

1 **The potential for predicting purge in packaged meat using low field NMR**

2

3 Han Zhu^{1,2*}, Marion O`Farrell³, Eddy Walther Hansen⁴, Petter Vejle Andersen⁵, Per Berg²,
4 Bjørg Egelanddal¹

5

6 ¹ Norwegian University of Life Sciences, 1430 Ås, Norway,

7 ² Nortura SA, Lørenveien 37, 0585 Oslo, Norway,

8 ³ SINTEF ICT, Forskningsveien 1, 0373 Oslo, Norway,

9 ⁴ University of Oslo, Department of Chemistry, Postboks 1033 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway,

10 ⁵ Nofima, Osloveien 1, 1430 Ås, Norway.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Abbreviations

CPMG, Carr-Purcel-Meiboom-Gill; LD, *longissimus dorsi*; *p.m.*, post mortem; PSE, Pale Soft

Exudative; WHC, water holding capacity

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +4722844426

E-mail address: zhuhanchien@gmail.com

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

Abstract

The ability of NMR to predict purge from vacuum-packed pork that was stored for 9 days was investigated. T₂ relaxation was measured at 24 h post mortem (*p.m.*) and again after 9 days of chilled storage. NMR measurements from day 1 *p.m.* were limited in predicting day-9 purge ($|r| = 0.37-0.52$). The root mean square error of linear regression (RMSD) for measuring day-9 purge using the relaxation time of intra-myofibrillar water (T₂₁) measured on day 1 *p.m.* ($r = -0.46$) was 1.31% (range: 1.15-7.69% purge), corresponding to $\pm 2.62\%$ ($2 \times$ RMSD) prediction error of purge with 95% probability. This indicated that for purge production rate, the distribution and mobility of water in meat on day 1 *p.m.* may be of little relevance. Further tests were conducted to explain this poor predictability, by taking NMR measurements of water mobility and distribution made on the same meat sample (taken at 96 h *p.m.*) every day, during a 9-day storage period. By analyzing the T₂₁ and T₂₂ domains every day, it was revealed that during the first 5-day of storage, water (86%) moved from intra-myofibrillar space to extra-myofibrillar space. However, this movement did not result in detectable drip. A major liquid loss followed between days 6 and 7 and ceased day 8. This complexity of the water movement between domains during storage may explain the poor predictability of day-9 purge using NMR measurements from day 1.

Key words: Purge; Water holding capacity; NMR; Storage; Porcine *longissimus dorsi* muscles; Meat structure

59 1. Introduction

60 The drip loss of meat during chilled storage depends on the amount of water that is available
61 and the ease with which the water can exit the muscle structural network (Warner, 2014). The
62 drip loss of meat is influenced by four major structural factors: 1) the degree of myofibrils
63 shrinkage during rigor and myofibrillar interfilamentous spacing; 2) the permeability of the
64 cell membrane to water; 3) the degree of cytoskeletal protein degradation and 4) the
65 development of drip channels and extracellular space (Hughes, Oiseth, Purslow, & Warner,
66 2014). Water holding capacity (WHC) is very often measured as drip loss; i.e. the weight loss
67 percentage of a meat sample after a defined period of chilled storage (24 or 48 h) in
68 specifically designed holder (Christensen, 2003) or in a plastic bag (Honikel, 1998), where the
69 meat has no physical contact with drip. Purge, in this paper, refers to the weight loss from
70 meat during storage, where the meat is in contact with the fluid. Purge is the accumulation of
71 a red aqueous solution of proteins in packaged, refrigerated meat and relates to what would be
72 visible to a consumer. Drip loss and purge are important variables relating to profitability and
73 quality of meat products and are highly relevant to both meat industry and consumers.
74 However, these two variables have been reported to be controlled by different processes. Drip
75 loss shows the WHC of meat at certain time post mortem; whereas purge is likely to be the
76 accumulative effect of changes in WHC during storage. Several experiments have recorded a
77 change in drip loss from 24 h *p.m.* up to 14 days *p. m.* (Joo, Kauffman, van Laack, Lee, &
78 Kim, 1999; Kristensen & Purslow, 2001; Moeseke & Smet, 1999; Straadt, Rasmussen,
79 Andersen, & Bertram, 2007) using different methods (48 h Honikel bag method or 24 h
80 centrifugation). In general, the measured drip loss (%) peaked at around 48 h post mortem and
81 subsequently decreased. The daily drip loss post mortem seems to be animal/sample
82 dependent. For instance, in the work of Kristensen and Purslow (2001), the average
83 centrifugation loss of 6 muscles reached its maximum on day 7 *p.m.*, whereas the average
84 centrifugation loss of 4 other muscles in the same work reached its maximum on day 3 *p.m.*

85 There exist two explanations regarding the decrease in rate of drip loss (increase in WHC) in
86 meat that is stored in contact with its own drip:

87 1). The reduction in drip loss with sampling time post mortem is a result of “leaking out”, i.e.
88 the meat with poor WHC (i.e. pale soft exudative meat, PSE) will lose relatively more water
89 early postmortem (Joo et al., 1999; Moeseke & Smet, 1999). This leaves limited water
90 available for dripping in later stages. Meat with a normal WHC has relatively more water to
91 lose in later stages and this water serves as a “drip reservoir” that will eventually produce
92 similar amount of drip as meat with poorer WHC (Joo et al., 1999).

