



**Choline supplementation increased total body lipid gain,  
while surplus methionine improved growth and amino acid  
accretion in adult Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)**

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal:                      | <i>Aquaculture Nutrition</i>  |
| Manuscript ID                 | Draft   |
| Manuscript Type:              | Original Article  |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | n/a   |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Espe, Marit; NIFES, Aquaculture nutrition<br>Andersen, Synne<br>Veiseth-Kent, Eva; Nofima,<br>Rønnestad, Ivar; University of Bergen,<br>Holen, Elisabeth; NIFES,<br>Zerrahn, Jens-Erik; Evonik Degussa,<br>Aksnes, Anders; Ewos Innovation, |
| Keywords:                     | Fish < Aquatic Animals, Atlantic Salmon < Fish < Aquatic Animals, Amino<br>Acids < Nutrients < Feed, Methionine < Nutrients < Feed, Requirement <<br>Metabolism, Biochemical < Analyses   |
|                               |   |

1  
2  
3 1 **Choline supplementation increased total body lipid gain, while surplus methionine improved**  
4 2 **growth and amino acid accretion in adult Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)**

5  
6 3 Espe M<sup>1</sup>, Andersen SM<sup>1,2</sup>, Veiset-Kent E<sup>3</sup>, Rønnestad I<sup>4</sup>, Holen E<sup>1</sup>, Zerrahn J-E<sup>5</sup>, Aksnes A<sup>6</sup>

7  
8 4 <sup>1</sup>National Institute of Nutrition and Sea Food Research (NIFES), PO Box 2029, N-5817,  
9 5 Nordnes, Norway

10  
11 6 <sup>2</sup>Current address: Ewos AS, Tollbodalmeningen 1b, N-5004, Bergen Norway

12  
13 7 <sup>3</sup>Nofima, PO Box 210 N-1431, Ås, Norway

14  
15 8 <sup>4</sup>Institute of Biology, University of Bergen, PO Box 7803, N-5020, Bergen, Norway

16  
17 9 <sup>5</sup>Evonik Degussa International AG, Havneparken 2, Vejle DK-7100, Denmark

18  
19 10 <sup>6</sup>Ewos Innovation AS, N-4335, Dirdal, Norway

20  
21  
22 11  
23  
24 12 Corresponding author: Marit Espe

25  
26 13 e-mail address: [marit.espe@nifes.no](mailto:marit.espe@nifes.no)

27  
28  
29  
30 15 Keywords: methionine, protein retention, choline, Atlantic salmon

31  
32 16 Running title: Surplus methionine improves protein growth, but choline did not affect TAG  
33 17 transport from liver to muscle

34  
35  
36 18  
37 19 Abbreviations: PC phosphatidylcholine  
38  
39 20 pent phosphatidylethanolamine methyl transferase  
40  
41 21 PEA phophatidylethanolamine  
42  
43 22 SAM S adenosyl methionine  
44  
45 23 SAH S adenosyl homocysteine  
46  
47 24 ApoB100 ApoLipoProteinB100  
48  
49 25 MAT methionine adenine transferase  
50  
51 26 BHMT betaine homocysteine methyl transferase  
52  
53 27 CBS cystathionine beta synthase  
54  
55 28 CDO cysteine dioxygenase  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 30 **Abstract**  
4

5 31 Methionine choline deficient mammals are known to accumulate liver TAG probably due to  
6 32 PC deficiency and thus assembly of VLDL and transport of lipids from liver to peripheral  
7 33 organs. To assess whether supplementation of choline could spare methionine in diets almost  
8 34 adequate to secure a healthy liver metabolism, by reducing the endogenous  
9 35 phosphatidylcholine (PC) synthesis without interfering with lipid transport and distribution,  
10 36 Atlantic salmon with initial BW of 700g were fed adequate (1.9g Met/16gN) or surplus  
11 37 methionine (2.5g Met/16gN) diets of which were supplemented with choline or not for a  
12 38 period of 19 weeks. Fish fed the lower methionine diets had reduced growth ( $p=0.013$ ) due to  
13 39 reduced protein gain ( $p=0.007$ ), while lipid gain slightly improved in fish fed the choline  
14 40 supplemented diets ( $p=0.047$ ). Also feed conversion improved when fed surplus methionine  
15 41 ( $p<0.001$ ), while choline supplementation had no impact on feed conversion. No interaction  
16 42 between choline and methionine on growth performance or accretion existed. Phospholipid  
17 43 status in liver and muscle was not affected by treatments and no liver TAG accumulation  
18 44 occurred at the methionine levels used. Gene expression of *ApoB100* necessary for  
19 45 assembling VLDL or *pemt* necessary for endogenous PC synthesis were un-affected by  
20 46 treatments. Capacity of methylation within the liver was not affected by treatment nor was the  
21 47 gene expression of enzymes in liver sulfur metabolism (*MAT*, *BHMT*, *CBS* or *CDO*).  
22 48 Methionine status within liver was unaffected by treatments while free methionine reduced in  
23 49 those fish fed the lower methionine diets in muscle and plasma. Choline supplementation had  
24 50 no impact on sulfur amino acid metabolites in either tissues. Neither did choline  
25 51 supplementation improve TAG mobilization from liver to muscle as analyzed by *ApoB100*  
26 52 necessary for assembling VLDL. To conclude choline does not improve endogenous  
27 53 phospholipid synthesis or transport of TAG from liver to muscle depot when added to diets  
28 54 containing 1.9gMet/16gN, while surplus methionine (2.5g Met/16gN) improved growth and  
29 55 protein accretion, indicating that 1.9g Met/16gN is enough to support a healthy liver  
30 56 metabolism, but too low to support muscle protein deposition in adult salmon fed high plant  
31 57 protein diets for longer periods of time.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 61 1.0 Introduction

62 Liver lipid accumulation is associated with increased metabolic stress, energy depletion,  
63 cytokine activation and inflammation in rodent models and human beings (Vanni et al. 2010;  
64 Vernon et al. 2011, Koek et al. 2011, Rolo et al. 2012). It is known that methionine and  
65 especially methionine choline deficient diets (MCDD) increase the liver TAG in rodent  
66 models (Chawla et al. 1988, Slow & Garrow 2006). Likewise methionine choline deficiency  
67 has been reported to increase liver TAG in Atlantic salmon (Rumsey et al. 1983, Espe et al.  
68 2010). Supplementation of methyl group donors (betaine, SAM, folate) could not prevent  
69 apoptotic death in choline deficient hepatocytes (Shin et al. 1997). Choline is part of the  
70 phospholipid phosphatidylcholine (PC) of which is abundant in liver. PC is synthesized by  
71 two metabolic pathways within the liver either through the Kennedy pathway or through the  
72 *pent*-pathway (Vance et al. 1997, Watkins et al. 2003). It has been reported that mammalian  
73 species have the capacity to synthesize almost 40% of the required choline endogenously by a  
74 three step methylation of phosphatidylethanolamine (PEA) through the enzyme  
75 phosphatidylethanolamine methyl transferase (*pent*). During severe choline deficiency in rats  
76 the gene expression of *pent* increased (Cui & Vance 1996). The endogenous choline  
77 synthesis requires three molecules of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) as methyl donors for each  
78 choline molecule to be synthesized (Vance & Ridgeway 1988, Mato et al. 2002, Noga &  
79 Vance 2003, Stead et al. 2006). The precursors for SAM are methionine and ATP, and SAM  
80 concentration within the liver of Atlantic salmon is known to depend on methionine intake  
81 (Espe et al. 2008, 2010) as also is true in rodents (Sugiyama et al. 1998). For a healthy liver  
82 sulfur metabolism without any TAG accumulation, Atlantic salmon fed high plant protein  
83 diets requires about 2.2g Met/16gN (Espe et al. 2008, 2010). It is believed that liver TAG  
84 accumulation is due to reduced availability of PC and apolipoproteinB100 (ApoB100) of  
85 which is needed to assembly the very low density lipoproteins (VLDL) and thus transport of  
86 TAG from the liver to peripheral organs like the muscle (Vance et al. 1997, Watkins et al.  
87 2003).

