

1 **Mechanisms of Transverse Relaxation of Water in Muscle Tissue**

2 Kathryn E. Anderssen^{1*}, Evan R. McCarney²

3 ¹Department of Seafood Industry, Nofima AS, P.O. Box 6122, 9291 Tromsø, Norway

4 ²Korimako Chemical Ltd., Wellington, New Zealand

5

6 **ABSTRACT:**

7 Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and in particular transverse relaxation (T_2), has been
8 used to characterize meat and seafood products for decades. Despite many years of
9 research, it is still not possible to reproducibly correlate the transverse relaxation of muscle
10 foods to attributes that determine their quality and value. Instead of directly trying to
11 interpret the T_2 spectrum itself, typically chemometrics is used to try to relate the relaxation
12 distributions to other measured properties on the sample. As muscle tissue is a porous
13 medium, it is tempting to use equations developed to analyze other porous systems to
14 provide a more direct, quantitative description of the tissue. However, the standard
15 equations used to characterize porous materials have been developed for predominantly
16 geological systems. This article discusses the foundations of transverse relaxation theory in
17 porous media and the challenges that arise when attempting to adapt the equations to a
18 biological system like tissue.

19 One of the biggest issues that needs to be overcome before porous media theory can be
20 reliably applied to characterize meat and seafood is to determine the source of relaxivity in
21 the tissue. In order to better understand how the NMR signal originates, T_2 , diffusion, T_1 - T_2
22 correlation and T_2 - T_2 exchange experiments were performed on Atlantic cod (*Gadus*
23 *morhua*) tissue in a variety of states (e.g. fresh, thawed, homogenized, etc.). In the literature,
24 typically four T_2 peaks are reported for meat and seafood samples. Results of this study

25 indicate that the fastest relaxation peak is attributable to hydrogen within the protein itself
26 and therefore arises from dipolar coupling. The T_{2B} peak appears to belong to a type of
27 bound water in protein called “buried water”, and its relaxation stems from a combination
28 of restricted motion and interaction with the hydrogen in the protein. For the T_{21} peak,
29 attributed to fluid in myofibrils, the main relaxation mechanism is the interaction between
30 water molecules and the hydrogen in myosin/actin matrix. The T_{22} peak arises
31 predominantly from the interaction of water with dissolved protein in the sarcoplasm. An
32 important finding from the study is the need to include both surface sinks and volume sinks
33 in the interpretation of T_2 relaxation results. Given these sources of the transverse relaxation
34 in tissue, it is highly likely that changes to the T_2 distribution that have been attributed to
35 microstructural changes in the tissue are in reality due to a combination of changes in
36 microstructure, surface relaxation and fluid properties. These findings aid in better
37 interpreting T_2 measurements in meat and seafood products and present a step towards a
38 systematic approach for using transverse relaxation to quantitatively describe changes in
39 tissue, with the ultimate aim of eventually predicting product quality and value from NMR
40 relaxometry.

41 **1. INTRODUCTION**

42 **1.1. NMR of Seafood and Meat**

43 Nuclear magnetic resonance has been used for decades to characterize tissue food
44 products such as meat and fish. The method has the advantages of being non-invasive,
45 functions on opaque samples and can be performed on relatively large samples. While some
46 work has been performed using magnetic resonance imaging, the majority of the research
47 has been done using transverse (T_2) relaxation. This stems from the fact the Carr-Purcell-
48 Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) (Carr and Purcell, 1954; Meiboom and Gill, 1958) method typically

49 used to measure T_2 relaxation is quick, stable, and can be performed reliably with relatively
50 inexpensive low-field NMR systems like benchtop or one-sided magnets. This makes the
51 method well suited for industrial applications, where equipment cost and throughput are of
52 paramount importance.

53 T_2 relaxation has been used by numerous researchers to observe changes in tissue with
54 various types of processing: freezing (Jepsen et al., 1999; Jensen et al., 2002; Sanchez-Alonso
55 et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2018), salting (Wu et al., 2006; Aursand et al., 2008; Gudjonsdottir et
56 al., 2011; McDonnell et al., 2013, Gudjonsdottir et al., 2015), smoking (Hullberg and Bertram,
57 2005; Løje et al., 2007), etc. When peak shifts are seen in the T_2 distributions, this is typically
58 attributed to structural changes, such as swelling of tissue or cellular damage. The analysis of
59 the T_2 distributions usually involves qualitative descriptions of shifts in peak areas, locations,
60 and shapes. In order to gain useful information from the NMR measurements, researchers
61 have relied on using chemometric methods (Bechmann et al., 1999; Jepsen et al., 1999;
62 Jensen et al., 2002; Bertram et al., 2003; Gudjonsdottir et al., 2011; McDonnell et al., 2013;
63 Gudjonsdottir et al., 2019) like principal component analysis (PCA), multiple linear regression
64 (MLR) or partial least squares (PLS) to relate the T_2 results to other laboratory
65 measurements.

66 However, using chemometrics to correlate T_2 relaxation to sample quality attributes
67 suffers from reproducibility problems (Zhu et al., 2017). For example, using the various
68 multivariate analysis methods, researchers are frequently able to obtain good correlations
69 between T_2 results and water holding capacity (WHC), an attribute related to product yield
70 and sensory properties of the sample. Unfortunately, the models appear to develop local
71 calibrations that are not globally applicable. Although good results are obtained for a

72 particular set of samples, the developed models fail to work well on a new set of samples,
73 limiting the usefulness of chemometric-derived T_2 relationships in an industrial setting.

74 While chemometrics is often criticized as being a black box, direct interpretation of the
75 T_2 relaxation itself in tissue is not straightforward either. Meat and seafood are porous
76 materials, where fluid interacts with a solid matrix. The interaction of the fluid with the
77 porous structure serves to enhance the transverse relaxation rate, such that the T_2
78 distribution is frequently treated as reflecting the underlying porous structure (Song, 2013).
79 However, much of the developed porous media analysis theory was developed for geological
80 samples. Relaxation mechanisms in stone are generally well understood. For sandstones and
81 carbonate rocks, relaxation occurs due to interaction of fluid molecules with paramagnetic
82 impurities. The geological systems are assumed to be in the fast diffusion limit, where fluid
83 molecules rapidly explore the pore space but exchange between domains is slow. Even on
84 first glance, it is clear that many of the underlying principles relevant to rocks may not hold
85 for tissue samples. Furthermore, several problems and ambiguities arise with the current
86 interpretation of transverse relaxation in meat and seafood when the systems are
87 interpreted as porous media. We seek in this paper to better understand the relaxation
88 mechanisms in tissue food products like seafood and meat and the physical meaning of the
89 peaks in the T_2 distribution.

90 **1.2. Tissue Structure**

91 Meat and seafood are composed of the skeletal muscle (Hill and Olson, 2012) of
92 mammals, poultry, and fish, which is a multiscale porous medium. At the smallest scale, the
93 tissue is made up of repeating elements called sarcomeres. These are composed of thick
94 filaments, the protein myosin, and thin filaments, the protein actin. These filaments are
95 arranged in a lattice pattern with liquid filling the space between them. This liquid,

96 sarcoplasm, is predominantly water (80-85%), dissolved protein (10-15%) and small amounts
97 of various salts and biomolecules. At the next scale, myofibrils are made up of the
98 sarcomeres. Each muscle cell, or fiber, is made up of numerous myofibrils. This package of
99 myofibrils is surrounded by the sarcolemma, which is the plasma membrane of the cell, a
100 lipid bilayer, and a thin layer of polysaccharide, glycocalyx. A bundle of muscle fibers is called
101 a fascicle, which is surrounded by the perimysium, a connective tissue made up of several
102 types of collagen and elastic fibers. Within the fascicle, the muscle fibers are surrounded by
103 endomysium, a thin layer of connective tissue. The fascicle itself is surrounded by a
104 connective tissue called the perimysium. Finally, at the largest scale, the whole muscle itself
105 is composed of multiple fascicles and is surrounded by the epimysium, another type of
106 connective tissue. Blood vessels run between the fascicles to supply oxygen and nutrients to
107 the cells.

108 **1.3. Porous Media Theory Background**

109 When a transverse relaxation measurement is made, the signal is a summation of all the
110 exponential decays resulting from different T_2 relaxation times present:

$$111 \quad M(t) = \sum_i A_i e^{-t/T_{2i}} \quad [1]$$

112 where M is the measured signal at time t , and A_i is the amplitude of the i^{th} T_2 time. The
113 ubiquitous equation relating transverse relaxation time to porous media structure is:

$$114 \quad \frac{1}{T_2} = \rho_2 \frac{S}{V} \quad [2]$$

115 Where S is the surface area, V is the fluid volume, and ρ_2 is the surface relaxivity, or how
116 efficient the surface is in enhancing relaxation of the saturating fluid. Then assuming a
117 constant ρ_2 and a homogenous fluid saturation, the distribution of pore sizes in the system
118 can be obtained from the distribution of T_2 times present.