93
94 2). Degradation of cytoskeleton proteins can result in an increase of WHC later post mortem
95 (Huff-Lonergan & Lonergan, 2005; Kristensen & Purslow, 2001; Melody et al., 2004; Straadt
96 et al., 2007). Cytoskeleton proteins (represented by vinculin, desmin and talin) gradually
97 degrade during 10-day *p.m.* storage period (Kristensen & Purslow, 2001). The inter-
98 myofibrillar linkages and costameric connections are removed, and myofibril shrinkage
99 becomes energetically less favorable. The flow of water into the extracellular space ceases,
100 and previously expelled water can to some degree reverse, and support swelling of the
101 myofibrils. The intramyofibrillar structure has been shown to be more homogeneous after 14
102 days of storage using a confocal laser scanning microscopy, which supported this hypothesis
103 (Straadt et al., 2007).

104 There have been very few articles investigating the prediction of purge using data obtained
105 early post mortem (Bidner et al., 2004; Calkins, Holthaus, Johnson, Eskridge, & Berg, 2005;
106 Huff-Lonergan & Lonergan, 2005). As summarized by Huff-Lonergan & Lonergan (2005),
107 one study have studied using the desmin degradation on day 1 *p.m.* to predict purge loss over
108 7 days using stepwise regression models. It was found that desmin degradation accounted for
109 only 24.1% variation of purge. Similarly, another study also showed poor prediction of purge
110 using several measurements (21 % variation explained), which aimed at predicting 21-day
111 purge in vacuum packaged whole pork loins using models based on variables measured early
112 *p.m.* (including season, fat depth, muscle depth, hot carcass weight, color, pH and electrical
113 impedance) (Calkins et al., 2005). It seems, therefore, that purge is challenging to predict due
114 to the complexity of purge production process. Zarate and Zaritzky (1985) studied the effect
115 of storage conditions on purge production in the package along storage time (until 22-day
116 storage) in packaged refrigerated beef (cut at 48h *p.m.*). Two temperatures (0 and 4 °C) and
117 two films (low density polyethylene and EVA/SARAN/EVA coextruded film) were studied
118 and compared. During the first 24-hour storage (induction period), the purge (%) increased
119 nonlinearly, and then the increase followed a reduced but constant rate. Similar results have
120 been reported by Moeseke and Smet (1999) that the dripping rate decreased after 48 h post
121 mortem. In addition, purge percentage was found to be linearly correlated to the equivalent
122 area/unit volume ratio of the sample (Zarate & Zaritzky, 1985). Their work also suggested
123 that the water that turned into purge during storage was located extracellularly and
124 extramyofibrillarly, and the purge was mainly produced by gravitational force since the purge
125 (%) rate is constant after induction time (Zarate & Zaritzky, 1985). They also refuted that
126 diffusion is to explain the purge production, since a decreasing rate should be expected
127 (Zarate & Zaritzky, 1985).

128 Since WHC increases with storage time, the WHC difference between meat with high or low
129 initial WHC might decrease significantly towards later storage period, as shown in the study
130 using meat with four different quality groups (Joo et al., 1999). However, the results showed
131 that the meat with initial lower WHC (i.e. PSE) still had lower WHC on day 6 *p.m.* than meat
132 that had a higher initial WHC. It is then reasonable to suggest that the accumulated purge of
133 meat having an initial low WHC might be relatively high. This change in drip loss rate with
134 time might make purge prediction difficult and demand methods with high and relevant
135 analytical precision.

136 NMR is a powerful tool to study water mobility and distribution, and has been used
137 extensively in studying meat structure and WHC. However, to the best of our knowledge, no
138 studies have addressed the possibility of using NMR to measure purge. In this paper, we
139 explored the ability of low field NMR and other measurements/variables obtained at or before
140 24 h *p.m.* to predict purge from pork muscle after vacuum-packed storage for 9 days. The 9-
141 day storage period was chosen because it is the average storage time used for fresh meat cuts
142 before displayed in retail stores according to Norwegian meat industry. The correlation
143 between purge and variables obtained on samples after 9-day storage was also studied in order
144 to: 1) determine the predictability of purge on day 9 from NMR measurements on day 1; 2)
145 understand the purge production mechanism during the same number of days.

146 To support 1) and 2) the measurement error of the NMR instrumentation also needed to be
147 verified to determine if NMR can measure a difference in water content between 80 % and
148 75 % water.

149 2. Materials and methods

150 2.1. Animals and sampling

151 In order to obtain meat samples with reasonable WHC variation, 18 pigs were selected from 2
152 different slaughterhouses (Tønsberg and Oslo, Norway) based on their meat percentage/ back
153 fat thickness during three weeks. The chilling rate affects drip loss and this can vary due to
154 the meat percentage/ back fat thickness. The animals were, therefore, selected to give
155 variation in fat thickness and two different chilling methods were carried out in the two
156 slaughterhouses. The pigs used had carcass weights between 56.1 to 100.1 kg. Breeds used
157 were LYDD (25 % Landrace, 25 % Yorkshire and 50 % Duroc) and LYLL (25 % Yorkshire
158 and 75 % Landrace). The pigs were stunned in an atmosphere with 90% carbon dioxide and
159 slaughtered. At Tønsberg slaughterhouse, the carcasses were cooled for 30 min in the shock-
160 cooler/freezer and then chilled down to 7 °C for 18 hours. At Oslo slaughterhouse, the
161 carcasses were cooled for 18-20 h to below 7 °C, in a cooling room at 0-1°C. The left porcine
162 *longissimus dorsi* (LD) muscles were removed. Connective tissue and fat were carefully
163 trimmed around the muscle.