88 In fish methionine choline interactions have been less studied. It was reported that red drum  
89 fed choline supplemented diets increased muscle lipids and concomitantly reduced their liver  
90 lipids (Craig & Gatlin, 1997), while cobia increased muscle and liver choline concentrations  
91 as well as increased total lipid in the muscle following choline supplementation (Mai et al.  
92 2009). In both of these studies, dietary methionine was equal in the diets used and present at  
93 requirement. Choline supplementation to diets containing low sulfur amino acids (1.6g

1  
2  
3 94 TSAA/16g N) on the other hand, improved growth performance in tilapia (Kasper et al.  
4 95 2000). Juvenile Atlantic salmon fed low methionine diets (1.6g Met/16gN) of which was  
5 96 supplemented with choline only showed a tendency of increased muscle choline and TAG  
6 97 following choline supplementation, while liver choline and TAG were unaffected by  
7 98 treatments (Espe et al. 2015b). This may be due to the relative short period of feeding (8  
8 99 weeks) and/or the fact that juveniles are fed less lipids and therefore incorporate less lipids in  
9 100 muscle as compared to adult salmon. Neither was gene expression of either *ApoB100* or *pemt*  
10 101 affected by choline supplementation in the juvenile salmon (Espe et al. 2015b). Even though  
11 102 the juvenile Atlantic salmon fed the low methionine diets did not accumulate TAG they  
12 103 increased the concentration of PC in liver and white trunk muscle when the low methionine  
13 104 diet was supplemented with choline implying that choline may be beneficial on the  
14 105 phospholipid status in fish fed the low methionine diets. Therefore the current study aimed to  
15 106 test if adult Atlantic salmon, known to accumulate more lipid in muscle and liver as compared  
16 107 to the juveniles, fed diets containing methionine at approximately the requirement of adult  
17 108 salmon to attenuate liver TAG accumulation (1.9g Met/16gN, requirement 2.2g Met/16gN,  
18 109 Espe et al. 2008; 2010) would benefit from choline supplementation and possibly spare liver  
19 110 methionine to be used for other methylation reactions. For comparison diets surplus in  
20 111 methionine (2.5g Met/16gN) also were tested for any benefits of choline supplementation on  
21 112 muscle protein and lipid gain.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 113

## 36 114 **2.0 Material and Methods**

### 37 115 *2.1 Experimental diets*

38 116 Four experimental diets were prepared being slightly deficient in methionine to attenuate liver  
39 117 TAG accumulation (Espe et al. 2008; 2010) or added DL-methionine to a concentration well  
40 118 above established requirement (NRC 2011). Diets were mainly based on soy and pea protein  
41 119 concentrates and contained 1.9 or 2.5 g Met/16gN, respectively. The low and high methionine  
42 120 diets were either added 2.8g choline chloride/kg diet or not added any to give choline  
43 121 concentrations of approximately 1 and 3g choline/kg diet in the un-supplemented and  
44 122 supplemented diets, respectively. Diets were produced at the facilities at EWOS Innovation  
45 123 AS, Dirdal, Norway. All diets were extruded and a pellet size of 6 mm was produced. Dietary  
46 124 composition and chemical analyses of the diets are given in Tables 1 and 2.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

125

## 126 2.2 Fish experiment

127 The feeding trial was carried out the facilities of EWOS Innovation AS located in Dirdal,  
128 Norway. The diets were randomly assigned to triplicate tanks, each containing 40 fish with an  
129 initial BW of  $729 \pm 5$  g. Each tank was supplied with running seawater (salinity  $33 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ) with  
130 mean temperature  $8.1 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$  at a flow rate of  $1.5 \text{ L kg}^{-1} \text{ biomass min}^{-1}$ . A 24 hour constant  
131 light regime was applied to maximize the growth potential. The fish were fed three times  
132 daily using belt feeders and collection of uneaten feed as described (Espe et al. 2006) during a  
133 period of 19 weeks. Individual weights and lengths were recorded at the start and end of the  
134 experimental period. BW, length, liver and gastro-intestinal weights were recorded from 10  
135 fish from each tank at the end of the experiment to allow calculation of representative tank  
136 mean condition factor, hepatosomatic and viscerosomatic indexes. Further, 10 fish were  
137 collected at the start of the experimental period and 10 fish per tank were collected at the end  
138 and analyzed for protein, fat and amino acids to allow calculation of mean tank accretion of  
139 proteins, lipids and amino acid retention. Liver, head kidney and muscle were sampled  
140 individually from 6 fish from each tank 5 hours post-prandial, flash frozen in liquid  $\text{N}_2$  and  
141 stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until analyzed for gene expression. Heparinized blood was drawn from the  
142 caudal vein of 10 fish per tank, pooled to one sample per tank before stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until  
143 analyzed for N-metabolites. The body weight of all fish were measured and included in  
144 calculation of mean tank growth performance. Before handling fish were anaesthetized with  
145 chlorobutanol ( $0.4 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ). The experiments complied with the guidelines of the Norwegian  
146 Regulation on Animal Experimentation and European Community Directive 86/609/EEC.

147

## 148 2.3 Chemical analyses

149 Diets were analyzed for crude composition of protein, lipids, ash and energy as described (Espe et al.  
150 2006). Dietary amino acid composition was analyzed after hydrolyzation for 22h in 6M HCl using the  
151 UPLC as described (Espe et al., 2014). Free amino acids were analyzed in de-proteinized plasma, liver  
152 and muscle samples using the Biochrome (30+ Biochrome, UK) with post column derivatization with  
153 ninhydrin as described (Espe et al. 2006). Samples of liver, muscle and feed were extracted in 4  
154 volumes 10mM TRIS buffer (pH 7.6) as described (Espe et al. 2014) and stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until  
155 de-proteinized and analyzed for choline. Choline was analyzed by a commercial kit  
156 (BioVision Mountain View, CA, USA, #K615-100) following the instructions given by the  
157 supplier. The lipid classes (TAG, phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), PC, total phospholipids  
158 (PL), non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), total cholesterol) in liver and muscle were analysed

1  
2  
3 159 after lipid extraction with 2:1 chloroform: methanol (v:v) as described (Bell et al. 1993, Liaset  
4 160 et al. 2003). Plasma total phospholipids, TAG, total cholesterol, NEFA and total bile acids  
5 161 were analyzed as described (Espe et al. 2010) using commercial kits (Diagnostic  
6 162 Laboratories).

7  
8  
9  
10 163

#### 11 164 *2.4 RNA extraction and gene expression*

12  
13  
14 165 mRNA was extracted from liver, muscle and head kidney of 6 fish per tank using the EZ1  
15 166 BioRobot and the RNA Universal Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to  
16 167 manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of the RNA was assessed using  
17 168 NanoDrop ND-1000 UV and Agilent 2100Bioanalyzer and RNA integrity assessed using the  
18 169 RNA 6000Nano LabChip® kit. A two-step real time qPCR assay was run as described  
19 170 (Torstensen et al. 2011). The PCR primer sequences used were delivered by Invitrogen using  
20 171 the primers acidic ribosomal protein (ARP) and elongation factor 1A (EF1A, Hevrøy et al.  
21 172 2007), Apo lipoprotein 100B (ApoB100, Torstensen et al. 2011), carnitine palmitoyl  
22 173 transferase1 (CPT-1, Kennedy et al. 2006), fatty acid synthase (FAS, Castro et al. 2013),  
23 174 *pemt1* (forward: GCCTAGGCACCCTCATCATC reverse:  
24 175 AGGTCCCAGTGAATCCGAGA). Primers for liver sulfur metabolic enzymes (methionine  
25 176 adenosine tyranferase (MAT) forward: GAAACAGGACCCAGATGCCA reverse:  
26 177 ATCTCTCCACACAGCAGCAC, Betaine homocysteine methyl transferase (BHMT)  
27 178 forward: ATCAGGGCTGTAGCTGAGGA reverse: CATGGAGGGACACTTGGGAC,  
28 179 Cystathionine beta synthetase (CBS) forward: TCGGCCTCAAGTGTGAAGTC reverse:  
29 180 TGGTTTCAGATGTCCTGCCC and taurine production through cysteine dioxygenase  
30 181 (CDO) forward: GGAACCTGGTGGATGAAGGG reverse:  
31 182 CAGTGGGAGTCTGTGTGGTC). In addition markers for apoptosis and mitochondrial  
32 183 myogenesis (caspase-3, P-38 Mitogen activating phosphokinase (p38MAPK) and PPAR $\gamma$   
33 184 coactivator 1a (PGC1 $\alpha$ ), Holen et al. 2014, Castro et al. 2013) were addressed in the liver.  
34 185 Pro-inflammatory interleukins and cytokines (IL-1b, IL-6, IL-8, TNF $\alpha$ , Holen et al. 2014)  
35 186 were analyzed in head kidneys. While muscle was analyzed for markers for ubiquination  
36 187 (muscle atrophy Fbox (Mafbx), ring finger proteins 1b and 1, (Murf1b and Murf1, Bower et  
37 188 al. 2009) and anabolic markers (Myosin light chain 2 (MLC2, Bower et al. 2008) and insulin  
38 189 growth factor-1 (IGF-1, Hevrøy et al. 2006) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR  
39 190 Olsvik et al. 2013). Normalized gene expression was calculated using the two reference  
40 191 genes, ARP and EF1A, as described and verified by Olsvik and co-workers (2005).

