

1 **Different enzyme incorporation strategies in Atlantic salmon diet containing soybean**
2 **meal: Effects on feed quality, fish performance, nutrient digestibility and distal**
3 **intestinal morphology.**

4

5 Hans Jákup Jacobsen^{a,b,*}, Tor Andreas Samuelsen^c Albert Girons^d and Katerina Kousoulaki^c

6 ^aAlltech Norway, Horness, 6809 Førde, Norway

7 ^bUniversity of Bergen, Department of Biology, Thormøhlensgate 53B, 5020 Bergen, Norway

8 ^cNofima, P.O. Box 1425 Oasen, 5828 Bergen, Norway

9 ^dIctiovet, Provenza 392, PB. 08025 Barcelona, Spain

10

11 ^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +47 97410564. Email address: hjacobsen@alltech.com (H. J.

12 Jacobsen)

13

14 **Abstract**

15 An enzyme complex containing phytase, protease, xylanase and cellulase produced from
16 *Aspergillus niger* by solid state fermentation was applied to three Atlantic salmon diets. In
17 these diets soy protein concentrate (SPC) was replaced by de-hulled solvent extracted soybean
18 meal (SBM). Three different enzyme application strategies were evaluated: pre-processing
19 SBM with the enzymes, addition of enzymes in the dry mix prior to extrusion and enzyme
20 coating post extrusion. These diets were tested against one with SBM but without enzyme
21 treatment and another one with SPC instead of SBM. All these diets were tested for fish
22 performance, nutrient digestibility, distal intestine morphology and feed pellet physical
23 quality. The feeding trial lasted for 93 days and each diet was fed to triplicate groups of 60 g
24 mean body weight Atlantic salmon. In the end of the trial all the groups at least tripled their
25 initial body weight. The diet with coated enzymes had significantly higher apparent
26 digestibility of dietary Fe ($P < 0.001$) compared to the other diets. The enzyme pre-processed
27 SBM feed had significantly lower apparent protein digestibility ($P < 0.01$) compared to the
28 other diets, the digestibility of some of the dietary amino acids was also significantly lower in
29 this diet. Salmon fed the SBM diet with addition of enzymes in the dry mix prior to extrusion
30 had significantly higher growth and feed intake than the fish fed the SPC diet without
31 enzymes ($P < 0.05$). Hardness was significantly and negatively correlated with feed intake (P
32 < 0.01 , $r = -0.95$) and growth ($P < 0.01$, $r = -0.95$), which may explain the reduced
33 performance of the fish fed the SPC diet without enzymes. None of the diets caused SBM
34 induced enteritis.

35

36 **Keywords:** Enzyme treatment; soy ingredients; digestibility; Atlantic salmon; physical feed
37 quality

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40 Vegetable protein sources have replaced large part of the less available fishmeal in Atlantic
41 salmon diets the last decades (Ytrestøyl et al., 2015). However, ingredients of plant origin
42 contain many different anti-nutritional factors (ANFs), which reduce the availability of
43 dietary nutrients and can influence animal health (Francis et al., 2001). Soy protein
44 concentrate (SPC) was the main vegetable protein ingredient used in 2012 in Norwegian
45 aqua-feed production and accounted for 21.3% of the total feed formulation (Ytrestøyl et al.,
46 2015). SPC contains approximately 65% crude protein, 1% fat and 6% ash (Peisker, 2001).
47 The SPC protein content is similar to that of fish meal, which typically ranges between 60-
48 70% (NRC, 1993). Moreover, SPC has a well balanced amino acid profile with methionine
49 being the first limiting essential amino acid compared to fish meal (Gatlin et al., 2007). SPC is
50 more refined than non-GMO de-hulled solvent extracted SBM and is approximately 13.5%
51 more expensive, based on protein cost (internal communication). On the other hand, SBM
52 contains approximately 48-50% crude protein, 1-1.5% fat, 5.5-6% ash and has a higher
53 content of all the water/alcohol soluble ANFs compared to SPC (Peisker, 2001).
54 Several studies have shown that high dietary inclusion levels of SBM can result in decreased
55 growth performance and feed efficiency in salmonids (Kaushik et al., 1995; Refstie et al.,
56 1998; Refstie et al., 2001; Smith, 1977). Moreover, dietary inclusion of increased levels of
57 SBM has shown to cause enteritis in the distal intestine in salmonids (Baeverfjord and
58 Krogdahl, 1996). This pathology is associated with shortening of intestinal villi, thickening
59 and infiltration of the lamina propria with inflammatory cells and alteration in enterocyte
60 structure (Baeverfjord and Krogdahl, 1996; Bakke-McKellep et al., 2000; Rumsey et al.,
61 1994; van den Ingh et al., 1991). Soya saponins, possibly in combination with other unknown
62 components, cause these morphological changes in the distal intestine in salmonids (Knudsen
63 et al., 2007; Knudsen et al., 2008; Sørensen et al., 2011b).

64 The ANFs are classified into heat-labile and heat-stable (Francis et al., 2001). The heat-labile
65 ANFs, including protease inhibitor and lectins, can be eliminated or reduced by heat treatment
66 (Arndt et al., 1999; Smith, 1977). Heat-stable ANFs in SBM include oligosaccharides, non-
67 starch polysaccharides, saponins, phytate, phytoestrogens and soya antigens (Francis et al.,
68 2001). These can be eliminated or reduced by fractionation, solvent extraction, fermentation
69 or the use of exogenous enzymes (Denstadli et al., 2006; Kaushik et al., 1995; Knudsen et al.,
70 2006; Refstie et al., 2005; Rumsey et al., 1994).

71 The use of phytase, carbohydrases and protease enzymes in plant based raw material in
72 salmonid diets has been reported before. Phytase is shown to improve the digestibility of
73 dietary phosphorous (Cheng et al., 2004; Dalsgaard et al., 2009; Denstadli et al., 2007; Lanari
74 et al., 1998; Sugiura et al., 2001; Vielma et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2009), other minerals
75 (Cheng et al., 2004; Denstadli et al., 2007; Sugiura et al., 2001; Vielma et al., 2004), protein
76 (Sugiura et al., 2001; Vielma et al., 2004), feed conversion and protein efficiency ratio (Wang
77 et al., 2009). Carter et al. (1994) reported improved growth rate and feed efficiency in Atlantic
78 salmon fed a diet supplemented with trypsin, alkaline protease, acid protease,
79 amyloglucosidase, amylase and cellulase. Moreover, the use of β -glucanase and protease has
80 been shown to improve the apparent digestibility of all nutrients in a diet with a high inclusion
81 rate of SBM (Dalsgaard et al., 2012). Protease and carbohydrases have also been shown to
82 increase the digestibility of non-starch polysaccharides (Dalsgaard et al., 2016). Nevertheless,
83 other studies have not shown any improvement in nutrient digestibility or growth by using
84 carbohydrases or protease in the feed (Carter, 1998; Carter et al., 1992; Denstadli et al., 2011;
85 Ogunkoya et al., 2006; Yigit et al., 2016). The contradicting results of the above sited
86 experiments using enzymes in salmonid diets can be related to the use of different ingredients,
87 type of enzymes, and different ways of adding the enzymes to the feed and the rearing water
88 temperature during the trial period.

89 The feeds used in marine fish farming are mostly produced by the use of extrusion technology
90 and need to be resistant to mechanical stress during transport, handling and pneumatic
91 feeding. At the same time, the feeds requires a texture and size that facilitate high feed intake
92 and efficient digestion (Aas et al., 2011; Baeverfjord et al., 2006). Too hard pellets may cause
93 digestive disturbances in fish. Overfeeding with hard pellets may result in swelling and
94 rupture of the stomach (Pillay and Kutty, 2005). On the other hand soft pellets or pellets with
95 low water stability may cause oil separation and accumulation of dietary oil in the stomach of
96 fish (Aas et al., 2011; Baeverfjord et al., 2006). Extrusion is a complex process and physical
97 quality, expansion parameters and texture of a feed is affected by the ingredient composition
98 and the extrusion parameters (Aarseth et al., 2006; Glencross et al., 2010; Morken et al., 2012;
99 Refstie et al., 2006; Samuelsen and Oterhals, 2016; Samuelsen et al., 2013; 2014; 2018;
100 Sørensen et al., 2010; Sørensen et al., 2011a; Sørensen et al., 2009).