164 The LD muscle from each animal was divided into two sections based on location (denoted
165 L1 and L2, Figure 1a) with some space discarded between L1 and L2 (shown in grey, Figure
166 1a). The samples were treated as separate samples since a difference of WHC (as drip) has
167 been reported between cranial and caudal ends (Taylor & Dant, 1971). For each location (L1
168 or L2), the muscle was divided as shown in Figure 1b on day 1 *p.m.*

169 In the study of the effect of storage time (section 3.3), six boars from Landrace and Duroc
170 breed were randomly selected. The LD loins were cut at 96 h *p.m.* One sample was taken
171 from each animal, resulting in a total number of six meat samples.

172 2.2. Purge measurement

173 On day 1 *p. m.*, a chop of 12 cm in thickness (for L1 and L2 each) towards cranial end was
174 divided, weighed (M_0 , of 348.21-860.55 g) and vacuum packed using a Intevac vacuum
175 packing machine with internal programming level 6 (Bissendorf, Germany) in a plastic bag
176 (shown as purge in Figure 1b). The vacuum packed muscles were stored at 4 °C until day-9
177 post mortem; surface dried with tissue paper and weighed again (M). Purge (%) was
178 calculated as the weight loss in percentage of the initial muscle weight (Purge (%) = $100 \times$
179 $(M_0 - M) / M_0$). Purge values varied between 1.15% and 7.69 % (Table 1).

180 2.3. pH and color measurements

181 The muscle pH was measured at different times post mortem (45 min, 5 h, 24 h and day-9).
182 The pH at 45 min and 5 h *p.m.* was measured by placing a Knick Portamess 752 electrode
183 (Berlin, Germany) approximately in the middle of the loin. The pH at 24 h and day-9 *p.m.* was
184 measured on the sample using Beckman Φ 31 pH Meter (Brea, USA). The sample used for
185 purge measurement on day-9 post mortem was divided according to Figure 1c. Color
186 parameter including L^* , a^* and b^* were determined using a Konica Minolta Chroma meter
187 CR-400 (Tokyo, Japan) after 1 hour blooming, with the meat samples exposed to air,
188 unwrapped. Three measurements were taken for each slice. Relevant statistics for pH at
189 different time post mortem and color values are shown in Table 1.

190

191

192

193

194

195 Table 1. Ranges, means and standard deviations of chemical-physical parameters of porcine
 196 *longissimus dorsi* samples.

	Range	Mean	Standard Deviation
pH 45 min (n=18)	6.09-6.73	6.46	0.16
pH 5 h (n=12)	5.61-6.09	5.90	0.15
pH D1 (day 1)	5.26-5.63	5.43	0.10
pH D9 (day 9)	5.30-5.47	5.39	0.04
Purge (% , day 9)	1.15-7.69	3.71	1.46
L* (day 9)	52.41-61.12	56.92	2.10
a* (day 9)	6.32-11.20	8.30	1.37
b* (day 9)	4.80-8.32	6.10	0.73

197 Note: the number of samples (n) was 36 unless otherwise stated

198 2.4. NMR measurement

199 Transverse relaxation (T_2) was measured on meat samples both day-1 (Figure 1b) and day-9
 200 (Figure 1c) *p.m.* using a Maran Ultra NMR instrument (Resonance Instruments, Witney, UK),
 201 operating at a magnetic field strength of 0.54 T, corresponding to a proton resonance
 202 frequency of 23 MHz. The NMR signals were recorded by applying a traditional Carr-Purcel-
 203 Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence (Meiboom & Gill, 1958) with $\tau = 150 \mu\text{s}$, 12 K
 204 echoes and 16 transients. Three cylindrical samples (16 ϕ x 22 mm, ~2.80 g) were cored using
 205 a sharp cork borer for each location (L1 and L2), and samples were gently inserted in closed
 206 Teflon sample holders (2.2 cm in length), and placed within the homogeneous part of the rf-
 207 coil. The samples were thermostated at 25 °C for 10 min before CPMG measurements were
 208 performed.

209 The influence of storage time on six meat samples (section 3.3) were also studied using
 210 another Maran Ultra NMR instrument (Resonance Instruments, Witney, UK) of the same
 211 magnetic field strength, but different sample size (~ 8 ϕ x 10 mm, ~0.5 g). Each meat sample
 212 was suspended in the NMR tube with the fiber direction parallel to the cylindrical axis.
 213 Enough space (17 mm) was reserved between the bottom of the NMR glass tube and the
 214 muscle. A layer of parafilm was placed on the top of the muscle to avoid water evaporation.
 215 The CPMG signal response was acquired for each sample and stored every day during a 9-day
 216 storage period (corresponding to 4-13 days *p.m.*), performed at $T = 6 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and equilibrated at
 217 this temperature for 10 minutes before initiating any experiment. Samples were stored at 4 °C
 218 when not subjected to measurements. The NMR measurement was performed with a $\tau = 50 \mu\text{s}$,
 219 32 K echoes and 32 transients. The parafilm was found to not contribute to the NMR signal.
 220 After 9 days of storage, one CPMG experiment was performed on the drip fluid by lifting the
 221 sample tube manually (only the drip fluid was within the transmitter/receiver coil).

