al., 2013). 217 Hence, additive effects, chemical and/or physical, must have been also present in the raw 218 spectra. 219

220

In general, when SG first (SG1) and second (SG2) derivative filters were applied to either the MSC- or the EMSC-corrected spectra, the peaks below and above the baseline were emphasised. It was not unexpected that EMSC+SG pre-processing (Figure 2, bottom) produced cleaner signals than MSC+SG pre-processing (Figure 2, top), as EMSC yielded a better correction for light scattering and additive effects than MSC. However, whether the application of SG1 or SG2 pre-processing smoothing filter produces better signals should be determined by the resulting predictive capacity of the chemometric models.

228

3.3 Comparisons between scatter correction methods and multivariate algorithms

230

For moisture, protein and ashes contents, regardless of the chemometric algorithm used (i.e., 231 PLSR or CPPLS), the application of EMSC to the spectra produced lower errors (i.e., 232 RMSECV) by up to ~4.8% in the case of protein, than those produced by MSC treatments 233 (Table 2). Comparing EMSC and MSC performance, Panero et al. (2013) similarly found 234 lower RMSEC and RMSEP values when applying the former scatter correction method on 235 marzipan spectra for NIR determination of moisture. Correspondingly, for moisture, protein 236 and ashes contents, correcting the signal scatter by EMSC led to higher Rcv values (range of 237 0.572 - 0.769) than those produced by the simpler MSC (0.564 - 0.742; Table 2). 238 Considering that the models fitted to EMSC-processed spectra consistently led to fewer 239 optimal components (3 - 7) than those fitted to MSC-processed spectra (4 - 8), it can be 240 stated that EMSC, with their resulting lower cross-validation errors and higher cross-241 validation correlation coefficients, had a tendency to produce more robust models than MSC 242 for the NIT determination of moisture, protein and ashes. Nevertheless, in the cases of fat and 243 carbohydrates, irrespective of the algorithm used for model calibration, the behaviour was the 244 opposite; this is, MSC-treated spectra yielded more robust chemometric models - as implied 245 by their lower RMSECV and higher R_{CV} – than the EMSC-treated spectra did, although with 246 at most one more component (Table 2). For fat and carbohydrates, EMSC may have overfitted 247 the baseline such that chemical information was discarded along with the scatter correction. 248

The multivariate regression methods also affected the accuracy of prediction for the models. 250 In the analyses of all dietary components, the CPPLS algorithm led invariably to a selection 251 of fewer optimal components (3-5) than PLSR (6-8). This was an anticipated outcome since 252 CPPLS was developed as a compression method for the extraction of more predictive 253 information in the first few components than ordinary PLSR (Indahl et al., 2009). For this 254 reason, within each dietary constituent, the models with the combination CPPLS/EMSC 255 yielded the lowest optimal number of components (3-4) while the combination PLSR/MSC 256 257 yielded the highest optimal number of components (7-8). For instance, for the protein constituent, the 8 optimal latent variables in the combination PLSR/MSC was brought down 258 259 to 3 in the combination CPPLS/EMSC. In all dietary constituents - except fat - there was a clear effect of the multivariate regression on the RMSEC and RMSEP values, being the 260 261 CPPLS algorithm associated to higher errors (Table 2).

262

With the exception of carbohydrates, when the quinoa grains' spectra were MSC scatter-263 corrected, the use of the PLSR or CPPLS algorithm produced very similar cross-validation 264 errors (RMSECV) for the estimation of moisture (0.575; 0.579%), protein (0.614; 0.613%), 265 fat (0.326; 0.325%) and ashes (0.231; 0.233%). However, the effect of the regression 266 algorithm on RMSECV values became more noticeable when spectra were pre-processed by 267 EMSC for the chemometric models determining moisture (RMSECV: 0.566; 0.578%) and 268 carbohydrates (0.620; 0.638%). When applied to EMSC-treated spectra, the PLSR algorithm 269 270 produced more accurate models - lower RMSECV in all dietary contituents - than those produced by CPPLS. Even for moisture, protein and ashes, the PLSR/EMSC treatment 271 272 yielded the highest R_{CV} and R_C values among the four treatments. This may arise from the higher optimal number of components consistently picked by the PLSR algorithm (Table 2). 273