101 The objective of the present work is to evaluate the effects on feed quality and salmon
102 performance by the inclusion of an enzyme complex produced by *Aspergillus niger* to diets,
103 containing SBM, in three ways: 1) added prior to extrusion (SBM_E), 2) pre hydrolysing
104 SBM with the enzymes prior to extrusion (SBM_P), 3) adding the enzymes by coating post-
105 extrusion (SBM_C). The different feeds were evaluated against a diet with SBM and without
106 enzymes (SBM_0) and a diet with SPC instead of SBM (SPC_0). Results on fish
107 performance, nutrient digestibility, distal intestine morphology and the physical quality of the
108 feeds are presented.

109

110 **2. Material and methods**

111 *2.1. Experimental diets formulation and production*

112 The SPC_0 diet was formulated based on raw material used in the salmon feed industry in
113 Norway (Ytrestøyl et al., 2015). In the other four diets, SBM was added instead of SPC at

114 levels providing the same amount of protein deriving from soy in all experimental diets
115 (Table 1). Different enzyme incorporation strategies were applied to three of the SBM diets.
116 The SBM in diet SBM_P was pre-processed with enzymes as described by Jacobsen et al.
117 (2018). Enzymes were added to the dry mix prior to extrusion in diet SBM_E while the
118 enzymes were coated on diet SBM_C prior to the lipid coating of the pellets using the same
119 coater. An equal content of the crude enzyme complex was added to the three feeds (Table 1).
120 In SBM_0 no enzymes were added. The diets were balanced for crude protein, crude lipid
121 and gross energy based on analyses of the feed ingredients (Table 2).
122 The crude enzyme complex used in this study was produced by *Aspergillus niger* by solid
123 state fermentation. The enzyme activities measured in this enzyme complex at pH 5.5 and 45
124 °C were: 1253 SPU g⁻¹ phytase, 0.196 U g⁻¹ protease, 512 XU g⁻¹ xylanase and 104 CMCU g⁻¹
125 cellulase as described by Jacobsen et al. (2018).
126 The experimental diets were produced at Nofima's Feed Technology Centre (Bergen,
127 Norway). The diets were pre-conditioned in an atmospheric double differential conditioner
128 (DDC, Wenger Manufacturing Inc., Sabetha, KS, USA), extruded in a co-rotating twin screw
129 extruder (TX52, Wenger Manufacturing Inc, Sabetha, KS, USA), dried in a dual layer
130 carousel dryer (Model 200.2; Paul Klockner GmbH, Nistertal, Germany) and coated with oil
131 in a Pegasus[®] vacuum coater (PG-10 VC Lab, Dinnessen BV, Sevenum, Netherlands). The
132 processing parameters are presented in Table 3. The paddle speed in the pre-conditioner, the
133 speed of the extruder screw and the flow rate during all the extrusion experiments were 220
134 rpm, 400 rpm and 150 kg h⁻¹, respectively. The extrudates were cut at the die surface to equal
135 wet pellet length. The processing temperature was measured at the outlet of the pre-
136 conditioner and in the feed mass upstream the extruder die. The die diameter used was 2.5
137 mm. The aim was to reach the same temperature at the exit of the conditioner and moisture
138 content at the extruder die for all the feeds. Extruder torque (kW) was recorded during

139 processing, whereas the specific mechanical energy (SME; kJ kg^{-1} wet extrudate) was also
140 calculated.

141

142 2.2. Feed pellet technical quality

143 The diameter and length of the pellets were analysed using an electronic sliding calliper and
144 the reported values are the average of 30 measurements per feed.

145 The pellet expansion ratio (%) was calculated as $((\text{pellet diameter} - \text{die diameter}) \times (\text{die}$
146 $\text{diameter})^{-1}) \times 100$.

147 Pellet hardness was measured by a texture analyser (TA-HDi[®], Stable Micro Systems Ltd,
148 Surrey, UK) using a cylindrical flat-ended aluminium probe (70 mm) as described in
149 Samuelsen and Oterhals (2016). The pellets were treated individually and the reported values
150 were based on the average of 30 analysis.

151 Doris Durability Index (DDI) was measured on oil coated pellets in an DORIS pellet tester
152 (AKVAsmart ASA, Bryne, Norway), by adding a pre-sieved feed sample of 350 g into the
153 inlet of the DORIS durability tester, conveyed by a screw onto a rotating paddle, and re-
154 collected in an accumulation box. The collected sample was then poured on a 2.8 mm screen
155 equipped with a collector, sieved for 30 sec. on an Endecotts Test sieve shaker (Endecotts
156 LTD, London, England). Following sieving, the durability was defined as the percentage of
157 pellets remaining on the 2.8 mm screen. The test was conducted in duplicate samples for each
158 diet.

159 Water stability index (WSI) was analyzed as described by Baeverfjord et al. (2006) with some
160 modifications. Ten g of feed sample was weighted into pre-weighed circular wire netting
161 baskets with a 1.5 mm mesh size and a diameter of 7 cm. The bottom of each basket was flat,
162 and was situated 2 cm above the lower end of the netting tube. Baskets with feed samples
163 were placed in 1000 mL beakers, and 500 mL of tap water was added. Three beakers per diet

164 were then incubated in a water bath (Julabo SW22, JULABO GmbH, Seelbach, Germany) at
165 9 °C and 145 shakings per min for 24 hours. The baskets were then gently removed from the
166 beakers, dried with paper tissues and weighed, then placed into a heating cabinet at 105 °C for
167 18 h. The baskets were weighed again after drying to determine the residual dietary dry matter
168 in each basket.

169

170 2.3. *Experimental fish, rearing facilities and conditions*

171 The feeding experiment was carried out using 1125 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) post-smolts
172 of the Bolaks (BO 4-15) SalmoBreed (Bergen, Norway) strain at Nofima's indoor tank
173 facilities at Sunndalsøra (Norway). Groups of 75 fish with a mean body weight of 60 g were
174 distributed into 15 experimental tanks (0.5 m³) supplied with 20 L min⁻¹ seawater. The sea
175 water used was pumped from 40 meter depth, filtered and UV-treated. The mean seawater
176 temperature during the trial was 10.9 °C. Prior to the start of the experiment, the fish had been
177 reared under continuous light in similar tanks and fed a commercial feed (Nutra Olympic, 3.0
178 mm pellets, Skretting AS, Stavanger, Norway). Triplicate groups of fish were fed one of the
179 five experimental feeds continuously at 120% of the *ad libitum* daily feeding level using
180 automatic disk feeders. Feed waste was collected from the effluent water in wire mesh boxes,
181 and weighed daily to estimate feed intake. Uneaten pellets were analyzed for dry matter
182 content. Feed intake was calculated on a dry matter basis for each tank by taking into account
183 the uneaten pellets and the percentage recovery of dry matter from the diet in the system
184 (Helland et al., 1996), and was used to calculate feed intake per kg gain (FCR). The fish were
185 reared with continuous light and the feeding trial lasted for 93 days from June to September
186 2016.

187

188 2.4. *Sampling*

189 Prior to the start of the experiment, 36 fish were sampled for initial tissue chemical
190 composition and histology analysis. In triplicate pooled samples of 15 fish we analysed the
191 vertebra mineral composition, whole body chemical composition, whereas gut histology was
192 studied in 6 fish from the initial trial fish population. At the end of the experiment, all the fish
193 in the experimental tanks (five each time) were killed by an overdose of the anaesthetic
194 tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222: 0.05-0.08 g L⁻¹), individually weighed and measured for
195 fork length. Faeces were stripped from all fish except those used for tissue sampling. The
196 pooled faecal material collected from each tank was frozen and freeze-dried prior to chemical
197 analyses. Five fish per tank were sampled for whole body and vertebra chemical composition,
198 for the measurement of organ somatic indices and study of the distal intestine (DI)
199 histomorphology. Only fish with digesta throughout the intestinal tract were sampled to
200 ensure intestinal exposure to the diets. The fish were dissected and the gastrointestinal tract
201 removed and the liver weighed for the calculation of the hepatosomatic index (HSI).
202 Associated adipose tissue was removed from the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and then mid (MI)
203 and DI where separated and weighed after gently removing their faecal content. DI samples
204 were immediately fixed in 4% phosphate buffered formalin for histological evaluation. The
205 same vertebral section from each sample fish, situated between the rear part of the dorsal fin
206 and the anterior part of the anal fin, was dissected. The neural and haemal arches where cut
207 off close to the attachment of the backbone. The vertebra segments were manually cleaned for
208 soft tissue and blood by scrubbing with a stiff brush. The cleaned vertebral segments of the
209 five fish per tank where pooled, homogenized, freeze-dried and analyzed.