222 2.5. Data analysis

223 Distributed exponential fitting analysis was performed on the obtained T_2 relaxation data. A
 224 continuous T_2 relaxation time distribution $dI/d\log(T_2)$ was first derived from the CPMG signal
 225 response using Maran Ultra algorithm (RI Win-DXP software release version 1.2.3,
 226 Resonance Instruments, Witney, UK), which was described by Bertram et al. (Bertram,
 227 Dønstrup, Karlsson, & Andersen, 2002). I is the signal intensity of the NMR relaxation curve.
 228 Then a relaxation rate distribution $F(R_2)$ was obtained using the following transformation:

229
$$F(R_2) = \frac{dI}{dR_2} = \frac{dI}{d(\text{Log}T_2)} \cdot \frac{d(\text{Log}T_2)}{dR_2} = -\frac{T_2}{\ln 10} \cdot \frac{dI}{d(\text{Log}T_2)} \text{ with } R_2 = 1/T_2 \quad (1)$$

230 Three peaks were observed for all samples reflect the bound-, immobilized- and free water,
 231 respectively. The overall relaxation distribution takes the form:

232
$$F(R_2) = \sum_{i=0}^2 I_i F_i(R_2) \quad (2)$$

233 where I_i represents the signal intensity and \bar{R}_{2i} represents the “mean” relaxation rate of
 234 component “i”, i.e.:

235
$$\bar{R}_{2i} = \int_0^{\infty} R_2 F_i(R_2) dR_2 / \int_0^{\infty} F_i(R_2) dR_2 \quad (3)$$

236 where $i = 0, 1$ or 2 , and $\bar{R}_{20} > \bar{R}_{21} > \bar{R}_{22}$. Using a distribution function written in Microsoft
 237 Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, WA, USA), the derived relaxation rate distributions were
 238 closely fitted. Only the domains with the longer relaxation times (T_{21} and T_{22}) changed during
 239 storage (Hansen & Zhu, 2015), and were further discussed. The relaxation times T_{21} and T_{22}
 240 correspond to intra-myofibrillar water and extra-myofibrillar water, respectively. The
 241 integrated areas of relaxation populations were normalized by sample mass (A_{21} and A_{22}),
 242 corresponding to T_{21} and T_{22} .

243 Correlation coefficients between variables ($P < 0.05$) were calculated using OriginPro 2016
 244 (OriginLab Corporation, MA, USA).

245 3. Results and Discussion

246 3.1. Univariate Correlation Analysis

247 The Pearson correlation coefficients (r) for the measured variables can be seen in Table 2.
 248 Purge (%) was found to be better correlated to the following parameters: pH D1 (-0.46), pH
 249 D9 (-0.33), a^* (-0.38), b^* (-0.42), T_{21} -D1 (-0.46), T_{22} -D1 (-0.37), A_{21} -D1 (-0.43), A_{22} -D1
 250 (0.52) and T_{21} -D9 (-0.70). Correlations between ultimate pH (pH D1) and purge in vacuum
 251 packages (7-day) have been reported with a similar correlation ($r = -0.49$) to the current study
 252 (Bidner et al., 2004). For color measurements, significant correlations were found between L^*
 253 and b^* , as well as a^* and b^* at $P < 0.05$ (Table 2). Significant positive correlations regarding
 254 same color parameters (L^* and b^* , a^* and b^*) have been reported for beef *longissimus*
 255 *thoracis* muscle by Leroy et al. (Leroy et al., 2003). Interestingly, among all the color
 256 parameters, only a^* (measuring redness to greenness) correlated better with the NMR
 257 parameters. This may indirectly be due to pH variation (Table 1). Another interesting
 258 observation was the decrease in pH *p.m.* when an increase was expected due to protein
 259 degradation.

260

Table 2 Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between measured variables.