119 Underneath these tidy equations lie numerous simplifications. Equation 2 originates
120 from the work of Korrington, Seevers and Torrey (KST) (Korrington et al., 1962), who first
121 investigated relaxation of fluid at surfaces in a porous medium. Three relaxation processes
122 were identified. One, T_{1B} , was the longitudinal relaxation rate of the saturating fluid itself.
123 The other two were surface processes: T_{1S} , which is the surface relaxation that occurs at all
124 sites of the surface, and T_{1M} , which is the surface relaxation that occurs due to interaction
125 with small numbers of paramagnetic impurities present on the pore surface. The equations
126 laid out by KST provided a very general equation framework for longitudinal relaxation in a
127 porous media. Based on experimental observations on water in rocks, the equations could
128 be simplified to disregard the contributions of T_{1B} and T_{1S} , producing the equation for
129 longitudinal relaxation:

$$130 \quad \frac{1}{T_1} = \left(\frac{S \times h}{V} \right) \frac{n_M}{T_{1M} + \tau_M} \quad [3]$$

131 Where h is the thickness of the surface layer of fluid, n_M is the number of relaxation sites,
132 T_{1M} is the longitudinal relaxation rate at the relaxation site and τ_M is the residence time of
133 the fluid molecule at the relaxation site.

134 Brownstein and Tarr later built upon this theory to include transverse relaxation
135 (Brownstein and Tarr, 1979). However, they only looked at the effect of surface relaxation,
136 stating its source was beyond the scope of the paper. Brownstein and Tarr combined the
137 effects of number of relaxation sites, correlation time and relaxation rate into a single
138 constant M (now commonly μ) to produce the general equation for both T_1 and T_2 :

$$139 \quad \frac{1}{T_i} = M_i \frac{S}{V} \quad [4]$$

140 and solved for three simple geometries: the sphere, the cylinder and the plane. From this,
141 they were able to accurately estimate the diameter of a rat muscle cell. Importantly,

142 Brownstein and Tarr also coined the terminology of the “fast diffusion regime” and the “slow
143 diffusion regime”, based on the work of Zimmerman and Brittin (Zimmerman and Brittin,
144 1957). In the fast diffusion regime, relaxation is slow compared to diffusion throughout the
145 pore space, such that a single pore produces a single relaxation time. In the slow diffusion
146 regime, relaxation is fast compared to diffusion throughout the pore space, such that
147 multiple relaxation times may arise in a single pore.

148 Kleinberg and Horsfield continued to investigate the source surface relaxivity of
149 transverse relaxation in geological materials (Kleinberg and Horsfield, 1990). One of the
150 additional challenges with transverse relaxation is that diffusion of spin-bearing molecules
151 through magnetic gradients will lead to additional dephasing of the transverse relaxation,
152 enhancing the relaxation rate. Kleinberg and Horsfield found for short echo spacings and low
153 magnetic field strengths, the influence of internal gradients could be neglected. In Kleinberg,
154 Kenyon and Mitra, they returned to the KST theory (Kleinberg et al., 1994). As relaxation rate
155 in rocks was found to be independent of temperature, this indicated the source of relaxivity
156 was paramagnetic. As the exchange rate of fluid at the surface is fast compared to the
157 surface relaxation, the residence time could be neglected, and the equation simplified to:

$$158 \quad \frac{1}{T_2} = \left(\frac{S \times h}{V} \right) \left(\frac{n_M}{T_P} \right) \quad [5]$$

159 Where T_p is the relaxation rate due to dilute paramagnetic impurities on the pore surfaces.

160 To investigate relaxometry in seafood and meat, we begin with no assumptions about
161 the sources of relaxivity or the terms that can be discarded and start by combining
162 Brownstein and Tarr with KST theory. While Brownstein and Tarr did not consider them in
163 analysis of their rat cells, they formulated the theory of volume sinks in their paper. As the
164 sarcoplasm contains dissolved proteins that enhance the relaxation rate of water, we

165 anticipate this to be a relevant term, such that we include it in our investigation. This gives
166 the equation

$$167 \quad \frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{\rho_2 S + \Gamma_2 v}{V} \quad [6]$$

168 Where Γ_2 is average value of the volume strength density over the active volume, v . Adding
169 in KST to expand the surface relaxivity term, the full equation for consideration is:

$$170 \quad \frac{1}{T_2} = \sum_i \left(\frac{S \times h}{V} \right) \frac{n_m}{T_{2m} + \tau_m} + \frac{\Gamma_2 v}{V} \quad [7]$$

171 where T_{2m} is the longitudinal relaxation rate at the relaxation site. As multiple relaxation
172 mechanisms may be present in a system, the effect on T_2 is summed over each of the i
173 relaxation mechanisms relevant for the sample. Therefore, in order to accurately interpret T_2
174 measurements in seafood and meat, information regarding the source of relaxivity in the
175 tissue is needed in order to determine values for the terms n_m , T_{2m} , τ_m for each surface
176 relaxation mechanism and Γ_2 for the volume sinks.

177 **1.4. Relaxation Mechanisms of Tissue Background**

178 Although research into relaxivity mechanisms of meat and seafood in food science has
179 been limited (Bertram et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007; Gudjonsdottir et al., 2015), researchers
180 in other areas have done extensive research into sources of relaxation in tissue. As early as
181 the 1960's, researchers started to look at the effect of proteins on the relaxation rate of
182 water. Brey and colleagues (Brey et al., 1968) studied water in lysozyme and bovine serum
183 albumin solutions and speculated that the relaxation behaviour may stem from the
184 movement of water molecules relaxing at the surface of the protein to the bulk fluid.
185 Through the years, many other researchers have performed studies that support the theory
186 that the interaction between the water and proteins is the source of relaxation in muscle
187 tissue (Koenig et al., 1975; Fung and Puon, 1981). However, the exact interaction mechanism

188 for relaxation has been hotly debated. Three possible mechanisms exist. One is an increased
189 relaxation rate due to restricted molecular tumbling of the water molecules due to their
190 interaction with surfaces. Another is through dipolar coupling between the adsorbed water
191 molecule and protons in the protein matrix. The last is through chemical exchange of
192 hydrogen between the protein and water molecules. These mechanisms would serve to
193 increase the relaxation rate of the hydrogen in water molecules at the protein surface, and
194 then mixing of the water molecules with the bulk fluid would lead to an overall decrease in
195 the relaxation rate of the saturating fluid. Reviewing the literature, one finds often
196 contradictory studies on which mechanism seems to be responsible. Fung and Puon found
197 that pH had a significant effect on transverse relaxation time (Fung and Puon, 1981). As the
198 hydrogen exchange rate between protein and water molecules is pH dependent, they
199 concluded that chemical exchange between the two constituents was responsible for the
200 enhanced relaxation time of the fluid. On the other hand, Koenig, Hallenga and Shporer
201 found that the spin-lattice dispersion behaviour of ^1H , ^2H and ^{17}O in protein solutions to be
202 virtually identical (Koenig et al., 1975), suggesting that relaxation was due to the interaction
203 of the entire water molecule, not just exchanging of protons. In reality, one would expect a
204 combination of both effects to contribute to the relaxation and the relative strengths of the
205 two to depend on the structure and chemistry of the protein system under investigation.

206 Another possible source of relaxation in tissue is interaction of the fluid molecules with
207 paramagnetic molecules. Reviewing the literature, no studies were found where metallic
208 paramagnetic ions were indicated as a possible source of relaxation in muscle tissue. For
209 foods classed as "white meat", it is not expected that paramagnetic ions would have a large
210 influence on the relaxation rate. However, it is possible that for a poorly bled animal after
211 slaughter, significant remaining blood in the tissue could affect relaxation rates, particularly

212 as the hemoglobin transitions from oxyhemoglobin, a diamagnetic molecule, to
213 deoxyhemoglobin, a paramagnetic molecule. For “red meats”, although they are rich in the
214 iron-bearing protein myoglobin, it is a diamagnetic molecule. Another scenario of where
215 paramagnetic relaxation would be a potential relaxation mechanism is dissolved O^{17} , which
216 would act as a volume sink for the water molecules in the tissue (Swift and Connick, 1962,
217 Fung and McGaughy, 1979). After slaughter, the oxygen level in the tissue begins to fall, as it
218 is no longer being replenished by the blood. It is the falling level of oxygen in the tissue that
219 sets about the rigor mortis process in the muscle and studies of pre- and post-rigor fish have
220 shown a shift to longer T_2 relaxation times (Aursand et al., 2009). However, it is not possible
221 from these studies to isolate the effect of the change in tissue oxygenation from other
222 structural or chemical changes that occur during rigor. Reviewing the literature did not
223 produce any results on the influence of oxygen levels on relaxation time in food science, but
224 we anticipate that the influence of tissue oxygenation on transverse relaxation to be
225 relatively small.