210

211 *2.5. Chemical analyses*

212 Moisture and ash were determined gravimetrically after drying at 105 °C for 16 h (ISO 6496-
213 1999) and incinerating at 500 °C for 12 h (ISO 5984-2002), respectively. Crude protein was

214 determined by the Kjeldahl method ($N \times 6.25$) (ISO 5983-1997) and amino acids by HPLC
215 following acid hydrolysis (ISO 13903:2005). The lipid content was quantified by HCl
216 hydrolysis (COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 152). The mineral content in feed and
217 whole body was analyzed by ICP-MS (ISO 17294-4). The bone mineral content was assessed
218 by a spectrometric method (ISO 6491-1998). Total starch and degree of starch gelatinisation
219 were measured utilising a modification of the glucoamylase methodology described by
220 Chiang and Johnson (1977) and Samuelsen and Oterhals (2016). Yttrium was determined by
221 ICP-AES (ISO 11885-1996).

222

223 *2.6. Histology analysis*

224 Formalin fixed distal intestinal tissue samples were processed according to standard histology
225 procedures. Slides were stained using a mixture of haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Alcian
226 blue at pH 2.5. The slides were evaluated blindly using a Nikon Eclipse E200 light
227 microscope (Nikon Instruments Europe B.V., Netherlands). A semi-quantitative scoring
228 system was used as adapted by Uran et al. (2008), where mucosal folds, goblet cells, lamina
229 propria, supranuclear vacuoles, eosinophilic granulocytes and sub-epithelial mucosa are
230 evaluated. A score of 1 represents normal morphology, a score of 2-3 was attributed to mild
231 morphological changes compatible with signs of inflammation, while a score of 4-5 was given
232 to progressively more marked morphological symptoms of severe enteritis.

233

234 *2.7. Calculations*

235 Condition factor (CF) was calculated as $CF = 100 \times W_i \times (L_i^3)^{-1}$, where W_i is the individual
236 weight and L_i is the individual fork length. Specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated as
237 following: $SGR = 100 \times [(lnW_1) - (lnW_0)] \times D^{-1}$, where W_0 and W_1 is initial and final
238 weight, respectively, and D is the number of feeding days. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was

239 calculated as: $FCR = F \times G^{-1}$, where F is total feed consumption in dry matter and G is the
240 total fish weight gain. Thermal growth coefficient (TGC) was calculated as: $TGC =$
241 $(W_1^{1/3} - W_0^{1/3}) \times (\sum D^\circ)^{-1}$, where $\sum D^\circ$ is the thermal sum (feeding days \times average
242 temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$). Crude and digestible protein retention (PRC and PRD) was calculated as:
243 $PRC\% \text{ and } PRD\% = (Protein \text{ gain})/p \times 100$, where P is the protein fed in PRC% and
244 digested protein in PRD%. The hepatosomatic index (HSI) and organosomatic indices (OSI)
245 of the MI and DI were calculated as the percentages of the weight of the samples in relation to
246 the whole body weight of fish, calculated as: $HSI \text{ and } OSI = (mT \times bw^{-1}) \times 100$, where mT
247 is the weight of tissue and bw final body weight. The fish dress out percentage (D%) was
248 calculated as: $D\% = (Fw \times bw^{-1}) \times 100$, where Fw is the gutted fish weight and bw is the
249 body weight prior gutting. The apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) of the nutrients and
250 minerals was calculated as following: $ADC(\%) = 1 - \left[100 \times \left((D_i \times F_i^{-1}) \times (F_n \times D_n^{-1}) \right) \right]$,
251 where D_i and F_i is the inert marker concentration in the diet and faeces, and F_n and D_n is the
252 concentration of nutrient in diet and faeces, respectively.

253

254 2.8. Statistics

255 The results were statistically analysed by one-way analysis of variance. The significant
256 difference between means ($P < 0.05$) were determined using Tukey`s multiple range test.
257 Correlation coefficients on physical quality characteristics and growth were carried out using
258 the Pearson correlation coefficient procedure. Statistical analyses were performed with
259 STATISTICA (v.12.0) from Statsoft (Tulsa, OK, USA).

260

261 3. Results

262 3.1. Feed processing

263 Due to the high moisture content in the pre-processed soybean meal, the moisture content in
264 the SBM_P feed mixture was 18.9% prior to extrusion. The moisture content in the other feed
265 mixtures was 8.5, 8.3, 8.4 and 8.5 for SPC_0, SBM_0, SBM_E and SBM_C, respectively.
266 Therefore only steam was added to the SBM_P mix in the conditioner to increase the
267 temperature and moisture content while both steam and water was added in the other diets.
268 Due to lower conditioner temperature and no steam addition in the extruder (Table 3) the die
269 temperature only reached 114 °C during processing of the SBM_P feed, whereas the
270 temperature reached 120-122 °C at the die in the other diets.

271

272 3.2. *Pellet technical quality*

273 The experimental feeds were significantly different in terms of expansion ratio, with the
274 SPC_0 diet having significantly highest and SBM_P significantly lowest expansion ratio
275 (Table 4). The pellet hardness was significantly higher for the SPC_0 diet compare to the
276 other diets (Table 4). The durability of all the experimental feeds was high with very small
277 variation, still with some significant differences, with SBM_C showing the highest durability
278 (Table 4). The water stability index was significantly different between the diets with SPC_0
279 the highest and SBM_P the lowest water stability index (Table 4). The pellet length was not
280 significant between the feeds (Table 4).

281

282 3.3. *Fish performance*

283 The fish more than tripled their initial weight with minor incidences of mortality, non-
284 significantly different among the treatments. The main fish performance results are presented
285 in Table 5. The final weight, growth and TGC were significantly higher in fish fed the
286 SBM_E diet compared to the SPC_0 diet. The total feed intake of fish fed the SBM_E and
287 SBM_C diets was significantly higher than that of fish fed the SPC_0 diet. The FCR, SGR,

288 PRC%, PRD%, CF, D%, HSI, OSI MI and OSI DI did not show significant differences
289 between fish feed the different feeds.

290

291 *3.4. Apparent digestibility coefficient of dietary macro and micro nutrients*

292 The chemical analysis of the feeds shows that there were only minor differences in the
293 chemical composition between the diets (Table 2). The SPC_0 diet had a slightly higher
294 starch content (158 g kg⁻¹) compared to the SBM diets with or without enzymes (114-105 g
295 kg⁻¹). The apparent digestibility of protein was significantly lower in the SBM_P diet
296 compared to the SBM_E and SBM_C diets. Apparent energy digestibility was significantly
297 higher in SBM_C compared to SBM_P diet. No significant differences were found in the
298 apparent fat and ash digestibility coefficient of the experimental diets (Table 6).

299 The apparent digestibility of some of the amino acids in SBM_P was significantly lower
300 compared to the SBM_E and SBM_C diets (Table 6).

301 The apparent phosphorus digestibility was not affected significantly by the use of enzymes.

302 The digestibility of iron was significantly highest for SBM_C and significantly lowest for
303 SBM_0 with negative values. The apparent zinc digestibility of the SBM_0, SBM_E and
304 SBM_C was significantly higher than that of SPC_0 (Table 6).

305

306 *3.5. Whole body macro and micro nutrient composition*

307 No statistical significant differences were found in the whole body chemical composition and
308 bone mineralisation of the fish fed the different feeds (results not shown).

309

310 *3.6. Histology analysis of distal intestine*

311 No statistically significant histomorphological differences were found in the distal intestine of
312 the fish fed the different feeds and there were no signs of soybean meal induced enteritis
313 (Table 7).