	pH 5 h	pH D1 (day 1)	pH D9 (day 9)	Purge (%)	L*	a*	b*	T ₂₁ -D1 (s)	T ₂₂ -D1 (s)	A ₂₁ -D1	A ₂₂ -D1	T ₂₁ -D9 (s)	T ₂₂ -D9 (s)	A ₂₁ -D9	A ₂₂ -D9	
pH 45 min	0.64	-0.07	-0.30	-0.29	0.09	-0.11	0.20	-0.26	-0.04	0.13	-0.20	0.02	-0.25	-0.12	0.12	
pH 5 h		0.29	0.27	-0.32	-0.22	-0.01	0.19	-0.15	0.05	0.19	-0.47	0.39	0.06	-0.06	-0.03	
pH D1 (day 1)			0.52	-0.46	-0.02	0.59	0.26	0.51	0.32	0.33	-0.52	0.63	0.40	-0.13	0.13	
pH D9 (day 9)				-0.33	-0.28	0.30	-0.06	0.40	0.36	0.31	-0.43	0.54	0.54	-0.07	0.10	
Purge (%)					-0.22	-0.38	-0.42	-0.46	-0.37	-0.43	0.52	-0.70	-0.29	0.03	-0.28	
L*						0.01	0.41	0.04	0.21	-0.04	-0.03	-0.01	-0.16	0.13	0.06	
a*							0.49	0.51	0.39	0.44	-0.46	0.54	0.46	-0.02	0.16	
b*								0.14	0.15	0.21	-0.26	0.24	0.11	0.03	0.12	
T ₂₁ -D1 (s)										0.60	0.52	-0.50	0.65	0.62	0.12	-0.10
T ₂₂ -D1 (s)											0.73	-0.72	0.52	0.58	0.18	-0.10
A ₂₁ -D1											-0.84	0.43	0.54	0.03	-0.17	
A ₂₂ -D1												-0.59	-0.61	-0.06	0.15	
T ₂₁ -D9 (s)													0.56	0.24	0.13	
T ₂₂ -D9 (s)														0.41	-0.49	
A ₂₁ -D9															-0.54	

Notes: T₂₁-D1 and T₂₂-D1 are relaxation time constants measured on day 1 *p.m.* A₂₁-D1 and A₂₂-D1 are areas of each domain normalized by sample mass, measured on day 1 *p.m.* T₂₁-D9 and T₂₂-D9 are relaxation time constants measured on day 9 *p.m.* A₂₁-D9 and A₂₂-D9 are areas of each domain normalized by sample mass, measured on day 9 *p.m.*

P < 0.05, all the significant correlation coefficients are marked in bold.

1 The longest spin-spin relaxation time (T_{22}) corresponds to water that resides outside the
2 myofibrillar protein network, which is most susceptible to dripping (Bertram, Purslow, &
3 Andersen, 2002). T_{22} has been investigated as a reference value for WHC (at 24 h *p.m.*) in a
4 previous study, which was based on drip loss (Zhu et al., 2016), but T_{22} did not show a good
5 prediction ability towards purge after storage. The correlation coefficient between T_{22}
6 measured on day-1 *p.m.* and purge was -0.37 (Table 2) and therefore nominally lower than the
7 correlation given for T_{21} above ($r = -0.46$, RMSD = 1.31%, of 1.15-7.69% purge). In principle
8 this indicated that purge can be predicted as $\pm 2.6\%$ (2 x RMSD) with 95% probability. The
9 normalized area of the two domains, A_{21-D1} ($r = -0.43$, RMSD = 1.33%, of 1.15-7.69% purge)
10 and A_{22-D1} ($r = 0.52$, RMSD = 1.27%, of 1.15-7.69% purge) also correlated to purge, which
11 indicates that both domains are relevant regarding purge production. The measurement error
12 in purge using the current method is unfortunately unknown. However, error of purge loss on
13 beefsteaks (~ 0.23 kg) was estimated to be 3-4 % (Elam, Brooks, Morgan, & Ray, 2002). The
14 error in water mass (g) predicted by NMR total intensity measured on 20 meat samples from 1
15 loin was 0.019 g (~ 2.150 g H_2O in meat sample of mass 2.87 g, $r = 0.9945$), assuming 75 %
16 of water in the meat samples (data not shown). This indicates that NMR has the ability to
17 discriminate meat samples that has water content difference of 1.77%, with 95% probability.
18 This actually suggests that the purge can be predicted but that the major reason for the lack in
19 predictability of NMR variables is due to the low reproducibility of NMR on heterogeneous
20 samples like meat. This could be improved using the average of several samples or increasing
21 the size of the samples.

22 The shorter spin-spin relaxation time (T_{21}) corresponds to intra-myofibrillar water. T_{21} could
23 not alone predict purge (Table 2) with high accuracy. Multivariate models, using different
24 variables in Table 2, were also investigated, but no improvement in correlation was obtained.
25 One explanation as to why it is difficult to predict purge from early post mortem
26 measurements is that there is a sum of events related to water mobility that occur during the
27 storage period (Moeseke & Smet, 1999), which results in changes in the drip rates with
28 storage time (i.e. 1-9 days). To explore these further, T_2 characteristics from day 1 and day 9
29 were compared.

30 3.2. T_2 characteristics on day 1 and day 9 *p.m.*

31 As shown in Figure 2, both T_{21} and T_{22} decrease after 9-day storage (slope < 1 , $p < 0.05$). The
32 change in T_2 relaxation times reflects the change in mobility of water molecules, shorter T_2
33 indicated water that has lower mobility and *vice versa*. The decrease in T_{21} and T_{22} indicates a
34 decrease in both intra-myofibrillar and extra-myofibrillar water mobility. Straadt et al. (2007)
35 also observed a decrease in T_{21} after 7-day storage, as well as a change in width of the T_{21}
36 distribution. The T_{21} width in their studies decreased at day 7 (and day 14) compared to day 1
37 *p.m.*, indicated a more homogeneous characteristics of intra-myofibrillar water, presumably
38 due to swelling (Straadt et al., 2007). Similarly, a decrease in T_{21} width (calculated as full
39 width at half maximum height) has been observed in the current study when comparing day 1
40 and day 9 post mortem (data not shown). T_{22} has been shown to reflect the width of gaps
41 between meat fiber bundles, and to correlate positively with drip loss measured at short time
42 intervals (Tornberg, Andersson, Göransson, & von Seth, 1993). Thus the observed decrease in
43 T_{22} after 9-day storage indicates a decrease in drip loss or, in other words, an increase in
44 WHC. The range of T_{22} among samples decreased after 9 days of storage, which indicated that
45 the spread in WHC of meat samples has decreased. Our results are in accordance with the
46 findings of Joo et al. who has also reported a reduced spread in WHC after storage (Joo et al.,
47 1999). The area of T_{21} and T_{22} was normalized by sample mass, and the difference was
48 calculated between day 1 and day 9. There was an average increase of T_{21} area by 2.4%, and