226 **1.5. Correlation time of Water in Tissue**

227 In addition to relaxation mechanisms, significant research into the correlation times of
228 water with macromolecules, both in model systems of protein and in muscle tissue itself has
229 also been performed. Knipsel, Thompson and Pintar used the spin-lattice dispersion
230 measurements in mouse tissue (Knipsel et al., 1974) to find that the correlation time for
231 rotation of water molecules and exchange of protons to be approximately 20 nanoseconds
232 and 10 microseconds respectively. Packer performed similar measurements using systems of
233 hydrated protein powders (Packer, 1977) and found that the protein caused anisotropic
234 tumbling of nearby water molecules with a correlation time on the order of a nanosecond,
235 with residence time on the order of a microsecond. He also found the influence of the

236 protein on water only seemed to extend one or two water molecules away, such that the
237 majority of fluid in the tissue would experience bulk behaviour. Research by Koenig and
238 Brown had a similar conclusion, that only water in direct contact with solids are affected by
239 their presence (Koenig and Brown, 1985). As they describe it, “solvent molecules only learn
240 about the presence of stationary surfaces when they bump into them”. Similarly, a study by
241 Cleveland and colleagues (Cleveland et al., 1976) found that obstruction by the myosin/actin
242 network could only account for approximately 15% of the decrease of the measured
243 diffusion coefficient of water in rat skeletal muscle compared to bulk water, such that the
244 majority of the restriction must arise from another mechanism.

245 **1.6. Transverse Relaxation Mechanisms and Food Science**

246 The turn of the century ushered in a new era for NMR research in food science. Two
247 important developments occurred during the 90’s. One was the widespread availability of
248 benchtop NMR spectrometers (Blumich, 2019). Previously, NMR systems had been either
249 limited to homebuilt systems or large, expensive superconducting magnets which required
250 significant capital, space and upkeep. With the development of permanent magnet-based
251 equipment, NMR was now cheaper, easier to maintain, and possible to fit in a regular lab,
252 making it accessible to a wider range of researchers. Similarly, industry began to seriously
253 consider NMR for quality control once benchtop systems became commonly available, as
254 high-field systems were not seen as viable option due to their cost and upkeep
255 requirements. The second was improvements in computing power. Before, analysis of
256 transverse relaxation was limited to fitting a limited number of decaying exponentials to the
257 relaxation decay curves. As computers became more powerful, numerical inverse Laplace
258 transforms could be routinely performed on the data (Kroeker and Henkelman, 1986;
259 Menon and Allen, 1991). This enabled many decaying exponentials to be fitted to the data,

260 creating smooth T_2 curves. Now, not only could the average time of a T_2 peak be
261 determined, but information about the width and shape of the peak could be obtained as
262 well.

263 These advancements enabled food scientists to use NMR to study an unprecedented
264 number of systems, studying how different processing and handling effected the T_2
265 distributions of seafood and meat. For T_2 relaxation of fresh meat and fish, the transverse
266 relaxation spectrum of fish and meat typically consists of three characteristic peaks (T_{2B} , T_{21} ,
267 T_{22}). A fourth peak at very fast relaxation times is seen if short enough echo spacings are
268 used. There has been some dispute as to the source of the different relaxation populations,
269 but in recent years, a general consensus has arisen in food science as to the constituents
270 believed to be associated with each peak (Bertram et al., 2001). The current interpretation
271 of the different peaks is as follows: the first peak (T_{2b}) is generally on the order of a few
272 milliseconds and is ascribed to bound water. The second peak (T_{21}) is in the range of 30-
273 70ms and is ascribed to intra-myofibrillar water. The third peak (T_{22}) is on the order of a 100-
274 300 ms and is ascribed to extra-myofibrillar water. As previously mentioned, if a short
275 enough echo spacing is used for the CPMG, an additional fourth peak is seen on the order of
276 a few hundred microseconds. This has been attributed to the protons in the protein
277 molecules itself (Venturi et al., 2007).

278 Although much effort has been put into understanding the origin of the different peaks
279 in the T_2 spectrum of water in meat and seafood, the relaxation mechanisms have not been
280 comprehensively investigated in depth. Typically, the observed relaxivity associated with
281 each peak is simply ascribed to the mobility of the water, the T_{2B} peak being described as
282 water that is most restricted and the T_{22} peak the least restricted. Given the limited
283 influence of tissue on the mobility of water found by previous researchers, this description

284 seems inadequate to explain the T_2 results found by food science researchers in meat and
285 seafood. In this study, we seek to better understand the different relaxation mechanisms
286 present in tissue-based foods. We achieve this by applying a range of different NMR
287 measurements (T_2 , Diffusion, T_1 - T_2 , T_2 - T_2 exchange) to cod that has been treated in a variety
288 of ways. From these results, we are able to infer the underlying mechanisms of relaxivity.

289 **2. EXPERIMENTAL**

290 **2.1. NMR System**

291 NMR measurements were performed on a SpinSolve Benchtop Spectrometer (Magritek,
292 Aachen, Germany). The system operates at 43 MHz and is equipped with 160 mT/m diffusion
293 gradients. Samples are placed in 5mm tubes for measurement. The system operates at
294 approximately 25°C, though temperature control of the sample is not maintained.

295 **2.2. NMR Measurements**

296 **2.2.1. Transverse Relaxation Measurement**

297 T_2 measurements were performed using the standard CPMG pulse sequence. Pulse
298 length was 30 μ s and, unless noted otherwise, echo spacing was 80 μ s. A total of 20000
299 echoes were used with a relaxation delay of 10 seconds after each measurement.
300 Measurement time was approximately 45 seconds.

301 **2.2.2. Diffusion measurements**

302 Diffusion measurements were performed using a standard pulsed field gradients spin
303 echo (PGSE) measurement (Stejskal and Tanner, 1965). Small delta was 3 ms and large delta
304 was 25ms. Gradients strength was 160 mT/m and were ramped in 10 steps with a 0.1ms
305 stabilization delay.

306 **2.2.3. T_1 - T_2 correlation experiments**

307 The T_1 - T_2 correlation experiment (Song et al., 2002) can be used to identify liquid-like
308 and solid-like components in a sample. This ability comes from Bloembergen-Pound-Purcell
309 (BPP) theory (Bloembergen et al., 1948). Liquids tend to have similar T_1 and T_2 values,
310 whereas solids tend to have long T_1 and short T_2 . T_1 - T_2 correlations were run using a
311 combined Inversion Recovery-CPMG sequence. T_1 wait values ranged from 0.1 ms to 3
312 seconds in 32 steps. CPMG parameters were the same as for the one-dimensional T_2
313 measurement.

314 **2.2.4. T_2 - T_2 exchange experiments**

315 The T_2 - T_2 exchange measurement, or REXSY, is used to observe exchange in a system
316 (Washburn and Callaghan, 2006; Monteilhet et al., 2006). The measurement begins with an
317 initial encode for T_2 , followed by a mixing period where the magnetization is stored along
318 the z-axis. During the mixing period, the system does not experience T_2 relaxation, only T_1 .
319 At the end of the mixing period, the magnetization is returned to the transverse plane and a
320 second T_2 encode is performed. The data is then inverted using a 2D inverse Laplace
321 transform. Signal that appears along the diagonal is from spins that have remained in their
322 original T_2 environment between the first and second T_2 encodes. Signal that occurs on the
323 off-diagonal arises from spins that have changed T_2 environment during the mixing period.
324 Off-diagonal peaks can indicate both molecular exchange and exchange of magnetization
325 through spin diffusion. The T_2 - T_2 exchange experiment was performed using the pulse
326 sequence presented in Washburn and Callaghan. A total of 50 initial encode values were
327 used. A long relaxation delay of 10s was used in between each measurement in order to
328 minimize heating of the sample. Other parameters were the same as the 1D CPMG.

329 While the T_2 - T_2 exchange experiment is a powerful tool for observing exchange of
330 magnetization in a system, caution must be taken in interpretation of the results. Multisite

331 exchange can lead to asymmetries and peak shifts in the plot (Van Landeghen et al., 2010;
332 Gao and Blumich, 2020). For long mixing times, T_1 weighting of the signal can cause the
333 signal along the axis of the first encode to be shifted to longer T_2 relaxation times.
334 Furthermore, as exchange peaks evolve, the off-diagonal peak frequently “buds” off the
335 diagonal peak. This can lead to a diagonal peak shifting away from the diagonal before the
336 off-diagonal signal is distinct enough to be resolved by the inversion at a longer mixing time.

337 **2.3. Data Analysis**

338 Analysis of the NMR data was performed using the accompanying system software,
339 Prospa. Both one and two-dimensional inverse Laplace transforms were performed using a
340 Non-Negative Least Squares algorithm (Lawson and Hanson, 1987). Regularisation of the
341 inversions was determined by the l-curve method (Hansen, 2000), where the regularization
342 term is selected to minimize the sum of the residuals and no further.

343 **2.4. Samples**

344 Atlantic cod fish (*Gadus morhua*) were received from the Tromsø Aquaculture Research
345 Station, Norway. The fish were killed by a blow to the head and immediately gutted. They
346 were bled for 30 mins, iced and transported to Nofima, where they were kept on ice for 4
347 days to ensure that the fish were out of rigor prior to filleting.