Earlier, Ferreira et al. (2015) proposed a series of chemometric models to estimate the 275 proximate composition of guinoa from Fourier transform near-infrared (FTIR) spectra. In 276 order to contrast the accuracy of our models with their FTIR models, the coefficient of 277 variation (CV=RMSECV/mean) was calculated as a common metric for comparison since it is 278 a dimensionless number less sensitive to difference in means. The chemometric models 279 presented in this study were more accurate than those obtained in Ferreira et al. (2005), as 280 indicated by the considerably lower CV of our models for moisture (5.3 - 5.5%) as opposed to 281 5.9%), protein (5.8 - 6.2%) as opposed to 14.9%), fat (4.9 - 5.2%) as opposed to 11.7%), 282 carbohydrates (0.73 - 0.79%) as opposed to 7.0%) and ashes (7.0 - 7.4%) as opposed to 283 15.5%). Similarly, the external validation CV (RMSEP/mean) obtained from our models for 284 protein (5.5 - 6.4%) and fat (5.6 - 4.1%) were far lower than those reported by González-285 Martín et al. (2013) (10.4% and 8.3%, respectively). Nonetheless, when contrasting the 286 estimates of correlation between the reference and the spectral methods, the R_{CV} (0.56 – 0.77) 287 and R_C (0.51 – 0.83; Table 2) found in our models were, as a whole, lower than those reported 288 by both González-Martín et al. (2013) (R_{CV}: 0.89 – 0.96) and Ferreira et al. (2015) (R_C: 0.86 – 289 0.91). The lower correlation coefficients encountered in this study may have been a 290 manifestation of our effort to avoid overfitting by consistently selecting the number of latent 291 variables that minimise RMSECV. Moreover, by definition, the coefficient of determination 292 tends to decrease when the range of the dependent variable is lower. The ranges of protein 293 (8.33 - 11.4% db), fat (5.35 - 7.78%), carbohydrates (78.5 - 82.9%) and ashes (2.51 - 1.5%)294 4.11%) essayed from our quinoa samples were narrow in comparison to those from the quinoa 295 samples surveyed in Ferreira et al. (2015) (protein: 11.4 - 36%, fat: 6.19 - 15.52%, 296 297 carbohydrates: 43.6 – 76.4% and ashes: 3.07 – 9.15%).

298

299 **3.4 Influence of SG derivative filters on robustness of chemometric models**

Table 3 compiles the SG combinations (m, p, w) leading to the highest predictability within each of the four treatments (i.e., MSC/PLSR, EMSC/PLSR, MSC/CPPLS and EMSC/CPPLS). Although for protein, the same SG filter type (m=1, p=2, w=9) produced the best model's accuracy in the four treatments, this did not necessarily hold for the other dietary constituents (Table 3).

306

307 Regardless of the signal correction method and the multivariate algorithm used, SG filtering of quinoa's spectra improved the accuracy of the chemometric models, yet to different 308 degrees: the reduction in RMSECV and RMSEC in the models for moisture (reduction by 1.3 309 -2.6% and 8-14%, respectively), fat (1.5-5.3% and 0.4-1.1%) and ashes (2.1-2.2%) and 310 2.1 - 10.6%) were all slight in comparison to the considerable reduction in those statistics in 311 the models for protein (8.0 - 11.9%) and 20.5 - 28.5% and carbohydrates (8.9 - 12.4%) and 312 24.2 - 35.0%). Similarly, SG-filtering improved the correlation statistics of calibration: as 313 before, the increase in R_{CV} and R_C values was slight in the models for moisture (increase by 314 2.6 - 5.2% and 0 - 6.4%, respectively), fat (1.4 - 5.0% and 0 - 0.5%) and ashes (0 - 1.8%)315 and 1.1 - 7.1%), whereas the improvement was substantial in the models for protein (13.9 – 316 17.3% and 15.6 - 42.2%) and carbohydrates (8.0 - 14.5% and 10.8 - 33%) (percentual 317 differences not shown but calculated from Table 2 and 3). 318

319

The improved RMSECV, RMSEC, R_{CV} and R_c statistics from the models with SG filters for protein and carbohydrates, may be associated to the fact that, for protein and carbohydrates, filtering the spectra led to a higher number of optimal components in the MSC/PLSR (from 8 to 12, and 7 to 12, respectively), EMSC/PLSR (6 to 10, and 7 to 10), MSC/CPPLS (4 to 8, and 4 to 10) and EMSC/CPPLS (3 to 6, and 3 to 8) models. Due to the higher number of

components extracted from the SG spectra, the fitting capacity of the protein and 325 carbohydrates models was improved; although the CPPLS algorithm performed better than 326 the PLSR algorithm in the prediction of the test data – as suggested by the differences in 327 RMSEP and R_P. Filtering the spectra with SG largely enhanced the predictive capacity of the 328 models for fat (RMSEP decreased by 1.0 - 20.4%, and R_P increased by 1.8 - 24.7%) and 329 ashes (RMSEP decreased by 0.0 - 30.8%, and R_P increased by 0.0 - 32.3%), while, as 330 mentioned before, filtering enhanced the prediction performance of the models for protein 331 332 (RMSEP decreased by 15.8%, and R_P increased by 19.8%), and carbohydrates (RMSEP decreased by 24.8%, and R_P increased by 30.6%) only when CPPLS was used. In the 333 334 particular case of moisture, only the treatment MSC/CPPLS produced better preditions when spectra were SG-filtered (RMSEP decreased by 10.4%, and R_P increased by 14.1%). 335