314

315 *3.7. Physical feed quality and effect on fish performance*

316 Feed pellet hardness was negatively correlated to TGC, growth and feed intake. Hardness did
317 also have a positive correlation trend to starch content and gelatinized starch. Starch content
318 and gelatinized starch content were negatively correlated with feed intake. Starch content and
319 gelatinized starch did also have a negative correlation trend to growth and TGC. Starch
320 content showed a positive correlation trend to WSI. Feed intake was positive correlated to
321 TGC and growth (Table 8).

322

323 **4. Discussion**

324 *4.1. Feed process and technical quality*

325 The observed higher SME for the SBM_P was probably an effect of the reduced viscosity
326 compared to the other processed feeds, as a reduced temperature in the extruder barrel will
327 increase the viscosity in the melt during processing (Mercier and Feillet, 1975). The measured
328 lower expansion ratio of the SMB_P diet (Table 4) may be related to the lower die
329 temperature and increased viscosity (higher SME) compared to the other diets. Previous
330 studies have shown an inverse relationship between feed expansion ratio and the physical
331 quality parameters of hardness and durability (Aarseth et al., 2006; Hansen and Storebakken,
332 2007; Morken et al., 2012; Sørensen et al., 2010; Sørensen et al., 2011a; Sørensen et al.,
333 2009). Contrary, our results show that the SPC_0 diet had both the highest expansion and
334 pellet breaking force compared to the others tested feeds. The feeds were balanced for total
335 soy protein level, thus the SPC_0 diet contained more wheat and thus more starch, compared

336 to the SBM diets, which contained more non-starch carbohydrates. Except for the starch
337 levels, there were only minor differences in the chemical composition between the diets
338 (Table 2). Starch is primarily used as a digestible binder and this study demonstrated a
339 positive correlation trend between pellet hardness and dietary starch content and gelatinized
340 starch which is in accordance to Wood (1987). The positive correlation trend between starch
341 and WSI can be explained by the higher binding capacity of diet with higher starch content.

342

343 4.2. *Fish growth parameters and nutrient digestibility*

344 Previous results (Jacobsen et al., 2018; Romarheim et al., 2005) have shown that heat
345 treatment of soybean meal can improve the protein and amino acid digestibility which is
346 related to the reduced protease inhibitors. Trypsin inhibitors are affected by the temperature
347 range used (Johnson et al., 1980). Morken et al., 2011; 2012 documented increased protein
348 and amino acid digestibility by increasing the extruder temperature in the range of 110-150 °C
349 probably caused by thermal denaturation. The achieved lower temperature in the extruder for
350 SBM_P in our study may explain the resulting lower apparent protein and amino acid
351 digestibility of this diet.

352 The negative correlation between hardness and feed intake and growth parameters in our
353 study indicates that the physical quality of the feed may be of importance for fish
354 performance. Harder pellets may be more difficult to hydrate and dissolve, which would slow
355 down the gastric evacuation time, resulting in reduced feed intake, as reported previously
356 (Aas et al., 2011; Venou et al., 2009), which could lead to poorer growth despite the high
357 apparent digestibility of the dietary nutrients.

358 The difference in the starch concentration between the diets in this study did not have an
359 influence on the apparent dietary fat or energy, which is not in line with Aksnes, 1995 and
360 Hemre et al., 1995. However the starch variation in this study (105-158 g kg⁻¹ DM) is much

361 lower than in the previous published studies (0-602 g kg⁻¹). The starch content was positively
362 associated to pellet hardness (Table 8) and the negative correlation between starch content and
363 feed intake may therefore be a result of increased hardness. Previous studies have
364 demonstrated that starch levels above 10% result in reduced feed utilization and this is
365 compensated by increased feed intake to maintain growth (Hemre et al., 2002).
366 The feed processing parameters of the SBM_0, SBM_E and SBM_C feeds were the same
367 (Table 3), excluding any impact of the process on pellet hardness. This indicates that the
368 enzymes added to the mix prior to feed processing may have influenced physical feed quality
369 and consequently feed intake and fish growth. Previous result with β -galactosidase in bread
370 baking, α -amylase in maltodextrin systems and lipoxygenase in a glucose calcium-alginate gel
371 have shown that these enzymes are more heat stable in systems with reduced moisture content
372 than in aqueous solutions (Liou, 1982; Samborska et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2017). This may
373 indicate that the enzymes have been active in the feed process and/or in the 20 minutes drying
374 process after extrusion altering physical feed quality by making it softer.

375

376 4.3. *Digestibility*

377 Dietary phosphorus apparent digestibility was not significantly affected by enzymatic pre-
378 processing of SBM even though 84% of the IP6 could be hydrolysed by this process as
379 reported by Jacobsen et al. (2018). This indicates that the proportion of IP6 phosphorous in
380 the diet is low and the improved bioavailability of the phosphorus is masked by the already
381 high available phosphorous in the diet. Accordingly, there were no significant differences in
382 the salmon whole body and vertebra phosphorous levels of our study. The improved apparent
383 dietary Fe and energy digestibility by coating the pellets with enzymes found in our study is
384 in line with previous results (Vielma et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2009), which were however
385 from experiments conducted at higher water temperatures (14-18 °C). Denstadli et al. (2007)

386 did not find any mineral digestibility improvement by coating phytase onto the pellets which
387 were fed to fish reared at 8 °C. In the aforementioned experiment, the researchers did not use
388 the same enzyme complex and had different feed formulations compared to our study.
389 Previous experiments have shown that the whole body mineral concentration is
390 homeostatically controlled (Sato et al., 1987; Shearer, 1984), i.e. if the whole body mineral
391 levels are lower than normal it is an indication of a subclinical mineral deficiency. The
392 present experiment lasting for 93 days did not show any differences in whole body and
393 vertebra mineral composition between the five diets even though some diets showed
394 improved apparent mineral digestibility.

395

396 4.4. *Histology*

397 The relative little impact and non significant difference between the SPC_0 diet and SBM_0
398 diet on the distal intestine structure in this experiment when using approximately 20% of de-
399 hulled solvent extracted SBM, is not in accordance to previous results (Król et al., 2016).
400 Previous experiments conducted with fish meal based diets where soybean meal replaced part
401 of the fish meal (Baeverfjord and Krogdahl, 1996; Chikwati et al., 2012; Knudsen et al., 2007;
402 Knudsen et al., 2008; Krogdahl et al., 2003; Król et al., 2016; Sørensen et al., 2011b; Uran et
403 al., 2008; van den Ingh et al., 1991) or the use of soya saponin concentrates (Chikwati et al.,
404 2012; Knudsen et al., 2007; Knudsen et al., 2008; Krogdahl et al., 2015) have shown soybean
405 meal induced enteritis in fish. The high inclusion rates of concentrated soya saponins used in
406 previous trials corresponds to 24-140% SBM in the diet when the saponin content is 7.1 g kg⁻¹
407 as analysed by Knudsen et al. (2008). The saponin content in the SBM in this trial is 5.65 g
408 kg⁻¹ DM (Jacobsen et al., 2018) which corresponds to 1.12 g kg⁻¹ soya saponins in the diet.
409 Knudsen et al. (2008) analysed the saponin content in SBM and in a soya saponin concentrate,
410 and found that the DDMP-conjugated group B and group A saponins were not present in the

411 concentrate. That study demonstrated that soya saponin concentrate in combination with lupin
412 kernel meal did not have the same impact as the soybean meal on the intestinal morphology
413 changes and it was concluded that the DDMP-conjugated B group saponins and group A
414 saponins may have enhanced the intestinal morphology changes. The DDMP-conjugated
415 saponin and A group saponin levels in the SBM used in this study (Jacobsen et al., 2018) are
416 lower than in the SBM used in Knudsen et al. (2008). This fact may therefore explain why we
417 did not see the common highly inflamed distal intestine in the fish of our study as reported
418 previously and indicates the importance of detailed knowledge of the processing parameters
419 and chemical composition of raw materials to be used in aquatic diets.

420

421 **5. Conclusions**

422 The present experiment showed that the physical quality of the feed pellet has an influence on
423 fish performance and that this should be taken into consideration when designing fish feeding
424 and digestibility trials with different feeds. To the author`s knowledge similar effects of pellet
425 hardness on fish performance have not been reported previously. The use of soybean meal did
426 not induce the common soybean meal induced enteritis in the distal intestine in this
427 experiment. The mechanism behind this effect is not clear and further research is needed to
428 clarify which of the saponin groups in the soybean meal induce enteritis in the distal intestine
429 in Atlantic salmon. The addition of enzymes prior to extrusion may have an effect on the
430 physical quality of the feed. The study demonstrates that addition of enzymes post extrusion
431 can improve Fe and energy digestibility. Pre-processing SBM with the used enzymes and
432 adding it to an extruded feed in this study demonstrated reduced fish performance.