348 **2.4.1. Fresh state samples**

349 For fresh state measurements, small subsamples were taken from fillets once they were
350 out of rigor and placed inside 5mm tubes for measurement. For chilled measurements,
351 samples were placed in a 4-degree cold room and allowed to equilibrate overnight, then
352 immediately placed in the NMR machine for measurement. Otherwise, samples were
353 allowed to reach ambient temperature before measurement.

354 **2.4.2. Frozen samples**

355 In order to induce tissue damage in the samples, several subsections of tissue were
356 taken, placed in 5mm tubes and placed into a -5 °C freezer. Freezing at this warm of
357 temperature has been shown to cause severe tissue damage due to the formation of large
358 ice crystals that tear the tissue (Powrie, 1984). In order to observe sample changes upon
359 thawing, samples were placed immediately into the NMR equipment for T₂ measurement.
360 All other measurements on the samples were performed after they had warmed up to
361 ambient temperature. Measurements were performed in triplicate.

362 **2.4.3. Homogenized samples**

363 Homogenization of samples serves to disrupt the muscle cell structure. The process tears
364 apart the cell membranes and disrupts the myofibrillar network. Samples of fresh state fillet
365 were macerated with a chilled mortar and pestle and immediately transferred to 5mm test
366 tubes for T₂ measurement to observe the evolution of the T₂. All other measurements in the
367 homogenized state were performed after the samples had come to equilibrium overnight.
368 Measurements were performed in triplicate.

369 **2.4.4. Freeze-dried samples**

370 Thin slices of fillet were placed in a -80 °C freezer. Once frozen, the samples were freeze-
371 dried using Freezone 12Plus freeze dryer (LabConco, Kansas City, USA) at 0.04 mBar.
372 Samples remained in the freeze drier for 60 hours and were then removed and stored in a
373 tightly sealed container until use.

374 **2.4.5. Drip loss**

375 Four different drip loss samples were taken. One was sampled from the container
376 holding the fresh fillets. A second sampling was taken from the container after 14 days of
377 storage. In addition, drip loss was taken from samples that had been stored at -20 °C and -40
378 °C and then thawed.

379 3. RESULTS

380 3.1. T₂ relaxation of fresh state tissue

381 Figure 1 shows an example T₂ relaxation distribution for a sample of the fresh state cod.

382 We see the standard four distinct peaks typically seen in the T₂ distribution of tissue. The

383 terms T_{2B}, T₂₁ and T₂₂ are commonly used in literature. The fastest-relaxing peak does not

384 have a standard nomenclature. For ease of discussion, we refer to it as T_{2S}, as it is assumed

385 to arise from hydrogen in the solid protein. A simple experiment to test for internal

386 gradients or exchange is repeating the CPMG measurement with different echo spacings.

387 Hills and colleagues (Hill et al., 1989; Hill et al., 1990; Hills et al., 1991) recorded dispersion in

388 the T₂ relaxation with changing echo spacing in a variety of different foods, indicating

389 exchange on the time scale of the CPMG measurement. Changing the echo spacing

390 produced no appreciable difference in the T₂₁ and T₂₂ peaks.

391 For the T_{2S} and T_{2B} peaks, notable differences were observed. The two peaks began to merge

392 towards one another with increased echo spacing. T_{2B} also decreased in intensity, suggesting

393 it may also be exchanging with the other two peaks, but its influence is too weak on the

394 stronger peaks to be resolved by the inverse Laplace transform. The T_{2S} and T_{2B} peaks finally

395 merging into a single peak when the echo spacing was increased to 400 us, indicating

396 significant exchange between the two peaks on the time scale of the CPMG measurement.

397 While the shift in peaks with echo spacing is similar to the effect seen when spin-bearing

398 molecules diffuse through internal gradients, given the two constituents are believed to be

399 associated with immobilized hydrogen, we do not expect this is the situation here.

400 3.2. T₂ relaxation of homogenized tissue

401 The relaxation distribution of homogenized tissue changed as a function of time, shown in

402 Figure 3. These changes are summarized in Figure 4.

403

404 Upon initial measurement, the T_{22} peak had a very low intensity, approximately 1% of
405 the total signal. As the sample was allowed to sit, signal intensity shifted from the T_{21} peak
406 into the T_{22} . At the same time, the maximum amplitude of the T_{22} peak shifted toward the
407 T_{21} peak while the center of T_{21} peak slowly shifted to shorter relaxation times. Over time, as
408 much as 15% of the signal intensity shifted from the T_{21} to T_{22} peak. Though the behaviour
409 was consistent between samples, the exact amount varied appreciably between samples.
410 This suggests that upon damage to the cell membranes and myofibrils, liquid leaks from the
411 myofibrils into the surrounding tissue.

412 **3.3. T2 relaxation of thawed tissue**

413 As with the homogenized tissue, the T_2 distribution of the thawed samples changed with
414 time, shown in Figure 5. Initial measurement directly from the freezer showed a distribution
415 that looked similar to the fresh state. Curiously, in contrast to the simply chilled sample,
416 shifts were seen to shorter relaxation times for the T_{25} peak as well. As the sample warmed
417 up, the location of T_{25} returned to its location in the fresh state samples and the T_{21} peak
418 broadened and intensity transferred over to the T_{22} peak. This initially produced two
419 separate peaks. As the sample was allowed to equilibrate, the two peaks appeared to merge
420 together, producing a single peak with a shoulder. We believe that upon thawing, because of
421 damage to the cell membrane, internal cell pressure causes sarcoplasm to flood from the
422 myofibrils into the surrounding tissue. Given time, the system comes to equilibrium.

423 **3.4. T2 relaxation of chilled tissue**

424 Cooling the fresh state sample did not produce a shift in the T_{25} peak (Figure 6). This
425 suggests that for this peak, residence time τ_m is not a factor in relaxivity. For T_{2B} , a slight shift
426 to shorter relaxation times is seen. This is in line with increased relaxation due to slower

427 molecular rotation. In contrast, there was a slight shift to a longer relaxation time at low
428 temperature in the T_{21} and T_{22} peaks, indicating that residence time is a factor. Cooling
429 down the sample slows the exchange between bulk water and bound water, such that there
430 is less interaction with the surface, leading to longer relaxation times. Note, because
431 temperature affects the NMR signal intensity, it is not possible to make definitive statements
432 regarding the changes in signal intensity between measurements.

433 T_2 results for chilled thawed and homogenized tissue are shown in Figures 7 and 8
434 respectively. Both treatments produced different results compared with the fresh state. As
435 with the fresh state, the T_{2S} , T_{2B} and T_{21} peaks remained relatively unchanged. However, the
436 T_{22} peak shifted to much shorter relaxation times, in some cases practically merging with the
437 T_{21} peak.

438 **3.5. T_2 relaxation of freeze-dried tissue**

439 The T_2 relaxation of the freeze-dried tissue shows signal predominantly in the T_{2S} peak,
440 though weak peaks are seen at longer relaxation times (Figure 9). This is expected, as the
441 freeze-drying process will not completely remove liquid water from the sample. We believe
442 that for the freeze-dried sample, the T_{2B} signal overlaps with T_{2S} signal. The freeze-drying
443 process does not remove all the bound water in the protein (Takano et al., 2005), but the
444 motion of the water will be hampered by the drying process. The restriction of motion will
445 serve to speed up the relaxation rate of the remaining water molecules.

446 **3.6. T_2 relaxation of drip loss**

447 Figure 10 shows the T_2 distribution for different drip loss samples. We note a range of
448 different relaxation times, depending on the sample. Visually, the drip loss from the sample
449 stored 14 days and the $-40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ sample were more opaque, indicating either a higher protein
450 concentration or larger proteins and both samples showed shorter T_2 relaxation times. This

451 is in line with established theory (Koenig et al., 1978), where cross relaxation between the
452 water and dissolved protein leads to a single, averaged relaxation rate dependent on protein
453 size and concentration (Hallenga and Koenig, 1976). For all the drip loss samples, the values
454 obtained are in the time range typically observed for the T_{22} peak in tissue. Measurement of
455 drip loss in the chilled state (Figure 11) produced a shift from in the peak towards a shorter
456 relaxation time. We believe the shift arises from the slower rotational tumbling of the
457 protein molecules, such that when water molecules interact with the protein, this leads to a
458 faster relaxation rate.

459 **3.7. T_1 - T_2 Correlation**

460 Figure 12 shows the T_1 - T_2 results. The T_1 - T_2 correlation plot of the fresh state samples
461 showed very little variation in the T_1 values, all clustered between 300 and 500 ms. The T_{2S}
462 and T_{2B} had very high T_1/T_2 ratios, 5623 and 416 respectively, indicating restricted rotation
463 and suggesting that they stem from hydrogen in solids. In contrast, T_{21} and T_{22} had T_1/T_2
464 ratios of 8 and 2.5, indicating relatively free rotation of the molecules and suggesting liquid
465 components. For the freeze-dried sample, similar ratios are observed, indicating the long T_1
466 times for T_{2S} and T_{2B} are not simply the product of efficient exchange with the liquid
467 components during the long T_1 wait time. The majority of signal appears to come from solid
468 constituents. A weak peak with a low T_1/T_2 ratio (~ 4) at a T_2 of 100 μ s indicates there is still a
469 small amount surface water present in the system.