192

### 193 *2.5 Statistical analyses*

194 All results are reported as the tank means $\pm$ SE (n=3) and all tank values were based on pooled  
195 samples of 10 fish with the exception of gene expression data that was analyzed individually  
196 in 6 fish and the tank mean values calculated after analyzed. According to the design a two  
197 way ANOVA followed by Tukeys test was used to assess any differences by treatments  
198 (dietary methionine\*choline supplementation). P-values being less than 0.05 were accepted as  
199 statistically different. Levenes test was used to assess homogeneity in variation. Statistical  
200 analyses were done using the statistical program Statistica (Stat. Inc. Version 12.0).

201

## 202 **3.0 Results**

### 203 *3.1 Growth performance*

204 The growth performance and accretion is listed in Table 3. Fish fed the near lower methionine diets  
205 had lower specific growth rate than did those fish fed the methionine surplus diets (p=0.013), but  
206 choline supplementation had no impact on growth performance. This was supported with an  
207 increased amino acid retention following surplus methionine. Likewise, the fish fed the low  
208 methionine diets had worse feed conversion (p<0.001), but choline supplementation had no impact  
209 on feed conversion. Mean lipid gain on the other hand was slightly higher in fish fed the diets  
210 supplemented with choline (p=0.047), but the dietary methionine inclusion had no impact on lipid  
211 gain. Protein gain was reduced in the fish fed the low methionine diets (p=0.007), but  
212 supplementation with choline had no effect on protein gain. No interactions between choline and  
213 methionine were present in growth performance, feed utilization or accretion. The reduced protein  
214 gain was supported with the retention of total methionine in whole body, being high for methionine  
215 in fish fed the lower methionine diet and lower in those fed the high methionine diets, while  
216 retentions of other amino acids being opposite (Table 3).

217

### 218 *3.2 Phospholipids in plasma, liver and muscle*

219 Phospholipids, TAG, NEFA, total cholesterol and total bile acids in plasma, liver and white trunk  
220 muscle are listed in Table 4. Plasma total phospholipids decreased by surplus methionine  
221 supplementation (p=0.032) and increased following choline supplementation (p<0.001). Likewise



1  
2  
3 222 total cholesterol decreased following surplus methionine supplementation ( $p=0.004$ ) and increased  
4 223 following choline supplementation ( $p=0.002$ ). Total plasma bile acids increased with methionine  
5  
6 224 supplementation ( $p=0.026$ ) while choline supplementation had no effect on plasma bile acids.  
7  
8 225 Neither TAG nor NEFA were affected by treatments. There were no interactions between methionine  
9  
10 226 and choline supplementation in plasma lipid classes or total bile acids. Liver lipid classes was not  
11  
12 227 affected by treatments. Neither were white trunk phospholipids, cholesterol or TAG affected by  
13  
14 228 treatments.

15 229

### 17 230 *3.3 Non protein nitrogen compounds in plasma, liver and muscle*

18  
19  
20 231 Non protein nitrogen metabolites in plasma liver and muscle as affected by treatments are listed in  
21  
22 232 Table 5. Plasma free methionine ( $p=0.004$ ), cysteine ( $p=0.05$ ), cystathionine ( $p=0.004$ ) and taurine  
23  
24 233 ( $p=0.016$ ) all increased following surplus methionine supplementation. Plasma PEA was not affected  
25  
26 234 by treatments, while ethanolamine tended to increase ( $p=0.05$ ) following methionine  
27  
28 235 supplementation. In liver neither choline nor free methionine was affected by treatment, but liver  
29  
30 236 taurine ( $p=0.009$ ) and cystathionine ( $p=0.01$ ) increased by surplus methionine. Neither liver PEA nor  
31  
32 237 ethanolamine were affected by treatments. Liver SAM and SAH were un-affected by treatments.  
33  
34 238 There were no interactions between methionine and choline supplementation on non-protein  
35  
36 239 nitrogen compounds in the liver.

37  
38 240 Muscle choline increased ( $p<0.001$ ) following choline supplementation, while muscle free  
39  
40 241 methionine ( $p=0.01$ ) and taurine ( $p=0.02$ ) increased following surplus methionine supplementation,  
41  
42 242 but there were no interactions between choline and methionine supplementation. Muscle cysteine,  
43  
44 243 cystathionine and ethanolamine were below detectable limits, and there was no treatments effect  
45  
46 244 on PEA. There were no interactions between methionine and choline on muscle non protein nitrogen  
47  
48 245 components.

49 246

### 50 247 *3.4 Gene expression in liver*

51  
52 248 To assess endogenous choline synthesis and assembly of VLDL in liver as affected by treatment gene  
53  
54 249 expression of *pemt* and *ApoB100*, respectively were analyzed. Even though mean *pemt* expression  
55  
56 250 increased in fish fed the lower methionine diets not added any choline, the differences were not  
57  
58 251 significant. Neither was *ApoB100* expression different between treatments (results not shown). To  
59  
60 252 assess possible differences in lipid metabolism liver *FAS* and *CPT-1* expression were analyzed for  
lipogenesis or lipolysis, but none of these were different between treatments (not shown). To

1  
2  
3 254 cheque for the liver health status and mitochondrial myogenesis, the gene expression of caspase-3,  
4 255 p38MAPK and PGC1a were analyzed, however the treatments had no impact on these genes (not  
5  
6 256 shown). Further, were the gene expression of enzymes associated with SAM synthesis from  
7  
8 257 methionine and its transmethylation addressed (i.e. *MAT*, *BHMT*) together with cystathionine  
9  
10 258 synthase expression (*CBS*) and taurine synthesis (*CDO*) but none of these were affected by  
11 259 treatments (not shown).

12  
13 260

### 14 15 16 261 *3.5 Gene expression in muscle*

17  
18 262 Genes associated with muscle protein synthesis and accretion (*mTOR*, *IGF-1*, *MHC*, *MLC2*) or some  
19  
20 263 marker genes for protein degradation through ubiquitination (*Murf1*, *mafbx-a*, *Murf1b*) were all  
21  
22 264 unaffected by treatments. Only a slight tendency towards increased expression of *Murf1* was present  
23 265 in muscle of fish fed the lower methionine diets ( $p=0.06$ , Figure 1).

24  
25 266

### 26 27 28 267 *3.6 Gene expression in head kidneys*

29  
30 268 To address whether choline supplementation to lower or surplus methionine diets might be  
31  
32 269 beneficial on inflammation, head kidneys were analyzed for pro-inflammatory interleukins (*IL-1b*, *IL-*  
33  
34 270 *6*, *IL-8*) and cytokine (*TNF $\alpha$* ), but neither of these pro-inflammatory marker genes were affected by  
35 271 treatments (not shown).