433

434 **Acknowledgment**

435 The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Norwegian Research Council
436 (project no. 220203) and Alltech Norway AS for this project. We also want to thank the
437 personnel at Nofima BioLab for analytical assistance and performance, The Feed Technology
438 Centre for processing the experimental feeds, and the Research station at Sunndalsøra for the
439 fish feeding trial. We would also like to thank Dr. Elizabeth Sweetman for proofreading the
440 manuscript.

441

442 **References**

- 443 Aarseth, K.A., Sørensen, M., Storebakken, T., 2006. Effects of red yeast inclusions in diets for
444 salmonids and extrusion temperature on pellet tensile strength: Weibull analysis. *Anim. Feed*
445 *Sci. Technol.* 126, 75-91.
- 446 Aas, T.S., Terjesen, B.F., Sigholt, T., Hillestad, M., Holm, J., Refstie, S., Baeverfjord, G., Rørvik, K.A.,
447 Sørensen, M., Oehme, M., Åsgård, T., 2011. Nutritional responses in rainbow trout
448 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed diets with different physical qualities at stable or variable
449 environmental conditions. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 17, 657-670.
- 450 Aksnes, A., 1995. Growth, feed efficiency and slaughter quality of salmon, *Salmo salar* L., given feeds
451 with different ratios of carbohydrate and protein. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 1, 241-248.
- 452 Arndt, R.E., Hardy, R.W., Sugiura, S.H., Dong, F.M., 1999. Effects of heat treatment and substitution
453 level on palatability and nutritional value of soy defatted flour in feeds for Coho salmon,
454 *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. *Aquaculture.* 180, 129-145.
- 455 Baeverfjord, G., Krogdahl, A., 1996. Development and regression of soybean meal induced enteritis in
456 Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., distal intestine: a comparison with the intestines of fasted
457 fish. *J. Fish Dis.* 19, 375-387.
- 458 Baeverfjord, G., Refstie, S., Krogdahl, P., Åsgård, T., 2006. Low feed pellet water stability and
459 fluctuating water salinity cause separation and accumulation of dietary oil in the stomach of
460 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture.* 261, 1335-1345.
- 461 Bakke-McKellep, A.M., Press, C.M., Baeverfjord, G., Krogdahl, Å., Landsverk, T., 2000. Changes in
462 immune and enzyme histochemical phenotypes of cells in the intestinal mucosa of Atlantic
463 salmon, *Salmo salar* L., with soybean meal-induced enteritis. *J. Fish Dis.* 23, 115-127.
- 464 Carter, C.G., 1998. Fishmeal replacement in aquafeeds for Atlantic salmon. in: Corporation, F.a.R.D.
465 (Ed.), Canberra, Australia.

466 Carter, C.G., Houlihan, D.F., McCarthy, I.D., 1992. Feed utilization efficiencies of Atlantic salmon
467 (Salmo salar L.) parr: Effect of a single supplementary enzyme. Comparative Biochem.
468 Physiol. Part A: Physiol. 101, 369-374.

469 Carter, C.G., Houlihan, D.F., Buchanan, B., Mitchell, A.I., 1994. Growth and feed utilization efficiencies
470 of seawater Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., fed a diet containing supplementary enzymes.
471 Aquac. Res. 25, 37-46.

472 Cheng, Z.J., Hardy, R.W., Verlhac, V., Gabaudan, J., 2004. Effects of microbial phytase
473 supplementation and dosage on apparent digestibility coefficients of nutrients and dry
474 matter in soybean product-based diets for rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. World
475 Aquac. Soc. 35, 1-15.

476 Chiang, B.Y., Johnson, J.A., 1977. Measurement of total and gelatinized starch by glucoamylase and
477 o-toluidin reagent. Cereal Chem. 54, 429-435.

478 Chikwati, E.M., Venold, F.F., Penn, M.H., Rohloff, J., Refstie, S., Guttvik, A., Hillestad, M., Krogdahl, Å.,
479 2012. Interaction of soyasaponins with plant ingredients in diets for Atlantic salmon, *Salmo*
480 *salar* L. Br. J. Nutr. 107, 1570-1590.

481 Dalsgaard, J., Ekmann, K.S., Pedersen, P.B., Verlhac, V., 2009. Effect of supplemented fungal phytase
482 on performance and phosphorus availability by phosphorus-depleted juvenile rainbow trout
483 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and on the magnitude and composition of phosphorus waste output.
484 Aquaculture. 286, 105-112.

485 Dalsgaard, J., Bach Knudsen, K.E., Verlhac, V., Ekmann, K.S., Pedersen, P.B., 2016. Supplementing
486 enzymes to extruded, soybean-based diet improves breakdown of non-starch
487 polysaccharides in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquacult. Nutr. 22, 419-426.

488 Dalsgaard, J., Verlhac, V., Hjermitsev, N.H., Ekmann, K.S., Fischer, M., Klausen, M., Pedersen, P.B.,
489 2012. Effects of exogenous enzymes on apparent nutrient digestibility in rainbow trout
490 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed diets with high inclusion of plant-based protein. Anim. Feed Sci.
491 Technol. 171, 181-191.

492 Denstadli, V., Storebakken, T., Svihus, B., Skrede, A., 2007. A comparison of online phytase pre-
493 treatment of vegetable feed ingredients and phytase coating in diets for Atlantic salmon
494 (*Salmo salar* L.) reared in cold water. *Aquaculture*. 269, 414-426.

495 Denstadli, V., Vestre, R., Svihus, B., Skrede, A., Storebakken, T., 2006. Phytate degradation in a
496 mixture of ground wheat and ground defatted soybeans during feed processing: Effects of
497 temperature, moisture level, and retention time in small- and medium-scale incubation
498 systems. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54, 5887-5893.

499 Denstadli, V., Hillestad, M., Verlhac, V., Klausen, M., Øverland, M., 2011. Enzyme pretreatment of
500 fibrous ingredients for carnivorous fish: Effects on nutrient utilisation and technical feed
501 quality in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*. 319, 391-397.

502 Francis, G., Makkar, H.P.S., Becker, K., 2001. Antinutritional factors present in plant-derived alternate
503 fish feed ingredients and their effects in fish. *Aquaculture*. 199, 197-227.

504 Gatlin, D.M., Barrows, F.T., Brown, P., Dabrowski, K., Gaylord, T.G., Hardy, R.W., Herman, E., Hu, G.,
505 Krogdahl, Å., Nelson, R., Overturf, K., Rust, M., Sealey, W., Skonberg, D., J Souza, E., Stone, D.,
506 Wilson, R., Wurtele, E., 2007. Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in
507 aquafeeds: a review. *Aquac. Res.* 38, 551-579.

508 Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., Maas, R., Karopoulos, M., Hauler, R., 2010. Evaluation of the influence of
509 different species and cultivars of lupin kernel meal on the extrusion process, pellet properties
510 and viscosity parameters of salmonid feeds. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 16, 13-24.

511 Hansen, J.Ø., Storebakken, T., 2007. Effects of dietary cellulose level on pellet quality and nutrient
512 digestibilities in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*. 272, 458-465.

513 Helland, S.J., Grisdale-Helland, B., Nerland, S., 1996. A simple method for the measurement of daily
514 feed intake of groups of fish in tanks. *Aquaculture*. 139, 157-163.

515 Hemre, G.I., Mommsen, T.P., Krogdahl, Å., 2002. Carbohydrates in fish nutrition: effects on growth,
516 glucose metabolism and hepatic enzymes. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 8, 175-194.

517 Hemre, G.I., Sandnes, K., Lie, Ø., Torrissen, O., Waagbø, R., 1995. Carbohydrate nutrition in Atlantic
518 salmon, *Salmo salar* L.: growth and feed utilization. *Aquac. Res.* 26, 149-154.