470 **3.8. T_2 - T_2 exchange of fresh state tissue**

471 For fresh state samples, at the shortest mixing time, exchange was already seen between
472 the T_{2S} and the T_{21} peaks. There is also an exchange peak between T_{2S} and T_{2B} that is skewed
473 towards the location of the diagonal T_{2S} peak, suggesting there is some residual influence of
474 the T_{2S} diagonal peak that cannot be resolved by the inverse Laplace transform. No exchange

475 peaks with T_{2S} are seen in the first encode axis, only the second encode axis. This is due to
476 the T_1 and T_2 relaxation that occurs during the encode process, such that this very short
477 component is lost by the time the signal is acquired. Exchange between the T_{2B} and the T_{21}
478 peaks starts to arise on the order of 1 ms. This is first seen as a shift in the diagonal T_{2B} peak,
479 followed by the emergence of a separate exchange peak between T_{2B} and T_{21} at around 5
480 ms. No exchange was seen between the T_{21} and T_{22} peaks at short exchange times. At longer
481 mixing times, from approximately 50 ms and onward, the beginnings of exchange is seen
482 between the two peaks. This is in line with results of Sobel and colleagues (Sobel et al., 1986)
483 who estimated a 29 s^{-1} exchange rate between intra and extracellular fluid. Interestingly,
484 even at long mixing times, no exchange is seen between the T_{2S} and T_{22} peaks. This suggests
485 that interaction with the myosin/actin protein matrix is not the source of relaxation for that
486 T_2 component.

487 **3.9. T_2 - T_2 exchange of homogenized tissue**

488 Subtle changes in the exchange plots occur in the homogenized samples. At the
489 shortest mixing times, the exchange peaks with the T_{2S} peak have shifted from clearly
490 associated to T_{21} to halfway between T_{21} and T_{22} . This typically arises when both cross-peaks
491 are present, but the two populations do not have enough intensity to be resolved separately
492 by the inverse Laplace transform. Interestingly, exchange appears to be more rapid between
493 the T_{2B} and T_{21} peaks in the homogenized samples even though the peak location of T_{2B} is
494 not altered from the intact samples. Weak exchange is already evident between T_{2B} and T_{21}
495 at 100 μs . At 500 μs , two distinct exchange peaks with T_{2S} form for both T_{21} and T_{22} . This
496 indicates that the T_{22} component is now interacting with hydrogen in solid proteins when
497 the cell membrane has been damaged. However, still no exchange at short mixing times is
498 seen between the T_{21} and T_{22} peaks, but cross peaks begin to form at shorter mixing times

499 (5-10 ms) than in the fresh state samples. Although it might seem surprising that there is not
500 more rapid exchange between the two environments when the cell membrane is disrupted,
501 the myofibrillar matrix is still tortuous, such that it takes some time for a significant amount
502 of water molecules to diffuse between it and the surrounding fluid. Another very curious
503 result is the appearance of the exchange peak between T_{2S} and T_{22} before there appears to
504 be significant exchange between the intra and extramyofibrillar water. Several possible
505 explanations exist. This simply could be an artefact of the inversion, where the weaker
506 exchange peaks between T_{21} and T_{22} are not able to be resolved from the nearby, much
507 stronger diagonal peaks. It may also be that the water in the extramyofibrillar spaces is able
508 to interact more with other sources of hydrogen in solids than myosin and actin lattice when
509 the structure is damaged.

510 **3.10. Diffusion measurements in tissue**

511 Diffusion measurements were made on the fresh state, the thawed state, and
512 homogenized tissue samples. Due to equipment limits, only the diffusion of T_{21} and T_{22} were
513 possible to observe. Single exponential decay was observed for all samples and little
514 variation was observed in the measured diffusion coefficients.

515 Frequently, the changes in the T_2 distribution of seafood and meat with processing are
516 referred to as changes in water mobility. However, this is not supported by the diffusion
517 results, which do not show a significant change in values between the fresh, frozen-thawed
518 or homogenized states. For the thawed samples, where the T_2 distributions sometimes
519 showed significant differences from each other, the diffusion coefficient remained close to
520 $1.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. In particular, even when the T_2 spectrum showed strongly bimodal
521 behaviour (e.g. thawed tissue), the diffusion attenuation curve only showed a single
522 diffusion value. As the self-diffusion coefficient of pure water at 25°C is $2.299 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, only a

523 minor restriction of movement in the water molecules is observed. Therefore, it is unlikely
524 that the changes in the T_2 distribution can be attributed to changes in mobility. This is
525 supported by the results of Koenig and Brown (Koenig and Brown, 1985), who found that
526 water molecules could diffuse almost uninhibited through the myofibrils when they were
527 more than a few angstroms away from the surfaces, and Cleveland and colleagues
528 (Cleveland et al., 1976) who found only a minor reduction in water mobility due to the
529 myosin/actin lattice.

530 **4. DISCUSSION**

531 In order to use the T_2 distribution as a proxy for the microstructure in the system, the
532 assumptions of a constant surface relaxivity and a homogeneous fluid saturation need to
533 hold true. Based on the results of the experiments, we believe these conditions are only
534 potentially met in the fresh state, and in particular shortly after slaughter. In the case of
535 processing or storage of the tissue, the T_2 distribution is influenced by the interplay of
536 microstructure, surface chemistry and fluid composition changes.

537 We identify multiple different sources of relaxation within the tissue. The T_{2s} peak
538 appears to arise from hydrogen in the structural proteins. Therefore, its relaxation time will
539 be controlled by the dipolar coupling that the spins experience due to their restricted
540 motion. The T_{2B} peak shows more complicated behaviour. It has a high T_1/T_2 ratio, indicating
541 solid like behaviour, but has an exchange rate with liquid like water on the order of a few
542 hundred microseconds to a few milliseconds depending on the sample state. We believe
543 that the T_{2B} peaks comes from protein bound water trapped within cavities of the protein,
544 dubbed “buried water” (Levitt and Park, 1993). As buried water is considered structurally
545 part of the protein, the source of relaxation comes from the restricted rotational motion of
546 the molecule when it is integrated into the protein structure (Martini et al., 2013). Unlike

547 normal bound water, which has an exchange rate with the bulk of picoseconds, buried water
548 is known to have a much slower exchange rate with the surrounding bulk, ranging from a
549 few nano seconds up to tens of milliseconds (Levitt and Park, 1993).

550 An important point we seek to emphasize is that, despite the name, bound water is not
551 stuck to the protein surface, but in constant exchange with the bulk fluid. Perusing the food
552 science literature, many authors tend to describe “bound water” as a static entity that stays
553 bound during the course of a measurement. This is not an accurate description because it is
554 well established that bound water on proteins exchanges with the surrounding fluid on the
555 order of pico- to nanoseconds (Otting et al., 1991; Otting et al., 1992). Therefore, the water
556 molecules that are bound to the protein will change tens to hundreds of thousands of times
557 during the space of the first echo of a CPMG measurement. This is why an average decay
558 rate is seen for the bound protons exchanging with the bulk water.

559 The T_{21} peak appears to arise from water inside the muscle cells interacting with the
560 myosin/actin lattice. One question in interpreting the results is whether it is the structure of
561 the muscle cell or the underlying lattice of myosin and actin that influences the T_{21} peak.
562 One possibility is that the myofibril lattice acts as numerous, small nanopores. The other is
563 that the larger muscle cell is the structural unit and the myosin/actin lattice acts instead as
564 surface sinks. Based on a review of the literature and the results of our measurements, we
565 believe the second scenario is more likely. The diffusion coefficients measured here and
566 reported in the literature are in line with a microporous structure, not a nanoporous one.
567 The exchange rate between the T_{21} and T_{22} peaks is consistent with exchange between
568 intracellular and extracellular water. Therefore for Equation 7, we believe it is not so much
569 the cell surface to volume ratio that influences the transfer relaxation rate, as the volume of
570 water relative to the amount of myosin and actin in the region. This would also explain why

571 disruption of the cell membranes and myofibrillar structure during homogenization and
572 freezing does not seem to have a dramatic impact on the T_{21} peak, as it is interaction of
573 water with the hydrogen in the myofibrillar proteins, not the lipids in the cell membrane nor
574 the structure of the myofibrils, that drives the relaxation process. This presents challenges
575 going forward for definitive interpretation of transverse relaxation, as many of the
576 processing steps that will alter the tissue microstructure will also affect the interaction of
577 water with the proteins. In fact, in many situations, the processing may influence the
578 myofibrils more than the sarcolemma. Changes to pH will affect the residence time, τ_m , of
579 water on the protein surfaces. Loss of the myofibrillar protein into drip loss will affect the
580 number of relaxation sites, n_m . Denaturation of the protein structure from storage, salting or
581 heating will affect the binding sites and therefore T_{2m} , the relaxation rate of water at the
582 protein surface.