36  
37 272

## 38 39 273 **4.0 Discussion**

40  
41 274 We previously showed that 1.6g Met/16g N were less than required for a healthy liver sulfur  
42  
43 275 metabolism in juvenile salmon and resulted in reallocation of free methionine from muscle stores to  
44  
45 276 liver keeping the liver sulfur amino acid metabolism similar to those fed adequate methionine (Espe  
46  
47 277 et al. 2014; 2015a), while adult Atlantic salmon required about 2.2g Met/16g N to secure a healthy  
48  
49 278 liver sulfur metabolism without TAG accumulation (Espe et al. 2008; 2010). The current study thus  
50  
51 279 should be regarded as containing slightly suboptimal or surplus methionine as the methionine  
52  
53 280 concentrations used were 1.9 and 2.5g Met/16gN (corresponding to 7.8 and 9.8g methionine per kilo  
54  
55 281 diet, Table 2).The current study aimed to test whether supplementation of choline to suboptimal  
56  
57 282 methionine diets fed to adult Atlantic salmon would spare methionine to be utilized for other  
58  
59 283 metabolic pathways within liver and or affect transport of liver TAG to peripheral organ as the white  
60 284 trunk muscle preventing development of a fatty liver. Further, to test whether slightly suboptimal

1  
2  
3 285 methionine diets would decrease protein and amino acid retention in fish as indicated in the juvenile  
4 286 salmon (i.e. muscle protein gain). In that context it was interesting that surplus methionine increased  
5 287 growth due to increased protein accretion, and increased body amino acid retention as compared to  
6 288 those fed the slightly suboptimal methionine diets. Previously when the juvenile Atlantic salmon  
7 289 were fed low methionine diets (1.6g Met/16gN) or adequate (2.2g Met/16gN) of which were  
8 290 supplemented with choline or not, reduced growth due to reduced protein gain were present, but  
9 291 the juveniles fed the low methionine diets did not accumulate liver TAG (Espe et al. 2014, 2015a). In  
10 292 the current study no liver TAG accumulation occurred independent of diets were supplemented with  
11 293 choline or not. Even though the mean TAG in muscle increased following choline supplementation,  
12 294 while mean liver TAG decreased, as expected, the variation between tanks were too high to reach a  
13 295 statistical value. We showed previously that juveniles fed low methionine diets (containing  
14 296 1.6gMet/16g N) had reduced phospholipids in both muscle and liver of which improved when the  
15 297 diets were supplemented with choline (Espe et al. 2014), while salmon at similar body weights as  
16 298 used in the current study fed diets containing 1.6gMet/16g N had increased liver TAG, but  
17 299 phospholipids were unaffected as compared to those fed diets containing 2.2 g Met /16gN (Espe et  
18 300 al. 2010). Recently, Belghit and co-workers (2014) reported that rainbow trout fed low  
19 301 (1.4gMet/16gN) adequate (2.1g Met/16gN) or surplus (3.1g Met/16gN) methionine diets to rainbow  
20 302 trout, only reduced growth when fed the low methionine diet were present, while those fed the  
21 303 intermediary methionine showed equal growth and accretion to those fed the surplus methionine  
22 304 diet. Their observations was supported by increased gene expression and abundance of proteolytic  
23 305 enzymes and reduced anabolic markers in those fish fed the low methionine diet. Our lower  
24 306 methionine diet is only slightly lower than the adequate methionine diet used by Belghit et al. (2014),  
25 307 but growth and protein accretion is significantly less than in salmon fed surplus methionine diets.  
26 308 Even though we were unable to measure any difference in gene expression in muscle, the tendency  
27 309 to better performance in the fish fed surplus methionine was present (less ubiquitination and better  
28 310 IGF-1, Figure 1). Unfortunately no markers for activation of protein synthesis could be analyzed as no  
29 311 muscle samples were collected to be analyzed for activation protein synthesis or degradation as  
30 312 analyzed by western blots.

31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49 313 Neither did the fish seem to be under any increased metabolic stress as there were not any  
50 314 differences in gene expression of cytokines or pro-inflammatory interleukins in the head kidneys.  
51 315 Juvenile Atlantic salmon fed lower dietary methionine (1.6 g Met/16gN) than used in the current  
52 316 study, had elevated gene expression of liver TNF $\alpha$  (Espe et al. 2014) as compared to fish fed  
53 317 adequate methionine. Implying that sulfur amino acids availability may interact with inflammation if  
54 318 fish as also shown in obese mammalian models (Lin et al. 2013, Rosa et al. 2014). However, the

1  
2  
3 319 minimum amount of sulfur amino acid necessary to prevent interactions with inflammation still  
4 320 needs to be addressed, as it seems to be well below 1.9g met/16gN as used in the current study.

5  
6  
7 321 Liver sulfur metabolism was more dependent on methionine than on choline as assessed by the  
8 322 metabolites and in line with previous reports using lower and slightly higher dietary methionine as  
9 323 compared to the current study (Espe et al. 2010, 2015a). Using the dietary methionine is adopted in  
10 324 the current study, the gene expression of enzymes involved in liver sulfur amino acid metabolism was  
11 325 unaffected by treatments. Thus indicating that feeding diets with 1.9g Met/16g N seemingly is  
12 326 enough to support a healthy liver sulfur amino acid metabolism, but definitely not enough to  
13 327 concomitantly also support growth and muscle protein deposition during longer term feeding studies  
14 328 as used in the current study. Even though taurine increased in those fed the surplus methionine diet,  
15 329 the gene expression of *CDO* was unaffected. This is opposite to values reported for juvenile turbot  
16 330 where methionine supplementation decreased (Gaylord et al. 2007) or increased (Wang et al. 2014)  
17 331 gene expression of *CDO*. However, as activities of enzymes in liver sulfur amino acid metabolism  
18 332 were not addressed one cannot rule out that there were differences between treatments. There  
19 333 were no differences between liver SAM or SAH concentration between treatments, again pointing to  
20 334 that liver sulfur metabolism seems to be unaffected by dietary methionine used in the current study,  
21 335 while transsulfuration probably was reduced as validated by the reduced cystathionine and taurine  
22 336 concentrations in both plasma and muscle in the fish fed the lower methionine diets. Our study thus  
23 337 confirms that 1.9 g Met/16N is enough to support a healthy liver sulfur amino acid metabolism and  
24 338 prevents liver TAG accumulation as previously reported when fed low methionine diets (Espe et al.,  
25 339 2010), but it does not support maximum protein accretion within muscle. Choline supplementation  
26 340 only had very limiting effects on liver sulfur amino acid metabolism in the current study, but did not  
27 341 improve phospholipid synthesis at dietary methionine concentrations of 1.9g Met/16gN and  
28 342 probably is not necessary to supplement when diets are around 2g Met/16gN. However, the  
29 343 minimum methionine necessary to maximize protein accretion and growth of Atlantic salmon during  
30 344 the seawater out growing phase still needs to be determined during long time feeding experiments  
31 345 of which probably lies between 2.2 (Espe et al. 2008; 2010) and 2.5g Met/16gN (the current study).

32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 346

49  
50 347 In conclusion choline supplementation did not increase endogenous PC synthesis when dietary  
51 348 methionine was 1.9g Met/16g. Neither was any TAG accumulation present. But as protein accretion  
52 349 and growth improved when Atlantic salmon were fed surplus methionine, the methionine  
53 350 supplementation to maximize protein and amino acid retention and growth when using high plant  
54 351 protein inclusions might be higher than the values recommended by NRC (2011) and especially so

1  
2  
3 352 when fish are fed for longer periods than the 90days usually adopted determining requirements in  
4 353 fish. Thus a regression determining the methionine necessary to fulfill the protein synthesis and  
5  
6 354 accretion in adult Atlantic salmon fed low fishmeal diets still needs to be accurately determined  
7  
8 355 including analysis of activation of signals stimulating protein synthesis and accretion in fish muscle.  
9

10 356

11  
12  
13 357 **Acknowledgement**

14 358 This work was supported through the project “Integrated Amino Acid Requirement in Fish”  
15  
16 359 financed by Research Council of Norway (project no 208352/E-40) and EWOS Innovation  
17  
18 360 AS. Elisabeth Eie at EWOS Innovation AS is thanked for taking care of the experimental fish.  
19  
20 361 Technical support from Anita Birkenes and Eva Mykkeltvedt at NIFES is highly appreciated.  
21