49 an average decrease of T_{22} area by 36.1% observed on day 9 compared to day 1 p. m. The
50 relative small change in T_{21} area is somewhat expected, since the water representing the T_{21}
51 domain (intra-myofibrillar water) is about 85% of total water in the meat, a big absolute
52 change might appear to be small when it is shown on the relative scale. The decrease in T_{22}
53 domain is most likely a result of fluid dripping out. Drip formation mechanism early post
54 mortem has been discussed by Tornberg et al. (2000) and Bertram et al. (2004). NMR
55 characteristics were measured on porcine *longissimus dorsi* muscle continuously for 24 hours.
56 They suggested that during early post mortem, muscle cells swell within 2-3 h *p.m.* (increase
57 in T_{21}), and then expel water into extra-myofibrillar space (increase in T_{22} area) which reflect
58 potential drip loss. Unlike early p. m., structural changes during storage for a longer period is
59 different. As explained by Kristensen and Purslow (2001), within 24 h storage, water flows
60 from intra- to extracellular water compartment due to pressure. After several days of storage,
61 the shrinkage of myofibrils halted (Kristensen & Purslow, 2001), due to the slow degradation
62 of cytoskeletal connections, and extracellular water was then able to flow into myofibrils. The
63 tendency for an increase in the area of T_{21} domain (intra-myofibrillar water) support inflow of
64 water at longer storage times (9-day storage). During the 9-day storage, the meat was vacuum
65 packed, and the meat surface was in contact with the drip fluid at all times. It is thus
66 suggested that the uptake of extra-myofibrillar water became possible not only from T_{22} water
67 domain, but also from drip fluid if in contact with the meat. To verify this hypothesis, an
68 experiment was designed and results presented in section 3.3.

69 3.3. T_2 characteristics during storage

70 In order to study the effect of storage time on continuous purge production and verify that the
71 area change of myofibrillar water was partly due to the inflow of water from the extracellular
72 space, six LD meat samples taken from six different boars were inserted into six NMR tubes
73 and measured every day during storage at 4 °C for 9 days. The six animals selected had
74 ultimate pH in the range 5.54-5.56, and 24h EZ-DripLoss in the range 4.3-6.5%. The
75 relaxation distribution of one of the six meat samples during storage is shown in Figure 3.
76 Since enough space was reserved between meat sample and the bottom of the NMR tube, drip
77 fluid could flow freely to the bottom of the NMR tube and did not interact with the meat after
78 it had dripped. The sample ends were not fixed which enabled natural muscle shrinkage.

79 The mean T_2 values, their mean areas and the mean decrease in total area (%) of six samples
80 are plotted along the storage period of 9 days in Figure 4. The 95% confidence intervals were
81 also calculated and included. Figure 4 a and b show the decrease in average T_2 during 9 days
82 of storage, which is in accordance with the observation mentioned in section 3.2, indicating
83 more restricted mobility of water in both domains. The average decrease in T_{21} followed a
84 constant rate until day 8 storage, after which a slight increase of T_{21} was observed. A
85 noticeable decrease in averaged T_{22} took place during the first 5-day storage. The area of each
86 domain was also plotted along storage time (Δ in Figure 4 a-b). The accumulated decrease in
87 the area of T_{21} and T_{22} domains was considered to be drip and was plotted against storage
88 time in Figure 4 c. A linear relationship was found between the storage time and drip
89 production ($r = 0.80$, RMSD = 1.81% with a purge range of 0 - 9.53%), but the movement of
90 water in the compartments is not linear (Figure 4 a-b). The change of area of the two domains
91 indicating water movement along storage time can be divided into three phases (shown as 1-3
92 in Figure 4), and will be addressed accordingly.

93 The first phase was the exchange between intra- and extra-myofibrillar water, took place from
94 day 1 to day 5. The area of the T_{21} domain decreased while the area of the T_{22} domain
95 increased from day 1 to day 5 (Δ in Figure 4 a-b). The increase in the area of the T_{22} domain