583 While it appears interaction of water molecules with the protein is the source of
584 relaxation, we are not able to say at this time the relative influence of chemical exchange
585 versus intermolecular dipolar coupling. More detailed study is needed to determine this and
586 we expect that the relative importance of the two interactions may vary depending on tissue
587 state. However, both types of interactions will affect the relaxation rate through τ_m .
588 Therefore, changes that affect the chemical exchange rate or correlation time of water
589 molecules, such as pH or temperature, will influence the T_2 results. This is seen in the
590 lowered T_2 value of the T_{21} peak in the chilled and thawing samples. While investigated in
591 this study (data not shown), no anisotropy in the T_2 signal was observed depending on
592 orientation of the fibers with the applied magnetic field and no reported cases of T_2
593 anisotropy with muscle fiber direction were found in the literature. This suggests that
594 restricted motion of the water itself is not a significant relaxation mechanism in the tissue,

595 as the highly ordered structure of the tissue would be expected to show anisotropy if it
596 were.

597 Two different constituents appear to contribute to the T_{22} peak. For fresh samples, the
598 peak appears to be associated with extracellular water. The peak shifts to longer relaxation
599 times at lowered temperature indicates a surface relaxivity process. This would be consistent
600 with a weak interaction of water with the lipids of the sarcolemma, similar to what is seen in
601 water in oil emulsions. However, the leakage of sarcoplasm out of the muscle cells will also
602 produce a T_2 peak in this range of relaxation times. Cross-relaxation between the dissolved
603 protein and water molecules will lead to a relaxation value for the sarcoplasm that is lower
604 than that of bulk water (Koenig et al., 1978) Therefore, once damage starts to occur in the
605 tissue, it appears that the relaxation time of the sarcoplasm itself becomes the dominant
606 influence of T_{22} . We expect that the relaxation time of the sarcoplasm also influences the
607 relaxation time of the T_{21} peak, but its effect appears to be weaker than the presence of the
608 myofibrillar matrix.

609 We believe that this helps explain some of the discrepancies seen in the literature. In a
610 work by Cole and colleagues (Cole et al., 1993), it was observed that homogenization of the
611 frog muscle tissue with a mortar and pestle produced a single exponential decay. This led to
612 the conclusion that the relaxation must stem from intracellular and extracellular water. In
613 contrast, when Bertram et al. (Bertram et al., 2001) performed a similar experiment using
614 pork, they observed that the T_{22} peak remained relatively unchanged, indicating that the T_{21}
615 and T_{22} peaks belonged to intramyofibrillar and extramyofibrillar water. Based on our
616 results, it appears that both situations are possible and depend on sample handling. In the
617 fresh state, the T_{22} peak appears to stem from fluid in the extracellular space. However,
618 upon damage to the cell membranes, sarcoplasm begins to leak out of the cells into the

619 surrounding space. As the dissolved protein in the sarcoplasm acts as volume sinks, the
620 relaxation time is on the order of a few hundreds of milliseconds, even without any surface
621 interaction, and the apparent relaxation time of water is lowered from bulk.

622 Often in porous media research, the $T_{2\text{bulk}}$ term is neglected as it is much longer than the
623 other relaxation processes in the system of study and therefore does not have any
624 appreciable effect on the measured signal. Furthermore, $T_{2\text{bulk}}$ is frequently treated
625 interchangeably with volume sinks. For example, in water that has been doped with copper
626 sulfate, the lowered relaxation time is referred to as the “bulk” value for the water.
627 Technically, the bulk relaxation time of the water is still approximately 3 seconds. However,
628 given the uniform system and rapid, efficient interaction between the water molecules and
629 paramagnetic ions, for all practical purposes, the water phase can be treated as a uniform
630 fluid with a lower relaxation time. For geological systems, even for porous media with
631 multiphase saturation, each fluid type is typically considered to be homogeneous. On the
632 contrary, in a system like tissue, particularly once it begins to undergo changes and damage,
633 a homogeneous saturating fluid cannot be assumed. When tissue is damaged either through
634 processing or aging, biomolecules that can potentially act as relaxation agents will be lost
635 into the sarcoplasm. At the same time, water is able to exchange more effectively from
636 intramyofibrillar and extramyofibrillar spaces. For some types of processing, like brining,
637 additional water may be taken into the tissue. Furthermore, damage and changes to tissue is
638 non-uniform throughout the samples with processing. All this serves to produce
639 compositional changes of the sarcoplasm throughout the tissue, allowing for complex mixing
640 of the local environments.

641 Pulling these results together, a better understanding of how the transverse relaxation
642 distribution changes with handling in tissue is revealed. For freshly slaughtered fish and

643 meat, narrow, distinct peaks indicate a uniform structure and fluid composition. The
644 broadening and shifts of peaks with processing indicate increased heterogeneity in the
645 system. The T_{21} peak can extend to lower relaxation times if fluid is lost from the myofibrillar
646 matrix, lowering the relative fluid volume compared to the surface sinks present. Similarly,
647 an increase in the dissolved protein in the sarcoplasm will also serve to lower the relaxation
648 time. Correspondingly, loss of myofibrillar protein through drip loss may shift the T_{21} peak to
649 longer relaxation times, as the number of relaxation sites are decreased. Damage to the
650 sarcolemma may increase the amount of fluid in contact with the myofibrils, also shifting the
651 T_{21} peak to longer times. Location of the T_{22} peak appears to be dominated by the protein
652 dissolved in the fluid. The exact relaxation time will be combination of both protein
653 concentration and average protein size. The shift of the T_{21} and T_{22} peaks towards each other
654 indicates increased interaction between fluid molecules in intra-myofibrillar and extra-
655 myofibrillar spaces. Understanding how these different mechanisms of relaxation influence
656 the measured T_2 enables a much more thorough description of the changes experienced by
657 the tissue than simply interpreting the changes as differences in water mobility. Frequently
658 in the food science literature, in order to simplify the analysis, the T_2 relaxation data analysis
659 of tissue is performed using a limited number of exponentials, which does not take
660 advantage of the full information present in the data. These results also underscore the
661 importance of using a full T_2 distribution from an inverse Laplace transform to characterize
662 samples, and not simply a bi or tri-exponential fitting to the data. Brown and colleagues
663 (Brown et al., 2000) had a similar finding, where T_2 results analyzed using an inverse Laplace
664 transform correlated better with wet lab properties than when the results were analyzed by
665 bi or tri-exponential fitting.

666 At the same time, the findings of this study indicate that, while not impossible, using the
667 transverse relaxation alone to accurately characterize meat and seafood will be a significant
668 challenge. Because so many aspects of the tissue structure and composition can influence
669 the relaxation rate, determining the physical meaning behind a given T_2 distribution requires
670 controlling many variables. This potentially could be done by calibration to other laboratory
671 measurements, as is sometimes done with geological samples. The drawback here is that
672 while relaxivity in geological samples is controlled by a single, stable variable, the
673 paramagnetic impurity content, tissue has multiple variables that evolve with time. It was
674 observed that even short storage times could influence the T_2 results as the tissue aged. The
675 developed calibration would likely only be applicable for a short period of time and would
676 need to be repeated after any sort of processing or aging.

677 Another possibility is to characterize the systems with more advanced NMR
678 measurements. More complete information about sample state could be obtained from
679 other two-dimensional types of inverse Laplace measurements, such as T_2 -D (Hurlimann and
680 Venkataramanan, 2002) which correlates transverse relaxation and diffusion or DDCOSY
681 (Callaghan et al., 2003), which can give information regarding anisotropy in diffusion.
682 Researchers have worked to develop rapid T_2 - T_2 exchange methods (d'Eurydice et al., 2016),
683 which may be useful for estimating tissue damage. Combined inverse Laplace and Fourier
684 measurements, such as DOSY or spectrally resolved T_2 , may also yield information on protein
685 content in solution, helping to control for the effect of volume sinks on the relaxation.
686 Advanced NMR measurements also become necessary to use T_2 relaxation in a broader
687 range of food products. White fish and lean cuts of pork and poultry have low enough fat
688 content that its contribution can be considered negligible. However, if fatty fish, such as
689 salmon or mackerel, or fattier cuts of meat are examined, this can no longer be considered

690 true and fat will have an appreciable contribution to the measured T_2 signal. Although the
691 theories tested here should be applicable to both meat and seafood, measurements in this
692 paper were only tested on fish. Therefore, further testing is necessary to experimentally
693 confirm similar results in meat and shellfish. There is still much work to be done to fully
694 understand NMR relaxation in muscle tissue and the results presented here are only the
695 start in developing a framework to improve repeatability in these complex materials that are
696 highly sensitive to variations of sample preparation and heterogeneity.

697 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

698 The results of the study demonstrate the inherent complexity of using transverse
699 relaxation measurements in food science. The transverse relaxation is sensitive to a variety
700 of tissue properties that are related to quality attributes, such as tissue damage and protein
701 denaturation. The challenge is to disentangle the effects of the different relaxation
702 mechanisms from one another when interpreting a relaxation time distribution. The
703 mechanisms that control transverse surface and volume relaxivity arise from properties that
704 can vary greatly between samples and can easily be altered during processing or storage.
705 The plethora of effects that can influence the transverse relaxation rate indicates that one
706 dimensional T_2 measurements alone are likely insufficient to adequately characterize
707 attributes that affect quality of seafood and meat products. However, through careful
708 experimental design and application of more advanced NMR tools, we anticipate that
709 information about tissue changes can be obtained. Results highlight the influence of
710 dissolved protein in the sarcoplasm on relaxation time, underscoring the need for including
711 the effect of volume sinks in addition to surface relaxivity when interpreting results. The full
712 T_2 distribution should be used for data analysis, as information on the tissue state is
713 contained in not only the peak location and amplitude, but the shape of the curves as well.