336

337 **3.5** Validated chemometric models for quinoa's dietary constituents

338

Taking the four treatments together (Table 3), the models estimating ashes and carbohydrates presented generally the highest predictive capacity, as deduced from the ranges of R_{CV} (0.744 - 0.761; and 0.750 - 0.767, respectively) and R_P (0.847 - 0.925; and 0.728 - 0.807, respectively). However, the models for protein (R_{CV}: 0.651 - 0.717; R_P: 0.625 - 0.760) and fat (R_{CV}: 0.716 - 0.732; R_P: 0.565 - 0.804) were of slightly lower predictive performance, while the models for moisture (R_{CV}: 0.504 - 0.611; R_P: 0.441 - 0.539) were of fair predictability.

346

Considering that a good model should bear low values of RMSECV and RMSEP, and high values of R_{CV} and R_P , the final model for each quinoa's constituent was selected among those presented in Table 2 and 3. For the moisture response, little-to-no gain in prediction

performance was attained by SG-filtering the spectra with the many combinations tested. 350 Thus, for this variable, the best model was achieved using a non-filtered spectra treated by 351 MSC and extracting 8 PLSR components, which rendered a prediction CV (RMSEP/mean) of 352 5.60% and an R_P of 0.596 (other statistics for this model pointed out in bold in Table 2). For 353 354 the other dietary constituents, better performance was achieved using SG-filtered spectra of window size 9 and first derivative, except for the fat variable which used second derivative. 355 For the NIT determination of ashes, the PLSR algorithm also produced the best model when 356 357 fitted to EMSC-treated spectra. The 5 optimal latent variables extracted yielded on the test data a CV of 4.38% and R_P of 0.925. For the protein, fat and carbohydrates variables, the 358 CPPLS multivariate algorithm performed better: whilst the best predictability of protein 359 (CV=5.35% and Rp=0.760) was achieved by extracting 8 components from MSC-treated 360 spectra, the best model for carbohydrates was produced by extracting 10 components from 361 MSC-treated spectra (CV=0.80% and R_P=0.807). With a CV=3.79% and R_P=0.804, fat could 362 be estimated by a CPPLS model produced from a EMSC-treated spectra with only 3 latent 363 variables. 364

365

Finally, in order to further characterise the prediction performance of each of the final 366 models, uncertainty about the correlation coefficient of prediction (R_P) was built by 367 bootstrapping. At each of the 1000 iterations, a new 80% calibration/20% validation data 368 partition was randomly obtained, the chosen model was fitted to the calibration data with the 369 370 pre-determined number of components, and RP was extracted from the test data. The histograms of R_P built for each of the final models (Figure 3, left) show that the NIT model 371 372 for estimating ashes had the lowest uncertainty (i.e., narrow spread) about R_P, and therefore was the most robust chemometric model. The wider spread of the RP histogram for moisture 373 corroborated that, among the five dietary constituents studied, the model for moisture 374

presented the lowest precision. The degree of fitting and predictability of the final models can be appreciated from the scatter plots between the reference values and those fitted (Figure 3, middle) and predicted (Figure 3, right) from the NIT calibration models. The best agreement between observed and predicted values was observed for ashes and carbohydrates; although, as a whole, the degree of dispersion in the predictions is acceptable, bearing in mind that chemical analyses also have associated errors.