519 Jacobsen, H.J., Kousloulaki, K., Sandberg, A., Carlsson, N., Ahlstrøm, Ø., Oterhals, Å., 2018. Enzyme
520 pre-treatment of soybean meal :Effects on non-starch carbohydrates, protein, phytic acid,
521 and saponin biotransformation and digestibility in mink (*Neovison vison*) *Anim. Feed Sci.*
522 *Technol.* 236, 1-13.

523 Johnson, L.A., Deyoe, C.W., Hoover, W.J., Schwenke, J.R., 1980. Inactivation of trypsin inhibitors in
524 aqueous soybean extracts by direct steam infusion. *Cereal Chem.* 57, 376-379.

525 Kaushik, S.J., Cravedi, J.P., Lalles, J.P., Sumpter, J., Fauconneau, B., Laroche, M., 1995. Partial or total
526 replacement of fish meal by soybean protein on growth, protein utilization, potential
527 estrogenic or antigenic effects, cholesterolemia and flesh quality in rainbow trout,
528 *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture.* 133, 257-274.

529 Knudsen, D., Røn, Ø., Baardsen, G., Smedsgaard, J., Koppe, W., 2006. Soyasaponins resist extrusion
530 cooking and are Not degraded during gut passage in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *J. Agric.*
531 *Food Chem.* 54, 6428-6435.

532 Knudsen, D., Urán, P., Arnous, A., Koppe, W., Frøkiær, H., 2007. Saponin-containing subfractions of
533 soybean molasses induce enteritis in the distal intestine of Atlantic salmon. *J. Agric. Food*
534 *Chem.* 55, 2261-2267.

535 Knudsen, D., Jutfelt, F., Sundh, H., Sundell, K., Koppe, W., Frøkiær, H., 2008. Dietary soya saponins
536 increase gut permeability and play a key role in the onset of soyabean-induced enteritis in
537 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Br. J. Nutr.* 100, 120-129.

538 Krogdahl, Å., Bakke-McKellep, A.M., Baeverfjord, G., 2003. Effects of graded levels of standard
539 soybean meal on intestinal structure, mucosal enzyme activities, and pancreatic response in
540 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquacult. Nutr.* 9, 361-371.

541 Krogdahl, Å., Gajardo, K., Kortner, T.M., Penn, M., Gu, M., Berge, G.M., Bakke, A.M., 2015. Soya
542 saponins induce enteritis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 63, 3887-
543 3902.

544 Król, E., Douglas, A., Tocher, D.R., Crampton, V.O., Speakman, J.R., Secombes, C.J., Martin, S.A.M.,
545 2016. Differential responses of the gut transcriptome to plant protein diets in farmed
546 Atlantic salmon. *BMC Genomics.* 17, 156.

547 Lanari, D., D'Agaro, E., Turri, C., 1998. Use of nonlinear regression to evaluate the effects of phytase
548 enzyme treatment of plant protein diets for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).
549 *Aquaculture.* 161, 345-356.

550 Liou, J.K., 1982. An approximate method for nonlinear diffusion applied to enzyme inactivation
551 during drying, Agricultural University Wageningen, pp. 137.

552 Mercier, C., Feillet, P., 1975. Modification of carbohydrate components by extrusion-cooking of
553 cereal products. *Cereal Chem.* 52, 283-297.

554 Morken, T., Kraugerud, O.F., Barrows, F.T., Sørensen, M., Storebakken, T., Øverland, M., 2011.
555 Sodium diformate and extrusion temperature affect nutrient digestibility and physical quality
556 of diets with fish meal and barley protein concentrate for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
557 *mykiss*). *Aquaculture.* 317, 138-145.

558 Morken, T., Kraugerud, O.F., Sørensen, M., Storebakken, T., Hillestad, M., Christiansen, R., Øverland,
559 M., 2012. Effects of feed processing conditions and acid salts on nutrient digestibility and
560 physical quality of soy-based diets for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquacult. Nutr.* 18, 21-
561 34.

562 NRC, 1993. Nutrient requirements of fish, Washington, DC, USA.

563 Ogunkoya, A.E., Page, G.I., Adewolu, M.A., Bureau, D.P., 2006. Dietary incorporation of soybean meal
564 and exogenous enzyme cocktail can affect physical characteristics of faecal material egested
565 by rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture.* 254, 466-475.

566 Peisker, M., 2001. Manufacturing of soy protein concentrate for animal nutrition. in: Brufau, J. (Ed.),
567 Feed manufacturing in the Mediterranean region. Improving safety: From feed to food.
568 CIHEAM, Zaragoza, pp. 103-107.

569 Pillay, T.V.R., Kutty, M.N., 2005. Feed and nutrition, Aquaculture: Principles and Practices. Blackwell
570 publishing, Oxford, pp. 105-173.

571 Refstie, S., Storebakken, T., Roem, A.J., 1998. Feed consumption and conversion in Atlantic salmon
572 (*Salmo salar*) fed diets with fish meal, extracted soybean meal or soybean meal with reduced
573 content of oligosaccharides, trypsin inhibitors, lectins and soya antigens. *Aquaculture*. 162,
574 301-312.

575 Refstie, S., Storebakken, T., Baeverfjord, G., Roem, A.J., 2001. Long-term protein and lipid growth of
576 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets with partial replacement of fish meal by soy protein
577 products at medium or high lipid level. *Aquaculture*. 193, 91-106.

578 Refstie, S., Sahlström, S., Bråthen, E., Baeverfjord, G., Krogedal, P., 2005. Lactic acid fermentation
579 eliminates indigestible carbohydrates and antinutritional factors in soybean meal for Atlantic
580 salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture*. 246, 331-345.

581 Refstie, S., Glencross, B., Landsverk, T., Sørensen, M., Lilleeng, E., Hawkins, W., Krogdahl, Å., 2006.
582 Digestive function and intestinal integrity in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed kernel meals
583 and protein concentrates made from yellow or narrow-leaved lupins. *Aquaculture*. 261, 1382-
584 1395.

585 Romarheim, O.H., Aslaksen, M.A., Storebakken, T., Krogdahl, Å., Skrede, A., 2005. Effect of extrusion
586 on trypsin inhibitor activity and nutrient digestibility of diets based on fish meal, soybean
587 meal and white flakes. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 59, 365-375.

588 Rumsey, G.L., Siwicki, A.K., Anderson, D.P., Bowser, P.R., 1994. Effect of soybean protein on
589 serological response, non-specific defense mechanisms, growth, and protein utilization in
590 rainbow trout. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 41, 323-339.

591 Samborska, K., Guiavarc'h, Y., Van Loey, A., Hendrickx, M., 2005. The influence of moisture content
592 on the thermostability of *Aspergillus oryzae* α -amylase. *Enz. Microb. Technol.* 37, 167-174.

593 Samuelsen, T.A., Oterhals, Å., 2016. Water-soluble protein level in fishmeal affects extrusion
594 behaviour, phase transitions and physical quality of feed. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 22, 120-133.

595 Samuelsen, T.A., Mjøs, S.A., Oterhals, Å., 2013. Impact of variability in fishmeal physicochemical
596 properties on the extrusion process, starch gelatinization and pellet durability and hardness.
597 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 179, 77-84.

598 Samuelsen, T.A., Mjøs, S.A., Oterhals, Å., 2014. Influence of type of raw material on fishmeal
599 physicochemical properties, the extrusion process, starch gelatinization and physical quality
600 of fish feed. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 20, 410-420.

601 Samuelsen, T.A., Oterhals, Å., Kousoulaki, K., 2018. High lipid microalgae (*Schizochytrium* sp.)
602 inclusion as a sustainable source of n-3 long-chain PUFA in fish feed – effects on the
603 extrusion process and physical pellet quality. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 236, 14-28.

604 Satoh, S., Takeuchi, T., Watanabe, T., 1987. Changes of mineral composition in whole body of
605 rainbow trout during growth stages. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi.* 53, 273-279.

606 Shearer, K.D., 1984. Changes in elemental composition of hatchery-reared rainbow trout, *Salmo*
607 *gairdneri*, associated with growth and reproduction. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 41, 1592-1600.