714 **6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

715 The authors acknowledge financial support from the Norwegian Research Council through
716 funding grant 294805. The authors also thank Svein Kristian Stormo for help in procuring the
717 cod samples, Melanie Britton for helpful discussion and Justin Birdwell and Joseph Seymour
718 for manuscript review.

719 **7. REFERENCES**

- 720 Aursand, I.G., Gallart-Jornet, L., Erikson, U., Axelson, D.E., Rustad, T. (2008). Water Distribution in Brine
721 Salted Cod (*Gadus morhua*) and Salmon (*Salmo salar*): A Low-Field ¹H NMR Study *J. Agric. Food*
722 *Chem.* **56** 6252–6260, doi: 10.1021/jf800369n
- 723 Aursand, I.G., Veliyulin, E., Bocker, U., Ofstad, R., Rustad, T., Erikson, U. (2009). Water and Salt
724 Distribution in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*) Studied by Low-Field ¹H NMR, ¹H and ²³Na MRI and
725 Light Microscopy: Effects of Raw Material Quality and Brine Salting, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **57** 46–
726 54 doi: 10.1021/jf802158u
- 727 Bechmann, I.E., Pedersen, H.T., Nørgaard, L., Engelsen, S.B. (1999). Comparative Chemometric Analysis
728 of Transverse Low-field ¹H NMR Relaxation Data, *Adv. Magn Reason. Food Sci*, 217-225 doi:
729 10.1533/9781845698133.4.217
- 730 Bertram, H.C., Karlsson, A.H., Rasmussen, M., Pedersen, O.D., Dønstrup, S., Andersen, H.J. (2001).
731 Origin of Multiexponential T₂ Relaxation in Muscle Myowater, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **49** 3092–
732 3100, doi: 10.1021/jf001402t
- 733 Bertram, H.C., Andersen, H.J., Karlsson, A.H., Horn, P., Hedegaard, J., Nørgaard, L., Engelsen, S.B.
734 (2003). Prediction of technological quality (cooking loss and Napole Yield) of pork based on fresh
735 meat characteristics, *Meat Sci* **65**, 707-712 doi: 10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00272-3
- 736 Bertram, H.C., Kristensen, M., Østdal, H., Baron, C.P., Young, J.F., Andersen, H.J. (2007). Does Oxidation
737 Affect the Water Functionality of Myofibrillar Proteins?, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **55** 2342–2348

- 738 Bloembergen, N., Purcell, E.M., Pound, R.V. (1948). Relaxation Effects in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
739 Absorption, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 679 doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.73.679
- 740 Blumich, B. (2019). Low-field and benchtop NMR, *J. Mag. Reson.* **306**, 27-35, doi:
741 10.1016/j.jmr.2019.07.030
- 742 Brownstein, K.R., Tarr, C.E. (1979). Importance of classical diffusion in NMR studies of water in
743 biological cells, *Phys. Rev. A* **19** 2446 doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.19.2446
- 744 Brey, W.S., Evans, T.E., Hizrot, L.H. (1968). Nuclear magnetic relaxation times of water sorbed by
745 proteins. Lysozyme and serum albumin, *J. Col. Int. Sci.* **26** 306-316 doi: 10.1016/0021-
746 9797(68)90237-3
- 747 Brown, R.J.S., Capozzi, F., Cavani, C., Cremonini, M.A., Petracci, M., Placucci, G. (2000). Relationships
748 between ¹H NMR relaxation data and some technological parameters of meat: a chemometric
749 approach, *J. Mag. Reson.* **147**, 89-94 doi: 10.1006/jmre.2000.2163
- 750 Callaghan, P.T., Godefroy, S., Ryland, B.N. (2003). Use of the second dimension in PGSE NMR studies
751 of porous media, *Mag. Reson. Imag.* **21**243-248 doi: 10.1016/S0730-725X(03)00131-0
- 752 Carr, H., Purcell, R. (1954). Effects of diffusion on free precession in nuclear magnetic resonance
753 experiments, *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 630–638 doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.94.630
- 754 Cleveland, G.G., Chang, D.C., Hazelwood, C.F., Rorschach, H.E. (1976). Nuclear magnetic resonance
755 measurement of skeletal muscle, *Biophys. J.* **16**,1043-1053 doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(76)85754-2
- 756 Cole, W.C., LeBlanc, A.D., Jhingran, S.G. (1993). The origin of biexponential T₂ relaxation in Muscle
757 Water. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **29** 19-24 doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910290106
- 758 d'Eurydice, M.N., Montrazi, E.T., Fortulan, C.A., Bonagamba, T.J. (2016). T₂-filtered T₂-T₂ exchange
759 NMR, *J. Chem. Phys.* **144** 204201, doi: 10.1063/1.4951712
- 760 Fung, B.M., McGaughey, T.W. (1979). Study of spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation times of ¹H, ²H, and

761 17O in muscular water., *Biophys. J.*, 28 293-303 doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(79)85177-2

762 Fung, B.M., Puon, P.S. (1981). Nuclear magnetic resonance transverse relaxation in muscle water,
763 *Biophys J.* **33** 27-37 doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(81)84870-9

764 Gao, Y. , Blumich, B. (2020) Analysis of three-site T2-T2 exchange NMR, *J. Mag. Reson.* **315** 106740,
765 doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2020.106740

766 Gudjonsdottir, M., Arason, S., Rustad, T. (2011). The effects of pre-salting methods on water
767 distribution and protein denaturation of dry salted and rehydrated cod – A low-field NMR study,
768 *J. Food. Eng* **104**, 23-29 doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.11.022

769 Gudjonsdottir, M., Traore, A., Jonsson, A., Karlsdottir, M. G., Arason, S. (2015) The effects of pre-salting
770 methods on salt and water distribution of heavily salted cod, as analyzed by ¹H and ²³Na MRI,
771 ²³Na NMR, low-field NMR and physicochemical analysis, *Food Chem* **188**, 664-672, doi:
772 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.060

773 Gudjonsdottir, M., Romotowska, P.E., Karlsdottir, M.G., Arason, S. (2019). Low field nuclear magnetic
774 resonance and multivariate analysis for prediction of physicochemical characteristics of Atlantic
775 mackerel as affected by season of catch, freezing method, and frozen storage duration, *Food Res*
776 *Int* **116** 471-482 doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.08.063

777 Hallenga, K., Koenig, S.H. (1976). Protein rotational relaxation as studied by solvent ¹H and ²H
778 magnetic relaxation, *Biochem* **15** 4255-64. doi: 10.1021/bi00664a019.

779 Hansen, P.C. (2000)The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems,
780 *Computational Inverse Problems in Electrocardiology* (pp.119-142) Publisher: WIT Press

781 Hill, J., Olson, E. (2012) *Muscle: Fundamental biology and mechanisms of disease*, Elsevier Publishing,
782 San Diego

783 Hills, B.P., Wright, K.M., Belton, P.S. (1989). Proton N.M.R. studies of chemical and diffusive exchange
784 in carbohydrate systems, *Mol Phys* **67**,1309-1326, doi: 10.1080/00268978900101831

785 Hills, B.P., Takacs, S.F., Belton, P.S. (1990). A new interpretation of proton NMR relaxation time
786 measurements of water in food, *Food Chem.* **37**, 95-111, doi: 10.1016/0308-8146(90)90084-H

787 Hills, B.P., Cano, C., Belton, P.S. (1991). Proton NMR Relaxation Studies of Aqueous Polysaccharide
788 Systems, *Macromol.* **24** 2944-2950 doi: 10.1021/ma00010a047

789 Hullberg, A., Bertram, H.C. (2005). Relationships between sensory perception and water distribution
790 determined by low-field NMR T2 relaxation in processed pork – impact of tumbling and RN-
791 allele, *Meat Sci* **69**, 709-720 doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2004.11.003

792 Hurlimann, M.D., Venkataramanan, L. (2002). Quantitative measurement of two-dimensional
793 distribution functions of diffusion and relaxation in grossly inhomogeneous fields, *J. Mag. Reson.*
794 **157** 31-42, doi: 10.1006/jmre.2002.2567

795 Jensen, K.N., Guldager, H.S., Jørgensen, B.M. (2002). Three-Way Modelling of NMR Relaxation Profiles
796 from Thawed Cod Muscle, *J. Aq. Food. Prod Tech* **11**, 201-214, doi: 10.1300/J030v11n03_16