96 accounted for 86% of decrease in area of T_{21} domain on the day 5 of storage. The area
97 changed in both domains and indicated that water movement within the first 5 days of storage
98 was mainly water exchange between domains. This is illustrated by a slow decrease in the
99 total area loss (Figure 4 c), i.e. slow drip loss. This observation is not consistent with the
100 findings of Zarate and Zaritzky (1985), who reported a high purge production rate during the
101 first 24 h storage, followed by a lower and then constant rate after 5 days. The difference can
102 be explained by the difference in sample history and sample preparation. The sample in this
103 study was cut at 96 h *p.m.*, while in Zarate and Zaritzky (1985), the samples were cut at 48 h
104 *p.m.* The initial fast purge loss may have been released in current experiment right after
105 cutting. The experimental setup by Zarate and Zaritzky (1985) was meat wrapped in plastic
106 film, which enabled the inflow of water from purge fluid, while in the setup in this study; the
107 meat sample was separated from purge fluid. The second phase was the extra-myofibrillar
108 water being released as drip (day 5-7). In this phase, both T_{21} and T_{22} area decreased
109 continuously (Figure 4 a-b). Significant purge occurred during this phase, indicated by the
110 decrease in the total area (Figure 4 c). In the third phase, the water flowing from both domains
111 into drip fluid ceased. Both T_{21} and T_{22} area, and the decrease in total area loss remained
112 constant on day 8- and day 9- storage. Interestingly, there is a slight increase (~2%) in T_{21}
113 time constant on day 9 compared to day 8. The T_{21} value indicates the average distance
114 between a water molecule and the protein surface (Wahlgren & Tornberg, 1996), and
115 increased T_{21} thus indicates somewhat longer average distance. This might be caused by
116 liquid inflow from the extra-myofibrillar space into the intra-myofibrillar space due to
117 degradation of cytoskeletal structure. Although the mean T_{21} area and mean T_{22} area showed
118 no obvious changes, an obvious increase of T_{21} area was observed on day-8 storage for some
119 individual samples. The inflow might be more pronounced if the meat sample is in contact
120 with purge fluid, but this topic needs to be further investigated. The relaxation distribution of
121 the drip fluid in the bottom of the NMR tube was also analyzed at the end of the experiment.
122 There was mainly one domain present with a relaxation time of 0.216 s, which resembles T_{22}
123 in meat.

124 4. Conclusions

125 A number of quality parameters measured early postmortem appeared to correlate with purge
126 measured on day 9 *p.m.* T_{21} measured on day 1 *p.m.* correlated negatively to purge ($r = -0.46$,
127 RMSD = 1.31% with a purge range of 1.15-7.69%). Area of both T_{21} ($r = -0.43$, RMSD =
128 1.33%, of 1.15-7.69% purge) and T_{22} domains ($r = 0.52$, RMSD = 1.27%, of 1.15-7.69%
129 purge) correlated to purge, i.e. both domains contributed to purge. However, the prediction
130 ability was limited, showing that water mobility and distribution on day 1 *p.m.* might be of
131 little value with regards to purge production.

132 Further analysis on six meat samples (taken at 96 h *p.m.*) were measured daily using NMR to
133 monitor the changes in water mobility and distribution in both T_{21} and T_{22} domains for 9 days.
134 The results indicated complex water movement during storage, which might serve an
135 explanation for the poor prediction of purge in the package from early *p. m.* data. The water
136 movement can be divided into three phases. During the first phase (day 1-5), water movement
137 was mainly due to a shrinking pressure, from intra-myofibrillar water space to the free water
138 domain. Significant purging of this free water occurred during the second phase (day 5-7). In
139 the last phase (day 7-9), the decrease in total area ceased, with both T_{21} and T_{22} area remained
140 constant. However, a nominal increase was observed in T_{21} time constant on day 8, indicated
141 possible structural changes.

142 In conclusion, it is believed that the complexity of water mobility and distribution during
143 storage requires to be taken into account if robust predictions of 9-day purge are to be
144 achieved. Initial investigation reveals that robustness may be increased by being more
145 selective about when measurements are taken during storage, especially if the meat is in
146 contact with its own drip water.

147

148 Acknowledgements

149 We want to thank the Research Council of Norway for financial support through the project
150 “On line determination of water retaining ability in pork muscle” [project number 229192];
151 also Norwegian Levy on Agricultural Products and the Agricultural Agreement Research
152 Fund of Norway for financial support through the project “H₂O Monitor - Monitoring water
153 holding capacity mechanisms of meat” [project number 233910].