608 Smith, R.R., 1977. Recent research involving full-fat soybean meal in salmonid diets. *Salmonid.* 1, 8-
609 11, 18.

610 Sugiura, S.H., Gabaudan, J., Dong, F.M., Hardy, R.W., 2001. Dietary microbial phytase
611 supplementation and the utilization of phosphorus, trace minerals and protein by rainbow
612 trout [*Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum)] fed soybean meal-based diets. *Aquac. Res.* 32, 583-
613 592.

614 Sørensen, M., Nguyen, G., Storebakken, T., Øverland, M., 2010. Starch source, screw configuration
615 and injection of steam into the barrel affect the physical quality of extruded fish feed. *Aquac.*
616 *Res.* 41, 419-432.

617 Sørensen, M., Morken, T., Kosanovic, M., Øverland, M., 2011a. Pea and wheat starch possess
618 different processing characteristics and affect physical quality and viscosity of extruded feed
619 for Atlantic salmon. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 17, 326-336.

620 Sørensen, M., Stjepanovic, N., Romarheim, O.H., Krekling, T., Storebakken, T., 2009. Soybean meal
621 improves the physical quality of extruded fish feed. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 149, 149-161.

622 Sørensen, M., Penn, M., El-Mowafi, A., Storebakken, T., Chunfang, C., Øverland, M., Krogdahl, Å.,
623 2011b. Effect of stachyose, raffinose and soya-saponins supplementation on nutrient
624 digestibility, digestive enzymes, gut morphology and growth performance in Atlantic salmon
625 (*Salmo salar*, L). *Aquaculture.* 314, 145-152.

626 Uran, P.A., Schrama, J.W., Rombout, J.H.W.M., Obach, A., Jensen, L., Koppe, W., Verreth, J.A.J., 2008.
627 Soybean meal-induced enteritis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) at different temperatures.
628 *Aquacult. Nutr.* 14, 324-330.

629 van den Ingh, T.S.G.A.M., Krogdahl, Å., Olli, J.J., Hendriks, H.G.C.J.M., Koninkx, J.G.J.F., 1991. Effects
630 of soybean-containing diets on the proximal and distal intestine in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*
631 *salar*): a morphological study. *Aquaculture.* 94, 297-305.

632 Venou, B., Alexis, M.N., Fountoulaki, E., Haralabous, J., 2009. Performance factors, body composition
633 and digestion characteristics of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) fed pelleted or extruded
634 diets. *Aquacult. Nutr.* 15, 390-401.

635 Vielma, J., Ruohonen, K., Gabaudan, J., Vogel, K., 2004. Top-spraying soybean meal-based diets with
636 phytase improves protein and mineral digestibilities but not lysine utilization in rainbow
637 trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Aquac. Res.* 35, 955-964.

638 Wang, F., Yang, Y.-H., Han, Z.-Z., Dong, H.-W., Yang, C.-H., Zou, Z.-Y., 2009. Effects of phytase
639 pretreatment of soybean meal and phytase-sprayed in diets on growth, apparent digestibility
640 coefficient and nutrient excretion of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). *Aquac.*
641 *Int.* 17, 143.

642 Wood, J.F., 1987. The functional properties of feed raw materials and their effect on the production
643 and quality of feed pellets. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 18, 1-17.

644 Yigit, N.O., Bahadir Koca, S., Didinen, B.I., Diler, I., 2016. Effect of protease and phytase
645 supplementation on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of rainbow trout
646 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) fed soybean meal-based diets. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.*, 1-4.

647 Ytrestøyl, T., Aas, T.S., Åsgård, T., 2015. Utilisation of feed resources in production of Atlantic salmon
648 (*Salmo salar*) in Norway. *Aquaculture*. 448, 365-374.

649 Zhang, L., Chen, X.D., Boom, R.M., Schutyser, M.A.I., 2017. Thermal inactivation kinetics of β -
650 galactosidase during bread baking. *Food Chemistry*. 225, 107-113.

651

652 Table 1. Formulation of the experimental diets in dry matter (g kg⁻¹).

Diet code	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C
Soy protein concentrate ¹	143.0				
Soy bean meal ²		198.0		198.0	198.0
Pre-processed Soy bean meal ²			198.0		
Enzyme ³			0.6	0.6	0.6
Fishmeal ⁴	204.0	204.0	204.0	204.0	204.0
Krill hydrolysate (wet) ⁵	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6
Corn gluten ¹	26.0	26.1	26.1	26.1	26.1
Wheat gluten ⁶	180.0	191.0	191.0	191.0	191.0
Wheat ⁷	151.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0
Fish oil ⁴	108.6	108.6	108.6	108.6	108.6
Linseed oil ⁸	50.1	51.0	50.7	50.7	50.7
Rapeseed oil ⁹	44.0	43.0	42.7	42.7	42.7
Choline chloride ¹⁰	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Cholesterol ¹¹	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Soya lecithin ¹²	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
vitamin mix ¹⁰	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.7
mineral mix ¹⁰	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
NaH ₂ PO ₄ ¹⁰	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Lys (99%, 19,41% Cl) ¹³	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Methionine 99% ¹³	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Carophyll Pink (10%) ¹⁴	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Yttrium oxide ¹⁵	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sum	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

653 ¹Provided by Agrokorn, Denmark.

654 ²Provided by DSM, Switzerland.

655 ³Enzymes from *Aspergillus niger* processed under solid state fermentation, the enzyme activity: 1253
656 SPU g⁻¹ phytase, 0.196 U g⁻¹ protease, 512 XU g⁻¹ xylanase and 104 CMCU g⁻¹ cellulase as described by
657 Jacobsen et al. (2018).

658 ⁴Norse-LT, provided by Norsildmel AS, Norway.

659 ⁵Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*), Olympic AS, Ålesund, Norway.

660 ⁶Amytex 100 vital, Provided by Tereos Syral, France.

661 ⁷Provided by Norgesmøllene, Norway.

662 ⁸Provided by Holtermann, Norway.

663 ⁹Provided by Emmelev, Demark.

664 ¹⁰Provided by Vilomix, Norway.

665 ¹¹Provided by Grudlita, Lithuania.

666 ¹²Provided by Denofa, Norway.

667 ¹³Provided by Evonik Industries AG, Essen, Germany.

668 ¹⁴Provided by G.O. Johnsen AS, Oslo, Norway.

669 ¹⁵Provided by Metal rare earth Limited, Shenzhen, China.

670

671 Table 2. Chemical composition of the diets in g kg⁻¹ DM.

Diet code	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C
Crude protein	465	465	465	464	463
Crude Fat	242	244	245	242	246
Ash	76	82	81	82	82
Starch	158	114	114	112	105
Gelatinized starch	145	102	108	105	102
Energy (Mj/kg)	24.0	23.9	24.0	23.8	24.0
Minerals					
P	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.2
Fe	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Ca	10.1	9.9	9.5	10.4	9.9
Mg	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.5
Zn	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Essential Amino acids					
Lysine	23	22	23	22	22
Threonine	16	16	16	16	15
Methionine	11	11	11	11	11
Valine	20	20	20	20	20
Isoleucine	19	19	18	19	19
Leucine	35	34	34	34	34
Phenylalanine	21	21	21	21	21
Histidine	10	10	10	10	10
Arginine	24	23	24	23	23
Non-essential Amino acids					
Aspartic acid	32	32	32	32	32
Serine	21	21	21	21	21
Glutamic acid	106	107	106	107	105
Proline	36	35	35	35	34
Glycine	21	22	21	22	22
Alanine	20	20	19	20	20
Tyrosine	13	13	12	14	13

672

673

674 Table 3. Feed Processing parameters during extrusion of the experimental diets.

Diets ¹	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C
Conditioning					
Temperature (°C)	91	85.5	83.5	85	85
Water (kg h ⁻¹)	21.6	18.9	0	18.6	18.6
Steam (kg h ⁻¹)	11	11	12	11	11
Moisture (%)	24.0	21.8	24.0	22.2	22.0
Extrusion					
Steam (kg h ⁻¹)	5	5	0	5	5
Temp. behind die (°C)	120	121	114	122	122
pressure, Section 7 (bar)	13	14	14	14	14
Moisture at die (%)	26.1	24.0	24.0	24.4	24.2
SME ² (Wh kg ⁻¹ wet)	30.4	31.2	37.2	32.8	32.3

675 ¹Diets formulated as in Table 1.

676 ²Specific mechanical energy.