22 362

23  
24 363 **Author contribution**

25  
26 364 AA, J-EZ and ME plan the study, while AA ran the study. All authors collected and analyzed  
27  
28 365 the samples. ME was the main responsible for writing the manuscript, all co-authors  
29  
30 366 contributed and approved the final manuscript. There are no conflicts of interests to report.  
31

32 367  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

368 **References**

- 369 Andersen, S.M., Holen, E., Aksnes, A., Rønnestad, I., Zerrahn, J-E., Espe, M. (2013) Dietary  
370 arginine affects the energy metabolism through polyamine turnover in juvenile Atlantic  
371 salmon (*Salmo salar*). Br. J. Nutr., 110, 1968-1977
- 372 Belghit, I., Skiba-Cassy, S., Geurden, I., Dias, K., Surget, A., Kaushik, S., Panserat, S.,  
373 Seiliez, I. (2014) Dietary methionine availability affects the main factors involved in  
374 muscle protein turnover in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Br. J. Nutr., 112, 493-503
- 375 Bell, J.G., Dick, J.R., McVicar, A.H., Sargent, J.R., Thompson, K.D. (1993) Dietary  
376 sunflower, linseed and fish oils affect phospholipids fatty acid composition, development  
377 of cardiac lesions phospholipase activity and eicosanoid production in Atlantic salmon  
378 (*Salmo salar*). Prostagl. Leukot. Essent. Fatty Acids, 49, 665-673
- 379 Bower, N.I., Li, X., Taylor, R., Johnston, I.A. (2008) Switching to fast growth: the insulin-  
380 like growth factor (IGF) system in skeletal muscle of Atlantic salmon. J. Exp. Biol., 211,  
381 3859-3870
- 382 Bower, M.I., Taylor, R.G., Johnston, I.A. (2009) Phasing of muscle gene expression with  
383 fasting-induced recovery growth in Atlantic salmon. Frontiers in Zoology, 6, 18-30
- 384 Castro, V., Grisdale-Helland, B., Helland, S.J., Torgersen, J., Kristensen, T., Claireaux, G.,  
385 Farrell, A.P., Takle, H. (2013) Cardiac molecular-acclimation mechanisms in response to  
386 swimming-induced exercise in Atlantic salmon. PLoS ONE, 8(1):e55056.  
387 Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055056
- 388 Chawla, R.K., Watson, W.H., Eastin, C.E., Lee, E.Y., Schmidt, J., McClain, C.J. (1998) S-  
389 adenosylmethionine deficiency and TNF- $\alpha$  in lipopolysaccharide-induced hepatic injury.  
390 Am. J. Physiol., 275, G125-G129
- 391 Craig, S., Gatlin, D.M. (1997) Growth and body composition of juvenile red drum (*Sciaenops*  
392 *ocellatus*) fed diets containing lecithin and supplemented with choline. Aquaculture, 151,  
393 259-267
- 394 Cui, Z., Vance, D.E. (1996) Expression of phosphatidylethanolamine N-methyltransferase 2 is  
395 markedly enhanced in long term choline-deficient rats. J. Biol. Chem., 271, 2839-2843

- 1  
2  
3 396 Espe, M., Lemme, A., Petri, A., El-Mowafi, A. (2006) Can Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)  
4 397 grow on diets devoid of fish meal? *Aquaculture*, 255, 255-262  
5  
6  
7 398 Espe, M., Hevrøy, E.M., Liaset, B., Lemme, A., El-Mowafi, A. (2008) Methionine intake  
8 399 affect hepatic sulphur metabolism in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Aquaculture*, 274, 132-  
9 400 141  
10  
11  
12 401 Espe, M., Rathore, R.M., Due, Z-Y., Liaset, B., El-Mowafi, A. (2010) Methionine limitation  
13 402 results in increased hepatic FAS activity, higher liver 18:1 to 18:0 fatty acid ratio and  
14 403 hepatic TAG accumulation in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Amino Acids*, 39, 449-460  
15  
16  
17  
18 404 Espe, M., Andersen, S.M., Holen, E., Rønnestad, I., Veiseth-Kent, E., Zerrahn, J-E., Aksnes,  
19 405 A. (2014) Methionine deficiency does not increase polyamine turnover through depletion  
20 406 of hepatic S-adenosylmethionine in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 112, 1274-1285  
21  
22  
23  
24 407 Espe, M., Holen, E., Zerrahn, J-E., Taylor, R., Rønnestad, I., Veiseth-Kent, E., Aksnes, A.  
25 408 (2015b) Choline supplementation to low methionine diets increase phospholipids in  
26 409 Atlantic salmon, while taurine supplementation had no effects on phospholipid status, but  
27 410 improved taurine status. *Aquacult. Nutr.* In press doi: 10.1111/anu.12298  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 411 Espe, M., Veiseth-Kent, E., Zerrahn, J-E., Rønnestad, I., Aksnes, A. (2015a) Juvenile Atlantic  
33 412 salmon decrease white trunk muscle IGF-1 expression and reduce muscle and plasma free  
34 413 Sulphur amino acids when methionine availability is low while liver Sulphur metabolites  
35 414 mostly is unaffected by treatment. *Aquacult. Nutr.* In press doi:10.1111/anu.12294  
36  
37  
38  
39 415 Gaylord, T.G., Barrows, F.T., Teague, A.M., Johansen, K.A., Overturf, K.E., Shepherd, B.  
40 416 (2007) Supplementation of taurine and methionine to all-plant protein diets for rainbow  
41 417 trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*, 269, 514-524  
42  
43  
44  
45 418 Hevrøy, E.M., Jordal, A-E., Hordvik, I., Espe, M., Hemre, G-I., Olsvik, P.A. (2006) Myosin  
46 419 heavy chain gene mRNA expression correlates to growth and muscle protein accretion in  
47 420 Atlantic salmon. *Aquaculture*, 252, 453-461.  
48  
49  
50  
51 421 Hevrøy, E.M., El-Mowafi, A., Taylor, R., Olsvik, P.A., Norberg, B., Espe, M. (2007) Lysine  
52 422 intake affects gene expression of anabolic hormones in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Gen.*  
53 423 *Comp. Endocrinol.*, 152, 39-46.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 424 Holen, E., Espe, M., Andersen, S.M., Taylor, R., Aksnes, A., Mengesha, Z., Araujo, P. (2014)  
4 425 A coculture approach show that polyamine turnover is affected during inflammation in  
5 426 Atlantic salmon immune and liver cells and that arginine and LPS exerts opposite effects  
6 427 on p38MAPK signaling. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, 37, 286-298  
7  
8 428 Kasper, C.S., White, M.R., Brown, P.B. (2000) Choline is required by tilapia when  
9 429 methionine is not in excess. *J. Nutr.*, 130, 238-242  
10  
11 430 Kennedy, S.R., Leaver, M., Campbell, P.J., Zheng, X., Dick, J.R., Tocher, D.R. (2006)  
12 431 Influence of dietary oil content and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) on lipid metabolism  
13 432 enzyme activities and gene expression in tissues of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Lipids*,  
14 433 41, 423-436  
15  
16 434 Koek, G.H., Liedorp. P.R., Bast, A. (2011) The role of oxidative stress in non-alcoholic  
17 435 steatohepatitis. *Clinica Chimica Acta.*, 412, 1297-1305  
18  
19 436 Liaset,, B., Julshamn, K., Espe, M., 2003. Chemical composition and theoretical nutritional  
20 437 evaluation of the produced fractions from enzymatic hydrolysis of salmon frames with  
21 438 ProtamexTM. *Proc. Biochem.*, 38, 1747-1759  
22  
23 439 Lin, S., Hirai, S., Yamaguchi, Y., Goto, T., Takahashi, N., Tani, F., Mutoh, C., Sakurai, T.,  
24 440 Murakami, S., Yu, R. et al (2013) Taurine improves obesity-induced inflammatory  
25 441 responses and modulates the unbalanced phenotype of adipose tissue. *Mol. Nutr. Food*  
26 442 *Res.*, 57, 2155-2165  
27  
28 443 Mai, K., Xiao, L.X., Ai, Q., Wang, X., Xu, W., Zhang, W., Liufu, Z., Ren, M. (2009) Dietary  
29 444 choline requirement for juvenile cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*. *Aquaculture*, 289, 124-128  
30  
31 445 Mato, J.M., Corrales, F.J., Lu, S.C., Avila, M.A. (2002) S-adenosylmethionine: a control  
32 446 switch that regulates liver function. *FASEB J*, 16, 15-26  
33  
34 447 Noga, A.A., Vance, D.E. (2003) Insights into the requirement of phosphatidylcholine  
35 448 synthesis for liver function in mice. *J. Lipid Res.* 44, 1998-2005  
36  
37 449 NRC (2011) National Research Council, Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp,  
38 450 Committee on the Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. Washington DC, USA: The  
39 451 National Academies Press  
40  
41 452 Olsvik, P., Lie, K., Jordal, A-E., Nilsen, T., Hordvik, I. (2005) Evaluation of potential  
42 453 reference genes in real-time RT-PCR studies of Atlantic salmon. *BMC Mol. Biol.*, 6, 21-29  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 454 Olsvik, P.A., Vikeså, V., Lie, K.K., Hevrøy, E.M. (2013) Transcriptional responses to  
4 455 temperature and low oxygen stress in Atlantic salmon studied with next-generation  
5 456 sequencing technology. BMC Genomics, 14, 817-838  
6  
7  
8  
9 457 Rolo, A.P., Teodore, J.S., Palmeira, C.M. (2012) Role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis  
10 458 of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Free Radical Biol. Med., 52, 59-69  
11  
12  
13 459 Rosa, F.T., Freitas, E.C., Deminice, R., Jordao, A.A., Marchini, J.S. (2014) Oxidative stress  
14 460 and inflammation in obesity after taurine supplementation: a double-blind, placebo-  
15 461 controlled study. European J. Nutr., 53, 823-830  
16  
17  
18 462 Rumsey, G.L., Page, J.W., Scott, M.L. (1983) Methionine and cystine requirements of  
19 463 rainbow trout. Prog.Fish-Cult., 45, 139-143  
20  
21  
22 464 Shin, O.H., Mar, M.H., Albright, C.D., Citarella, M.T., da Costa, K.A., Zeisel, S.H. (1997)  
23 465 Methyl-group donors cannot prevent apoptotic death of rat hepatocytes induced by  
24 466 choline-deficiency. J. Cellular Biochem., 64, 196-208  
25  
26  
27 467 Slow, S., Garrow, T.A. (2006) Liver choline dehydrogenase and kidney betain-homocysteine  
28 468 methyltransferase expression are not affected by methionine or choline intake in growing  
29 469 rats. J. Nutr., 136, 2279-2283  
30  
31  
32  
33 470 Stead, L.M., Brosnan, J.T., Brosnan, M.E., Vance, D.E., Jacobs, R.L. (2006) Is it time to  
34 471 reevaluate methyl balance in humans? Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 83, 5-10  
35  
36  
37 472 Sugiyama, K., Kumazawa, A., Zhou, H., Saeki, S. (1998) Dietary methionine level affects  
38 473 linoleic acid metabolism through phosphatidylethanolamine N-methylation in rats. Lipids,  
39 474 33, 235-242  
40  
41  
42 475 Torstensen, B.E., Espe, M., Stubhaug, I., Lie, O. (2011) Dietary plant proteins and vegetable  
43 476 oil blends increase adiposity and plasma lipids in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Br. J.  
44 477 Nutr., 106, 633-647  
45  
46  
47 478 Vance, D.E., Ridgeway, N.D. (1988) The methylation of phosphatidylethanolamine. Pro.  
48 479 Lipid Res., 27, 61-79  
49  
50  
51 480 Vance, D.E., Walkey, C.J., Cui, Z. (1997) Phosphatidylethanolamine N-methyltransferase from  
52 481 liver. Biochem. Biophys. Acta, 1348, 142-150  
53 482 Vanni, E., Bauglani, E., Kotronen, A.,  
54 483 DeMinicis, S., Yki-Jarvinen, H., Svegliati-Baroni, G. (2010) From the metabolic syndrome  
55 482 to NAFLD or vice versa? Digestive and liver disease, 42:320-330  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 484 Vernon, G., Baranova, A., Younossi, Z.M. (2011) Systematic review: the epidemiology and  
4 485 natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in  
5 486 adults. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 34, 274-285  
6  
7  
8  
9 487 Wang, Q., He, G., Wang, X., Mai, K., Xu, W., Zhou, H. (2014) Dietary sulfur amino acid  
10 488 modulations of taurine biosynthesis in juvenile turbot (*Psetta maxima*). *Aquaculture*, 422-  
11 489 423, 141-145  
12  
13  
14 490 Watkins, S.M., Zhu, X., Zeisel, S.H. (2003) Phosphatidylethanolamine-N methyltransferase  
15 491 activity and dietary choline regulate liver-plasma lipid flux and essential fatty acid  
16 492 metabolism in mice. *J. Nutr.*, 133, 3386-3391  
17  
18  
19  
20 493  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.** Composition and crude chemical analysis (g/kg) of the diets used.