381

382 **4.** Conclusions

383

Regardless of the multivariate algorithm used, light scattering correction of quinoa grains' 384 NIT spectra by EMSC consistently led to proximate composition models of better cross-385 386 validation statistics - except for fat and carbohydrates - than those produced by MSC-treated spectra. Both EMSC, as opposed to MSC; and CPPLS, as opposed to PLSR, led to fewer 387 optimal components. When spectra were treated by different types of SG filters, the optimal 388 latent variables reduced correspondingly in each of the four treatments (i.e., MSC/PLSR, 389 EMSC/PLSR, MSC/CPPLS, EMSC/CPPLS), except for the models predicting protein and 390 carbohydrates, in which the behaviour was the opposite. In addition, smoothing the quinoa's 391 spectra enhanced the accuracy of the models for fat, ashes, and particularly for protein and 392 carbohydrates, while improving also the prediction performance, particularly, for fat and 393 ashes determination. Although the most robust models could be developed for ashes (SG-394 395 filtered EMSC/PLSR: 90% confidence interval for RMSEP [0.376 – 0.512] as determined by bootstrap) and carbohydrates (SG-filtered MSC/CPPLS: 90% CI RMSEP: [0.651 – 0.901]), 396 397 the predictability was still acceptable for the other dietary constituents; namely, protein (SGfiltered MSC/CPPLS: 90% CI RMSEP: [0.650 – 0.852]), fat (SG-filtered EMSC/CPPLS: 398 90% CI RMSEP: [0.478 – 0.654]) and moisture (non-filtered EMSC/PLSR: 90% CI RMSEP: 399

[0.658 - 0.833]). Thus, in this study, satisfactory predictions of the dietary constituents of quinoa grains could be achieved by using NIT technology. The main advantages of the technique are the rapid determination for routine analysis, the reduced costs and absence of sample preparation and waste generation.

404

405 Acknowledgments

406

Mr. Encina-Zelada acknowledges the financial aid provided by the Peruvian National Programme of Scholarships and Student Loans (PRONABEC) in the mode of PhD grants (Presidente De La República-183308). Dr. Gonzales-Barron wishes to acknowledge the financial support provided by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) through the award of a five-year Investigator Fellowship (IF) in the mode of Development Grants (IF/00570).

413

414 **References**

415

416 1. AOAC. (2000). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Analytical
417 Chemists International. In W. Horwitz (Eds.), 17th ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg,
418 MD, USA.

Büchman, N. B., Josefsson, H., & Cowe, I. A. (2001). Performance of European
artificial neural network (ANN) calibrations for moisture and protein in cereals using the
Danish near infrared transmission (NIT) network. *Cereal Chemistry*, 78 (5), 572-577.

422 3. Cantor, S. L., Hoag, S. W., Ellison, C. D., Khan, M. A., & Lyon, R. C. (2011). NIR
423 spectroscopy applications in the development of a compacted multiparticulate system for

424 modified release. Journal of the American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists, 12 (1),
425 262-278.

426 4. Ferreira, D. S., Pallone, J. A. L., & Poppi, R. J. (2015). Direct analysis of the main 427 chemical constituents in *Chenopodium quinoa* grain using Fourier transform near-infrared 428 spectroscopy. *Food Control, 48*, 91-95.

429 5. González-Martín, M. I., Moncada, G. W., Fischer, S., & Escuredo, O. (2014).
430 Chemical characteristics and mineral composition of quinoa by near-infrared spectroscopy.
431 *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 94 (5), 876–881.*

432 6. Indahl, U. G., Liland, K. H., & Næs, T. (2009). Canonical partial least squares -a
433 unified PLS approach to classification and regression problems. *Journal of Chemometrics, 23*,
434 495–504.

435 7. Jancurová, M., Minarovicová, L., & Dandar, A. (2009). Quinoa - a Review. *Czech*436 *Journal of Food Sciences*, 27 (2), 71-79.

Liland, K. H. (2016). Extended Multiplicative Signal Correction. Package "EMSC".
 Date 2016-04-24. Repository CRAN. Available online at: https://cran.r project.org/web/packages/EMSC/index.html (Accessed: 16.05.2016).

Maleki, M. R., Mouazen, A. M., Ramon, H., & De Baerdemaeker, J. (2007).
Multiplicative scatter correction during on-line measurement with near infrared spectroscopy. *Biosystems Engineering*, 96 (3), 427-433.

Martens, H., & Stark, E. (1991). Extended multiplicative signal orrection and spectral
interference subtraction: new preprocessing methods for near infrared spectroscopy. *Journal*

445 of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 9 (8), 625-635.

446 11. Mevik, B. H., & Wehrens, R. (2007). The pls package: principal component and

447 partial least squares regression in R. Journal of Statistical Software, 18 (2), 1-24.