154

155 References

- 156 Bertram, H.C., Dønstrup, S., Karlsson, A.H., & Andersen, H.J. (2002). Continuous
157 distribution analysis of T₂ relaxation in meat—an approach in the determination of
158 water-holding capacity. *Meat Science*, 60(3), 279-285.
- 159 Bertram, H.C., Purslow, P.P., & Andersen, H. J. (2002). Relationship between meat structure,
160 water mobility, and distribution: a low-field nuclear magnetic resonance study.
161 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50(4), 824-829.
- 162 Bertram, H.C., Schäfer, A., Rosenvold, K., & Andersen, H. J. (2004). Physical changes of
163 significance for early post mortem water distribution in porcine M. longissimus. *Meat*
164 *Science*, 66(4), 915-924.
- 165 Bidner, B.S., Ellis, M., Brewer, M.S., Campion, D., Wilson, E.R., & McKeith, F.K. (2004).
166 Effect of ultimate pH on the quality characteristics of pork. *Journal of Muscle Foods*,
167 15(2), 139-154.
- 168 Calkins, C. R., Holthaus, T.W., Johnson, R.C., Eskridge, K.M., & Berg, E.P. (2005). Rapid
169 Methods to Predict Lean Quality Attributes in Pork (A. S. Department, Trans.)
170 *Nebraska Swine Reports* (pp. 36-39): University of Nebraska - Lincoln.
- 171 Christensen, L. B. (2003). Drip loss sampling in porcine m. longissimus dorsi. *Meat Science*,
172 63(4), 469-477.
- 173 Elam, A.T., Brooks, J.C., Morgan, J.B., & Ray, F.K. (2002). Yield and Fabrication Time for
174 Value Added Beef from the Chuck and Round. Retrieved from
175 [http://www.ansi.okstate.edu/research/research-reports-1/2002/2002-](http://www.ansi.okstate.edu/research/research-reports-1/2002/2002-4%20Elam%20Research%20Report.pdf)
176 [4%20Elam%20Research%20Report.pdf](http://www.ansi.okstate.edu/research/research-reports-1/2002/2002-4%20Elam%20Research%20Report.pdf)
- 177 Honikel, K. O. (1998). Reference methods for the assessment of physical characteristics of
178 meat. *Meat Science*, 49(4), 447-457.
- 179 Huff-Lonergan, E., & Lonergan, S. M. (2005). Mechanisms of water-holding capacity of meat:
180 The role of postmortem biochemical and structural changes. *Meat Science*, 71(1), 194-
181 204.
- 182 Hughes, J.M., Oiseth, S.K., Purslow, P.P., & Warner, R.D. (2014). A structural approach to
183 understanding the interactions between colour, water-holding capacity and tenderness.
184 *Meat Science*, 98(3), 520-532.
- 185 Joo, S.T., Kauffman, R.G., van Laack, R.L.J.M., Lee, S., & Kim, B.C. (1999). Variations in
186 Rate of Water Loss as Related to Different Types of Post-rigor Porcine Musculature
187 During Storage. *Journal of Food Science*, 64(5), 865-868.

- 188 Kristensen, L., & Purslow, P.P. (2001). The effect of ageing on the water-holding capacity of
189 pork: role of cytoskeletal proteins. *Meat Science*, 58(1), 17-23.
- 190 Leroy, B., Lambotte, S., Dotreppe, O., Lecocq, H., Istasse, L., & Clinquart, A. (2003).
191 Prediction of technological and organoleptic properties of beef *Longissimus thoracis*
192 from near-infrared reflectance and transmission spectra. *Meat Science*, 66(1), 45–54.
- 193 Meiboom, S., & Gill, D. (1958). Modified Spin-Echo Method for Measuring Nuclear
194 Relaxation Times. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 29(8), 688-691.
- 195 Melody, J.L., Lonergan, S.M., Rowe, L.J., Huiatt, T.W., Mayes, M.S., & Huff-Lonergan, E.
196 (2004). Early postmortem biochemical factors influence tenderness and water-holding
197 capacity of three porcine muscles¹. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82(4).
- 198 Moeseke, W. V., & Smet, S. D. (1999). Effect of time of deboning and sample size on drip
199 loss of pork. *Meat Science*, 52, 151–156.
- 200 Straadt, I.K., Rasmussen, M., Andersen, H.J., & Bertram, H.C. (2007). Aging-induced
201 changes in microstructure and water distribution in fresh and cooked pork in relation
202 to water-holding capacity and cooking loss – A combined confocal laser scanning
203 microscopy (CLSM) and low-field nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation study. *Meat*
204 *Science*, 75(4), 687-695.
- 205 Taylor, A.A., & Dant, S.J. (1971). Influence of carcass cooling rate on drip loss in pigmeat.
206 *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 6(2), 131-139.
- 207 Tornberg, E., Andersson, A., Göransson, Å., & von Seth, G. (1993). Water and fat
208 distribution in pork in relation to sensory properties. In E. Puolanne., D. I. Demeyer,
209 M. Ruusunen. & S. Ellis (Eds.), *Pork quality: genetic and metabolic factors* (pp. 239–
210 258). Helsinki: Oxon: CAB International.
- 211 Tornberg, E., Wahlgren, M., Brøndum, J., & Engelsen, S.B., (2000). Pre-rigor conditions in
212 beef under varying temperature- and pH-falls studied with rigometer, NMR and NIR.
213 *Food Chemistry*. 69(4), 407-418.
- 214 Warner, R. (2014). Measurement of meat quality | Measurements of Water-holding Capacity
215 and Color: Objective and Subjective A2 - Dikeman, Michael. In C. Devine (Ed.),
216 *Encyclopedia of Meat Sciences (Second Edition)* (pp. 164-171). Oxford: Academic
217 Press.
- 218 Wahlgren, N.M., & Tornberg, E. Ageing of beef studied by using different instrumental
219 techniques and sensory tenderness. Proc. 42nd ICoMST, Lillehammer, 1996.
- 220 Zarate, J.R., & Zaritzky, N.E. (1985). Production of Weep in Packaged Refrigerated Beef.
221 *Journal of Food Science*, 50(1), 155-159.
- 222 Zhu, H., O'Farrell, M., Bouquet, G., Lunde, K., Egelanddal, B., Alvseike, O., Berg, P.,
223 Gjerlaug-Enger, E., & Hansen, E. W. (2016). Evaluating nuclear magnetic resonance
224 (NMR) as a robust reference method for online spectroscopic measurement of water
225 holding capacity (WHC). *Journal of Food Engineering*, 175, 51-57.