| Diets                         | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fishmeal                      | 70    | 70    | 70    | 70    |
| Wheat gluten                  | 60    | 60    | 60    | 60    |
| Pea protein concentrate       | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   |
| Soy protein concentrate       | 235   | 235   | 235   | 235   |
| Corn gluten                   | 25    | 25    | 25    | 25    |
| Sunflowermeal                 | 30    | 30    | 30    | 30    |
| Raw wheat                     | 127   | 124.2 | 125   | 122.2 |
| L-lysine                      | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| DL-methionine                 | 1     | 1     | 3     | 3     |
| Choline Cl                    | 0     | 2.8   | 0     | 2.8   |
| Fish oil                      | 317   | 317   | 317   | 317   |
| Mineral and vitamin mixtures  | 34    | 34    | 34    | 34    |
| <u>Chemical analysis</u>      |       |       |       |       |
| Dry matter                    | 948.5 | 945.5 | 959.9 | 957.2 |
| Crude protein                 | 388.1 | 389.4 | 395.6 | 403.7 |
| Crude lipids                  | 284.5 | 315.9 | 307.3 | 290.5 |
| Energy (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 23.5  | 23.7  | 24.2  | 24.1  |

All diets were added the same mineral and vitamin mixture to support requirement (NRC 2011).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

**Table 2.** Dietary amino acid profiles plus choline and taurine (g/kg feed) or as (g/16gN) in parenthesis.

| Diets no       | 1    |        | 2    |        | 3    |        | 4    |        |
|----------------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|
| Methionine (M) | L    |        | L    |        | H    |        | H    |        |
| Choline (C)    | L    |        | H    |        | L    |        | H    |        |
| Met            | 7.9  | (2.0)  | 7.6  | (1.9)  | 9.8  | (2.5)  | 9.7  | (2.4)  |
| Cys            | 5.8  | (1.5)  | 5.6  | (1.4)  | 5.7  | (1.4)  | 5.7  | (1.4)  |
| TSAA           | 13.7 | (3.5)  | 13.2 | (3.4)  | 15.5 | (3.9)  | 15.4 | (3.8)  |
| Lys            | 24.1 | (6.2)  | 23.6 | (6.1)  | 23.6 | (6.0)  | 23.8 | (5.9)  |
| Thr            | 15.6 | (4.0)  | 15.0 | (3.9)  | 15.0 | (3.8)  | 15.1 | (3.7)  |
| Arg            | 27.4 | (7.1)  | 26.6 | (6.8)  | 26.9 | (6.8)  | 26.5 | (6.6)  |
| Ile            | 18.8 | (4.8)  | 18.4 | (4.7)  | 18.5 | (4.7)  | 18.5 | (4.6)  |
| Leu            | 36.7 | (9.4)  | 32.8 | (8.4)  | 33.1 | (8.4)  | 29.5 | (7.3)  |
| Val            | 20.3 | (5.2)  | 20.0 | (5.1)  | 20.1 | (5.1)  | 20.0 | (4.9)  |
| His            | 9.9  | (2.5)  | 9.6  | (2.5)  | 9.8  | (2.5)  | 9.7  | (2.4)  |
| Phe            | 21.5 | (5.5)  | 21.0 | (5.4)  | 21.1 | (5.3)  | 20.9 | (5.2)  |
| Gly            | 18.2 | (4.7)  | 17.7 | (4.6)  | 17.8 | (4.5)  | 17.7 | (4.4)  |
| Ser            | 20.4 | (5.2)  | 19.5 | (5.0)  | 19.5 | (4.9)  | 19.8 | (4.9)  |
| Pro            | 25.1 | (6.5)  | 25.1 | (6.4)  | 24.7 | (6.2)  | 24.5 | (6.1)  |
| Ala            | 18.8 | (4.8)  | 18.3 | (4.7)  | 18.4 | (4.6)  | 18.3 | (4.5)  |
| Asp            | 40.5 | (10.4) | 39.2 | (10.1) | 39.2 | (9.9)  | 39.2 | (9.7)  |
| Glu            | 84.1 | (21.6) | 81.7 | (21.0) | 82.3 | (20.8) | 82.2 | (20.4) |
| Choline        | 0.9  | -      | 2.9  | -      | 1.3  | -      | 3.0  | -      |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

|         |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |
|---------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| Taurine | 0.7 | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - | 0.6 | - |
|---------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|