- Mevik, B. H., Wehrens, R., & Liland, K. H. (2015). Pls: Partial Least Squares and
 Principal Component Regression. R package version 2.5-0. Available online at: https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/pls/ (Accessed: 16.05.2016).
- 451 13. Miralbés, C. (2004). Quality control in the milling industry using near infrared
 452 transmittance spectroscopy. *Food Chemistry*, 88 (4), 621-628.
- 453 14. Moghimi, A., Aghkhani, M. H., Sazgarnia, A., & Sarmad, M. (2010). Vis/NIR
 454 spectroscopy and chemometrics for the prediction of soluble solids content and acidity (pH)
 455 of kiwifruit. *Biosystems Engineering*, *106 (3)*, 295-302.
- Panero, P. S., Panero, F. S., Panero, J. S., & Silva, H. E. B. (2013). Application of
 extended multiplicative signal correction to short-wavelength near infrared spectra of
 moisture in marzipan. *Journal of Data Analysis and Information Processing*, *1 (3)*, 30-34.
- Pojić, M., Mastilović, J., Pestorić, M., & Radusin, T. (2008). The ensuring of
 measurements for cereal quality determination. *Food Processing, Quality and Safety, 35 (1),*11-18.
- 462 17. R Core Team. (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 463 Foundation for Stastistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available online at: http://www.R464 project.org/ (Accessed: 04.02.2016).
- 18. Repo-Carrasco-Valencia, R., Hellström, J. K., Pihlava, J. M., & Mattila, P. H. (2010).
 Flavonoids and other phenolic compounds in Andean indigenous grains: Quinoa
 (*Chenopodium quinoa*), kañiwa (*Chenopodium pallidicaule*) and kiwicha (*Amaranthus caudatus*). *Food Chemistry*, *120* (1), 128-133.
- 469 19. Savitzky, A., & Golay, M. (1964). Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified
 470 least squares procedures. *Analytical Chemistry*, *36*, 1627-1639.

471	20. Stevens, A., & Ramirez-Lopez, L. (2013). An introduction to the prospectr package.
472	VignetteRpackageversion0.1.3.Availableonline
473	at: https://github.com/antoinestevens/prospectr (Accessed: 16.05.2016).
474	21. Vega-Gálvez, A., Miranda, M., Vergara, J., Uribe, E., Puente, L., & Martínez, E.
475	(2010). Nutrition facts and functional potential of quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa willd.), an
476	ancient Andean grain: a review. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 90 (15),
477	2541–2547.
478	22. Wold, H., Martens, H., & Wold, S. (1983). The multivariate calibration method in
479	chemistry solved by the PLS method. In A. Ruhe, & B. Kågström (Eds.), Proceedings of the
480	Conference of Matrix Pencils, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (pp. 286–293). Springer Verlag:
481	Heidelberg.
482	
483	
484	TABLE CAPTIONS
485	
486	Table 1. Summary statistics of the major dietary compounds of quinoa samples in % dry

487

basis, except for moisture (% wet basis)

Table 2. Accuracy of prediction of NIT chemometric models for quinoa constituents defined by signal correction type (MSC: multiplicative scatter correction, or EMSC: extended multiplicative signal correction) and multivariate algorithm (PLSR: partial least squares regression, or CPPLS: canonical powered partial least squares), as measured by the root mean square errors of cross-validation (RMSECV), calibration (RMSEC) and prediction (RMSEP), and the coefficients of correlation between reference values and those estimated by crossvalidation (R_{CV}), calibration (R_C) and prediction (R_P), all of them computed at the minimum number of components

497

Table 3. Effect of the best Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter (m: derivative order, p: 498 polynomial order and w:window size) on the accuracy of prediction of NIT chemometric 499 models for guinoa constituents defined by signal correction type (MSC: multiplicative scatter 500 correction, or EMSC: extended multiplicative signal correction) and multivariate algorithm 501 502 (PLSR: partial least squares regression, or CPPLS: canonical powered partial least squares), as measured by the root mean square errors of cross-validation (RMSECV), calibration 503 504 (RMSEC) and prediction (RMSEP), and the coefficients of correlation between reference values and those estimated by cross-validation (R_C), calibration (R_C) and prediction (R_P), all 505 506 of them computed at the minimum number of components

507

508

509 FIGURE CAPTIONS

510

Figure 1. Untransformed or raw near-infrared transmittance spectra of quinoa whole grains (top left), spectra transformed into absorbance (top right), and absorbance spectra corrected for scattering applying multiplicative scatter correction (MSC; bottom left) or extended multiplicative signal correction (EMSC; bottom right)

515

Figure 2. Effects of applying Savitzky-Golay first- (SG1; left) and second-derivative (SG2; right) with polynomial degree 3 and window size 5 to quinoa grains spectra previously corrected by multiplicative scatter correction (MSC; top) or extended multiplicative signal correction (EMSC; bottom)

Figure 3. Prediction performance of NIT chemometric models for moisture, protein, fat, ashes and carbohydrates contents in quinoa grains, as evaluated by the uncertainty about the correlation coefficient of prediction (R_P) built by bootstrapping (left), and the scatter plots between chemical reference values and those fitted to the calibration data set (middle) and predicted using the validation data set (right)