677

678 Table 4. Technical quality of experimental diets.

	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C	s.e.m.*	P-value**
Expansion ratio (%)	45.9 ^c	35.9 ^b	21.9 ^a	34.4 ^b	36.0 ^b	1.1	<0.001
Length	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	0.1	ns***
Hardness (N)	29.7 ^c	24.3 ^{ab}	25.6 ^b	21.3 ^a	22.8 ^{ab}	0.8	<0.001
Doris durability index (%)	99.7 ^a	99.7 ^a	99.6 ^a	99.7 ^a	99.9 ^b	0.0	<0.01
Water stability (%)	82.6 ^d	75.9 ^c	69.2 ^a	75.8 ^c	72.2 ^b	0.6	<0.001

679 *Pooled standard error of means.

680 **Values not sharing common superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05) determined by

681 ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test.

682 *** Non-significant.

683

684 Table 5. Growth, feeding performance and biometrics of Atlantic salmon fed the five different diets.

685 Values are mean (n=3 tanks per treatment).

	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C	s.e.m.*	P-value**
Start fish	75	75	75	75	75		
Mortality (%)	0	0	0.9	1.8	0	0.4	ns
Initial weight (g)	59.7	60.6	59.7	60.5	60.4	0.3	ns***
Final weight (g)	212.0 ^a	229.2 ^{ab}	222.6 ^{ab}	237.6 ^b	227.7 ^{ab}	4.1	<0.05
Growth (g)	152.3 ^a	168.6 ^{ab}	162.9 ^{ab}	177.1 ^b	167.3 ^{ab}	4.2	<0.05
Total feed intake (kg DM)	8.15 ^a	9.13 ^{ab}	8.90 ^{ab}	9.52 ^b	9.16 ^b	0.21	<0.05
FCR (DM)	0.71	0.72	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.01	ns
TGC (*1000)	2.03 ^a	2.16 ^{ab}	2.12 ^{ab}	2.24 ^b	2.15 ^{ab}	0.04	<0.05
SGR	1.36	1.43	1.41	1.47	1.43	0.02	<0.1
PRC (%)	55.7	54.7	54.0	54.2	54.4	0.8	ns
PRD (%)	61.1	60.0	59.7	59.1	59.4	0.8	ns
CF	1.24	1.26	1.23	1.26	1.23	0.01	ns
D%	89.6	90.1	89.7	89.9	90.1	0.4	ns
HIS	1.14	1.14	1.12	1.17	1.10	0.03	ns
OSI Mi	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.01	ns
OSI DI	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.45	0.45	0.01	ns

686 *Pooled standard error of means.

687 ** Values not sharing common superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05) as determined

688 by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test.

689 ***Non-significant.

690

691 Table 6. Apparent digestibility coefficient (%) of macronutrients, amino acids and minerals. Values
 692 are mean (n=3 tanks per treatment).

	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C	s.e.m.*	P-value**
Crude Protein	91.2 ^{ab}	91.1 ^{ab}	90.4 ^a	91.6 ^b	91.7 ^b	0.2	<0.01
Fat	97.4	97.3	97.6	97.7	97.6	0.1	ns***
Ash	14.1	18.2	14.8	18.0	22.7	2.0	<0.1
Energy MJ/kg	84.5 ^{ab}	84.5 ^{ab}	84.1 ^a	85.6 ^{ab}	86.0 ^b	0.4	<0.05
Essential Amino acids							
Lysine	92.8 ^{ab}	92.5 ^{ab}	91.8 ^a	93.0 ^b	92.9 ^b	0.2	<0.05
Threonine	90.4	90.3	89.8	90.9	90.6	0.3	ns
Methionine	94.2	94.3	94.4	95.0	94.7	0.3	ns
Valine	93.4	93.3	92.9	93.8	93.7	0.2	ns
Isoleucine	94.4 ^{ab}	94.2 ^{ab}	93.5 ^a	94.7 ^b	94.6 ^b	0.2	<0.05
Leucine	94.9	94.6	94.2	94.9	95.0	0.2	<0.1
Phenylalanine	94.6	94.3	93.8	94.6	94.7	0.2	<0.1
Histidine	91.7 ^{ab}	92.0 ^{ab}	91.3 ^a	92.5 ^b	92.3 ^{ab}	0.2	<0.05
Arginine	95.7 ^b	95.5 ^{ab}	94.8 ^a	95.7 ^b	95.5 ^{ab}	0.2	<0.05
Non-essential Amino acids							
Aspartic acid	81.5 ^a	83.1 ^{ab}	82.2 ^{ab}	84.0 ^b	84.5 ^b	0.5	<0.01
Serine	92.8	92.6	92.1	93.2	92.9	0.2	<0.1
Glutamic acid	96.8	96.6	96.2	96.9	96.8	0.1	<0.1
Proline	95.7	95.5	95.2	95.8	95.5	0.2	ns
Glycine	89.0	89.5	88.7	90.1	90.3	0.4	<0.1
Alanine	92.7	92.7	92.0	93.1	93.2	0.3	<0.1
Tyrosine	93.7 ^b	93.2 ^{ab}	92.2 ^a	94.0 ^b	93.9 ^b	0.3	<0.01
Minerals							
P	51.5	52.0	53.0	53.8	52.8	0.9	ns
Fe	12.7 ^b	-6.4 ^a	11.2 ^b	17.0 ^b	23.7 ^c	1.3	<0.001
Zn	22.0 ^a	28.9 ^b	27.5 ^{ab}	29.2 ^b	32.9 ^b	1.4	<0.01

693 *Pooled standard error of means.

694 **Different superscript letters denote significant differences (P<0.05) and tendencies (0.10 > P >
 695 0.05) determined by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test.

696 ***Non-significant.

697

698 Table 7. Histological evaluation of distal intestine^a.

	SPC_0	SBM_0	SBM_P	SBM_E	SBM_C	s.e.m.*	P-value**
Mucosal folds	1.28	1.56	1.61	1.22	1.56	0.24	ns***
Supra nuclear vacuoles	2.33	1.89	1.94	2.06	2.22	0.35	ns
Goblet cells	2.67	2.28	2.33	2.33	3.00	0.24	ns
Eosinophilic granulocytes	2.11	2.56	2.28	2.61	2.72	0.23	ns
Lamina propria	1.33	1.56	1.17	1.44	1.78	0.21	ns
Sub-epithelial mucosa	2.28	2.28	2.06	2.11	2.28	0.25	ns
Mean score	2.00	2.02	1.90	1.96	2.26	0.21	ns

699 ^aIntestinal cuts were scored according to Uran et al. (2008). A score of 1 represent normal

700 morphology, a score of 2-3 was given to mild morphological changes while a score of 4-5 represent

701 sever enteritis. Reported data are mean values (n=3 tanks / n= 6 fish per tank).

702 *Pooled standard error of means.

703 **Values not sharing common superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05) determined by

704 ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test.

705 ***Non-Significant.

706

707 Table 8. Correlation coefficients of significant physical feed quality and fish performance variables.

	Growth	TGC	FI	Exp	Hard	DDI	WSI	Starch	Gel
Growth	1.00*								
TGC ¹	0.99*	1.00*							
FI ²	0.99*	0.99*	1.00*						
Exp ³	-0.36	-0.40	-0.46	1.00*					
Hard ⁴	-0.95*	-0.95*	-0.95*	0.20	1.00*				
DDI ⁵	0.29	0.28	0.35	0.22	-0.56	1.00*			
WSI ⁶	-0.42	-0.45	-0.56	0.91*	0.38	-0.17	1.00*		
Starch ⁷	-0.81 ^T	-0.82 ^T	-0.90*	0.66	0.81 ^T	-0.40	0.83 ^T	1.00*	
Gel ⁸	-0.83 ^T	-0.83 ^T	-0.91*	0.63	0.81 ^T	-0.31	0.77	0.99*	1.00*

708 ¹TGC, temperature growth coefficient

709 ²FI, feed intake

710 ³EXP, expansion ratio

711 ⁴Hard, hardness

712 ⁵DDI, doris durability index

713 ⁶WSI, water stability index

714 ⁷Starch, starch content

715 ⁸Gel, gelatinized starch

716 *Denotes significant correlation coefficients (P < 0.05).

717 ^TDenotes correlation coefficients tendencies (0.10 > P > 0.05).

718