---

TSAA is the sum of methionine and cysteine

For Peer Review

**Table 3.** Growth performance and mean protein and lipid gain. Also the mean amino acid retention (% retained of consumed) is listed. Values are mean of three tanks±SE (ANOVA followed by Tukey  $p<0.05$ )

| Diets no              | 1         | 2         | 3         | 4         | p-values      |              |      |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|------|
|                       |           |           |           |           | M             | C            | M*C  |
| Methionine (M)        | L         | L         | H         | H         |               |              |      |
| Choline (C)           | L         | H         | L         | H         |               |              |      |
| IBW (g/fish)          | 732±2     | 723±16    | 724±11    | 724±7     | 0.77          | 0.67         | 0.69 |
| FBW (g/fish)          | 1915±34   | 1951±24   | 1998±37   | 2024±35   | <b>0.045</b>  | 0.40         | 0.88 |
| SGR                   | 0.90±0.01 | 0.93±0.01 | 0.95±0.00 | 0.96±0.01 | <b>0.013</b>  | 0.20         | 0.60 |
| MFI (g/fish/day)      | 8.4±0.1   | 8.5±0.1   | 8.5±0.2   | 8.7±0.2   | 0.38          | 0.28         | 0.63 |
| FCR                   | 0.95±0.01 | 0.93±0.00 | 0.90±0.01 | 0.90±0.01 | <b>0.0005</b> | 0.27         | 0.11 |
| CF                    | 1.50±0.02 | 1.52±0.02 | 1.54±0.01 | 1.51±0.01 | 0.26          | 0.88         | 0.21 |
| HSI                   | 1.29±0.07 | 1.38±0.09 | 1.30±0.05 | 1.30±0.03 | 0.64          | 0.52         | 0.48 |
| VSI                   | 11.7±0.3  | 11.5±0.3  | 11.7±0.3  | 10.9±0.2  | 0.29          | 0.09         | 0.38 |
| Protein gain (g/fish) | 191.2±5.2 | 190.5±4.6 | 210.1±2.0 | 207.5±7.5 | <b>0.007</b>  | 0.75         | 0.85 |
| Lipid gain (g/fish)   | 296.2±4.8 | 308.5±3.9 | 287.2±4.1 | 301.2±8.4 | 0.19          | <b>0.047</b> | 0.89 |
| <u>AA-retention:</u>  |           |           |           |           |               |              |      |
| Met                   | 76.9±4.4  | 74.2±1.5  | 67.7±1.9  | 68.0±0.1  | <b>0.01</b>   | 0.65         | 0.58 |
| Cys                   | 39.5±2.4  | 37.3±1.0  | 45.2±1.6  | 43.5±0.8  | <b>0.006</b>  | 0.26         | 0.87 |
| Thr                   | 62.7±2.6  | 58.3±0.9  | 68.7±1.3  | 68.6±0.6  | <b>0.006</b>  | 0.19         | 0.21 |
| Arg                   | 46.2±1.5  | 44.6±0.5  | 48.1±0.8  | 49.0±0.4  | <b>0.01</b>   | 0.70         | 0.19 |
| Ile                   | 48.2±3.1  | 46.3±1.5  | 54.4±2.0  | 52.1±0.8  | <b>0.02</b>   | 0.32         | 0.92 |
| Leu                   | 46.4±3.0  | 43.6±1.1  | 50.8±1.0  | 50.3±0.8  | <b>0.02</b>   | 0.36         | 0.53 |
| Val                   | 52.4±3.4  | 49.8±1.5  | 58.4±1.7  | 56.2±0.9  | <b>0.02</b>   | 0.29         | 0.92 |
| His                   | 54.6±3.5  | 51.2±1.4  | 60.5±1.3  | 59.6±0.2  | <b>0.008</b>  | 0.31         | 0.55 |
| Phe                   | 40.0±2.4  | 37.5±0.9  | 43.6±0.8  | 43.6±0.5  | <b>0.02</b>   | 0.39         | 0.39 |
| Gly                   | 71.7±1.9  | 73.8±3.6  | 69.3±2.7  | 74.4±4.0  | 0.78          | 0.29         | 0.65 |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

|     |          |           |          |          |              |      |      |
|-----|----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------------|------|------|
| Ser | 42.4±1.9 | 39.9±0.7  | 45.0±1.2 | 45.9±0.2 | <b>0.007</b> | 0.51 | 0.20 |
| Pro | 34.0±0.6 | 34.2±0.6  | 35.3±0.5 | 35.5±0.9 | 0.09         | 0.80 | 0.96 |
| Ala | 68.1±2.5 | 65.2±1.25 | 71.3±1.4 | 72.6±0.4 | <b>0.01</b>  | 0.62 | 0.22 |
| Asp | 49.5±2.7 | 46.8±0.9  | 54.3±1.0 | 54.0±0.4 | <b>0.01</b>  | 0.35 | 0.45 |
| Glu | 32.2±1.7 | 30.6±0.6  | 34.6±0.6 | 34.6±0.2 | <b>0.02</b>  | 0.43 | 0.44 |

---

Thr, Arg, Ile, Leu, Phe, Asp & Glu as affected by dietary methionine were assessed by Kruskal Wallis.

SGR=[(ln final tank body weight-ln initial tank body weight)/days of feeding]\*100

FCR=( consumed feed\*final biomass)/body mass increase

HSI and VSI are mean tank relative liver weight and viscera weight to body weight, respectively

Gained nutrients (lipid and protein)=[(deposited nutrient/consumed nutrients (as is))/number of fish per tank.

AA retention= (deposited amino acid as percentage of consumed amino acid during the experimental period)\*100



**Table 4.** Lipid classes in plasma (mmol/L, bile acids given as  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ), liver (mg/g liver) and muscle (mg/g muscle) in fish fed diets reduced or surplus methionine of which are supplemented or not with choline. Values are tank means $\pm$ SE, n=3 (Tukey,  $p<0.05$ ) ....