797 Jepsen, S.M., Pedersen, H.T., Engelsen, S.B. (1999). Application of chemometrics to low-field 1H NMR
798 relaxation data of intact fish flesh, *J. Sci Food Agr.* **79**, 1793-1802 doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-
799 0010(199910)79:13<1793::AID-JSFA437>3.0.CO;2-S

800 Kleinberg, R.L., Horsfield, M.A. (1990). Transverse relaxation processes in porous sedimentary rock, *J.*
801 *Magn. Reson.* **88**, 9-19 doi: 10.1016/0022-2364(90)90104-H

802 Kleinberg, R.L., Kenyon, W.E., Mitra, P.P. (1994). Mechanism of NMR relaxation of fluids in rock, *J.*
803 *Magn. Reson. A* **108**, 206-214 doi: 10.1006/jmra.1994.1112

804 Knispel, RR., Thompson, R.T., Pintar, M.M. (1974) Dispersion of proton spin-lattice relaxation in tissues,
805 *J. Magn. Reson.* **14**, 44-51 doi: 10.1016/0022-2364(74)90255-8

806 Koenig, S.H., Hallenga, K., Shporer, M. (1975). Protein-water interaction studied by solvent 1H, 2H, and
807 17O magnetic relaxation, *PNAS* **72**, 2667-2671 doi: 10.1073/pnas.72.7.2667

808 Koenig, S.H., Bryant, R.G., Hallenga, K., Jacob, G.S. (1978). Magnetic cross-relaxation among protons in
809 protein solutions, *Biochem* **17**, 4348-4358 doi: 10.1021/bi00613a037

810 Koenig, S.H., Brown, R.D. (1985). The importance of motion of water for magnetic resonance imaging,
811 *Inv. Radiolog.* **20** 297-305 doi: 10.1097/00004424-198505000-00013

812 Korringa, J., Seevers, D.O., Torrey, H.C. (1962). Theory of Spin Pumping and Relaxation in Systems with
813 a Low Concentration of Electron Spin Resonance Centers, *Phys. Rev.* **127**, 1143 doi:
814 10.1103/PhysRev.127.1143

815 Kroeker, R.M., Henkelman, R.M. (1986). Analysis of biological NMR relaxation data with continuous
816 distributions of relaxation times, *J. Mag. Reson.* **69**, 218-235, doi:

817 Lawson, C. L., Hanson, R. J. (1987). Solving Least Squares Problems, Prentice-Hall

818 Levitt, M., Park, B.H. (1993). Water: now you see it, now you don't, *Structure* **4**, 223-226, doi:
819 10.1016/0969-2126(93)90011-5

820 Løje, H., Green-Petersen, D., Nielsen, J., Jørgensen, B.M., Jensen, K.N. (2007). Water distribution in
821 smoked salmon, *J. Sci Food Agri.* **87** 212-217 doi: 10.1002/jsfa.2693

822 Martini, S., Bonechi, C., Foletti, A., Rossi, C. (2013). Water-Protein Interactions: The Secret of Protein
823 Dynamics, *Sci World J.*, 138916 doi: 10.1155/2013/138916

824 McDonnell, C. K., Allen, P., Duggan, E., Arimi, J.M., Casey, E., Duane, G., Lyng, J.G. (2013). The effect of
825 salt and fibre direction on water dynamics, distribution and mobility in pork muscle: A low field
826 NMR study, *Meat Sci* **95**, 51-58 doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.04.012

827 Meiboom, S., Gill, D. (1958). Modified spin-echo method for measuring nuclear relaxation times, *Rev.*
828 *Sci.* **29**, 688 doi: 10.1063/1.1716296

829 Monteilhet, L., Korb, J.-P., Mitchell, J., McDonald, P. J. (2006). Observation of exchange of micropore
830 water in cement pastes by two-dimensional T2-T2 nuclear magnetic resonance relaxometry,

831 *Phys. Rev. E.* **74** 061404 doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.74.061404

832 Menon, R.S., Allen, P.S. (1991). Application of continuous relaxation time distributions to the fitting of
833 data from model systems and excised tissue, *Mag. Reson. Med* **20** 214-227 doi:
834 10.1002/mrm.1910200205

835 Otting, G., Liepinsh, E., Wuthrich, K. (1991). Protein hydration in aqueous solution, *Science* **254** 974-
836 980, doi: 10.1126/science.1948083

837 Otting, G., Liepinsh, E., Wuthrich, K. (1992). Polypeptide hydration in mixed solvents at low
838 temperatures, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **114** 7093–7095 doi: 10.1021/ja00044a021

839 Packer, K.J. (1977). The dynamics of water in heterogeneous systems *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*
840 **278**, 59-87 doi: 10.1098/rstb.1977.0031

841 Powrie, W.H. (1984) Chemical effects during storage of frozen foods, *J Chem. Edu* **61**, 340
842 doi:10.1021/ed061p340

843 Sanchez-Alonso, I., Moreno, P., Careche, M. (2014) Low field nuclear magnetic resonance (LF-NMR)
844 relaxometry in hake (*Merluccius merluccius*, L.) muscle after different freezing and storage
845 conditions, *Food Chem* **153**, 250-257 doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.060

846 Sobol, W.T., Cameron, I.G., Inch, W.R., Pintar, M.M. (1986). Modeling of proton spin relaxation in
847 muscle tissue using nuclear magnetic resonance spin grouping and exchange analysis, *Biophys J*
848 **50**,181-191, doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(86)83450-6.

849 Song, Y.Q., Venkataramanan, L., Hurlimann, M.D., Flaum, M., Frulla, P., Straley, C. (2002). T1–T2
850 Correlation Spectra Obtained Using a Fast Two-Dimensional Laplace Inversion, *J. Mag. Reson.*
851 **154**, 261-268, doi: 10.1006/jmre.2001.2474

852 Song, Y.Q. (2013). Magnetic Resonance of Porous Media (MRPM): A perspective, *J. Mag. Reason.* **229**,
853 12-24 doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2012.11.010

- 854 Stejskal, E.O., Tanner, J.E. (1965) Spin Diffusion Measurements: Spin Echoes in the Presence of a Time-
855 Dependent Field Gradient, *J. Chem. Phys.* **42**, 288, doi: 10.1063/1.1695690
- 856 Swift, T.J., Connick, R.E. (1962). NMR-Relaxation Mechanisms of O17 in Aqueous Solutions of
857 Paramagnetic Cations and the Lifetime of Water Molecules in the First Coordination Sphere, *J.*
858 *Chem. Phys.* **37** 307 doi: 10.1063/1.1701321
- 859 Takano, K., Yamagata, Y., Yutani, K. (2003). Buried water molecules contribute to the conformational
860 stability of a protein, *Protein Eng.* **6**, 5–9, doi: 10.1093/proeng/gzg001
- 861 Van Landeghen, M., Haber, A., De Lacaillerie, J.B., Blumich, B. (2010). Analysis of multisite 2D relaxation
862 exchange NMR, *Conc. Mag. Reson. A.* **36A** 153-169, doi: 10.1002/cmr.a.20157
- 863 Venturi, L., Rocculi, P., Cavani, C., Placucci, G., Dalla Rosa, M., Cremonini, M.A. (2007). Water
864 absorption of freeze-dried meat at different water activities: a multianalytical approach using
865 sorption isotherm, differential scanning calorimetry, and nuclear magnetic resonance, *J Agric*
866 *Food Chem* **26**,10572-8. doi: 10.1021/jf072874b.
- 867 Washburn, K.E., Callaghan, P.T. (2006). Tracking Pore to Pore Exchange Using Relaxation Exchange
868 Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 175502 doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.175502
- 869 Wu, Z., Bertram, H.C., Kohler, A., Bocker, U., Ofstad, R., Andersen, H.J. (2006). Influence of Aging and
870 Salting on Protein Secondary Structures and Water Distribution in Uncooked and Cooked Pork. A
871 Combined FT-IR Microspectroscopy and 1H NMR Relaxometry Study, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **54**,
872 8589–8597 doi: 10.1021/jf061576w
- 873 Wu, Z., Bertram, H.C., Bocker, U., Ofstad, R., Kohler, A. (2007). Myowater Dynamics and Protein
874 Secondary Structural Changes As Affected by Heating Rate in Three Pork Qualities: A Combined
875 FT-IR Microspectroscopic and 1H NMR Relaxometry Study, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **55**, 3990–3997
876 doi: 10.1021/jf070019m
- 877 Xu, Y., Song, M., Xia, W., Jiang, Q. (2018) Effects of freezing method on water distribution,

878 microstructure, and taste active compounds of frozen channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), *J.*
879 *Food Proc. Eng.* **42**, e12937 doi: 10.1111/jfpe.12937

880 Zhu, H., Hansen, E.W., Andersen, P.V., O'Farrell, M. (2017) The potential for predicting purge in
881 packaged meat using low field NMR, *J. Food Eng.* **206**, 98-105 doi:
882 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.03.008

883 Zimmerman, J.R., Brittin, W.E (1957). Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies in Multiple Phase Systems:
884 Lifetime of a Water Molecule in an Adsorbing Phase on Silica Gel, *J. Phys. Chem.* **61**,1328-1333
885 doi: 10.1021/j150556a015