| Diets no          | 1              | 2              | 3              | 4              | p-values     |                  |      |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|------|
| Methionine (M)    | L              | L              | H              | H              | M            | C                | M*C  |
| Choline (C)       | L              | H              | L              | H              |              |                  |      |
| <u>Plasma</u>     |                |                |                |                |              |                  |      |
| Total PL          | 10.8 $\pm$ 0.1 | 12.3 $\pm$ 0.2 | 10.0 $\pm$ 0.3 | 11.8 $\pm$ 0.3 | <b>0.032</b> | <b>&lt;0.001</b> | 0.66 |
| TAG               | 3.3 $\pm$ 0.1  | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.3 $\pm$ 0.7  | 0.11         | 0.29             | 0.90 |
| Total Cholesterol | 9.4 $\pm$ 0.3  | 10.7 $\pm$ 0.1 | 8.7 $\pm$ 0.2  | 9.6 $\pm$ 0.2  | <b>0.004</b> | <b>0.002</b>     | 0.43 |
| NEFA              | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.23         | 0.17             | 0.35 |
| Total bile acids  | 11.7 $\pm$ 2.7 | 20.7 $\pm$ 3.7 | 23.6 $\pm$ 2.3 | 24.9 $\pm$ 3.0 | <b>0.026</b> | 0.12             | 0.23 |
| <u>Liver</u>      |                |                |                |                |              |                  |      |
| PC                | 27.3 $\pm$ 1.9 | 26.3 $\pm$ 2.7 | 29.7 $\pm$ 2.1 | 24.3 $\pm$ 3.6 | 0.94         | 0.26             | 0.42 |
| PE                | 8.7 $\pm$ 0.8  | 7.7 $\pm$ 0.7  | 9.3 $\pm$ 0.1  | 7.2 $\pm$ 0.9  | 0.98         | 0.05             | 0.45 |
| PC:PE ratio       | 3.2 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.4 $\pm$ 0.0  | 3.2 $\pm$ 0.2  | 3.4 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.95         | 0.14             | 0.75 |
| Total PL          | 44.7 $\pm$ 3.3 | 42.2 $\pm$ 4.3 | 48.6 $\pm$ 2.7 | 38.9 $\pm$ 5.7 | 0.95         | 0.18             | 0.41 |
| TAG               | 13.0 $\pm$ 3.5 | 11.4 $\pm$ 3.6 | 15.2 $\pm$ 2.3 | 17.0 $\pm$ 7.3 | 0.59         | 0.12             | 0.25 |
| Cholesterol       | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.5  | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.5  | 3.4 $\pm$ 0.3  | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.7  | 0.68         | 0.45             | 0.77 |
| NEFA              | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.2  | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.65         | 0.88             | 0.65 |
| <u>Muscle</u>     |                |                |                |                |              |                  |      |
| PC                | 8.5 $\pm$ 0.3  | 8.9 $\pm$ 0.4  | 8.6 $\pm$ 0.3  | 8.7 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.77         | 0.39             | 0.60 |
| PE                | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.2  | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.2  | 2.9 $\pm$ 0.1  | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.47         | 0.60             | 0.75 |
| PC:PE ratio       | 2.9 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.1  | 2.9 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.1 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.47         | 0.15             | 0.97 |
| Total PL          | 12.0 $\pm$ 0.5 | 12.3 $\pm$ 0.6 | 12.1 $\pm$ 0.3 | 12.0 $\pm$ 0.2 | 0.70         | 0.76             | 0.64 |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

|                    |           |            |           |           |      |      |      |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| <i>Cholesterol</i> | 0.6±0     | 0.6±0.0    | 0.6±0.0   | 0.6±0.0   | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| <i>TAG</i>         | 80.6±13.3 | 103.9±16.5 | 83.2±22.9 | 94.1±16.2 | 0.84 | 0.36 | 0.73 |
| <i>NEFA</i>        | nd        | nd         | nd        | nd        | -    | -    | -    |

---

For Peer Review

**Table 5.** N-metabolites in plasma, liver and muscle ( $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{ml}$  or  $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{g}$  tissue). SAM and SAH ( $\mu\text{mol}/100\text{g}$  liver) were analyzed in liver only. Values are tank means $\pm$ SE, n=3 (Tukey p<0.05). Choline was not addressed in plasma.

| Diets no       | 1              | 2              | 3              | 4              | p-values     |      |      |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------|------|
|                |                |                |                |                | M            | C    | M*C  |
| Methionine (M) | L              | L              | H              | H              |              |      |      |
| Choline (C)    | L              | H              | L              | H              |              |      |      |
| <u>Plasma</u>  |                |                |                |                |              |      |      |
| Met*           | 11.2 $\pm$ 0.4 | 10.6 $\pm$ 0.9 | 29.6 $\pm$ 1.9 | 29.2 $\pm$ 3.3 | <b>0.004</b> | 0.81 | 0.97 |
| Cys            | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.2  | <b>0.051</b> | 0.82 | 0.86 |
| Taurine*       | 20.6 $\pm$ 1.0 | 23.4 $\pm$ 1.5 | 27.9 $\pm$ 4.3 | 35.3 $\pm$ 3.7 | <b>0.016</b> | 0.12 | 0.46 |
| Cystathionine* | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.0  | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.0  | 1.2 $\pm$ 0.1  | 1.3 $\pm$ 0.2  | <b>0.004</b> | 0.70 | 0.30 |
| PEA            | 2.5 $\pm$ 0.1  | 2.6 $\pm$ 0.3  | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.4  | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.3  | 0.41         | 0.86 | 0.99 |
| Ethanolamine   | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.2  | 1.4 $\pm$ 0.1  | 1.2 $\pm$ 0.2  | 1.2 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.050        | 0.70 | 0.67 |
| <u>Liver</u>   |                |                |                |                |              |      |      |
| Choline        | 1725 $\pm$ 293 | 1914 $\pm$ 57  | 1726 $\pm$ 204 | 1542 $\pm$ 61  | 0.34         | 0.99 | 0.34 |
| Met            | 32 $\pm$ 3     | 29 $\pm$ 2     | 33 $\pm$ 1     | 35 $\pm$ 2     | 0.15         | 0.91 | 0.23 |
| Cys            | 17 $\pm$ 2     | 12 $\pm$ 1     | 15 $\pm$ 2     | 14 $\pm$ 3     | 0.90         | 0.25 | 0.41 |
| Taurine        | 645 $\pm$ 104  | 759 $\pm$ 161  | 1090 $\pm$ 158 | 1175 $\pm$ 46  | <b>0.009</b> | 0.45 | 0.91 |
| Cystathionine* | 5 $\pm$ 0      | 6 $\pm$ 0      | 24 $\pm$ 4     | 23 $\pm$ 6     | <b>0.01</b>  | 0.95 | 0.82 |
| PEA            | 28 $\pm$ 1     | 26 $\pm$ 1     | 30 $\pm$ 2     | 30 $\pm$ 2     | 0.09         | 0.54 | 0.47 |
| Ethanolamine   | 13 $\pm$ 2     | 13 $\pm$ 1     | 14 $\pm$ 1     | 13 $\pm$ 0     | 0.79         | 0.64 | 0.42 |
| SAM            | 5.7 $\pm$ 0.2  | 5.7 $\pm$ 0.4  | 6.5 $\pm$ 0.2  | 5.4 $\pm$ 0.5  | 0.43         | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| SAH            | 3.2 $\pm$ 0.3  | 3.3 $\pm$ 0.2  | 3.7 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.4 $\pm$ 0.1  | 0.16         | 0.54 | 0.36 |
| SAM:SAH        | 1.8 $\pm$ 0.1  | 1.8 $\pm$ 0.2  | 1.8 $\pm$ 0.1  | 1.4 $\pm$ 0.2  | 0.31         | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| <u>Muscle</u>  |                |                |                |                |              |      |      |

|                      |        |        |        |        |      |        |      |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|------|
| <i>Choline</i>       | 228±28 | 447±52 | 200±23 | 467±50 | 0.92 | <0.001 | 0.57 |
| <i>Met*</i>          | 6±1    | 5±0    | 16±2   | 15±1   | 0.01 | 0.47   | 0.97 |
| <i>Taurine</i>       | 22±1   | 29±4   | 38±5   | 38±5   | 0.02 | 0.43   | 0.48 |
| <i>Cystathionine</i> | nd     | 5±2    | 8±1    | 10±1   | -    | -      | -    |
| <i>PEA</i>           | 5±0    | 5±0    | 6±1    | 6±0    | 0.20 | 0.60   | 0.88 |

---

PEA is phosphatidyl ethanolamine

For Peer Review

**Figure 1**

Mean normalized gene expression (MNE) of two anabolic markers (*IGF-1*, *mTOR*) and two ubiquitination markers (*murf1*, *murf1b*) in muscle. Only *Murf1* tended to be higher in fish fed the low methionine diet but did not reach a statistical difference ( $p=0.06$ ), while none of the other genes tested differed between treatments ( $p>0.05$  Tukeys). Values are tank means $\pm$ SE,  $n=3$ , where LL is low methionine, low choline, LH is low methionine high choline, HL is high methionine, low choline and HH is high methionine, high choline.

